The Library of Congress'

EARLY STATE RECORDS PROJECT

converted from the original microfilm held by the
Vincent C. Immel Law Library, Saint Louis University

through the generous support of a grant from the

Council on Library and Information Resources

This document contains handwritten text that may be partially illegible due to age, penmanship, or deterioration of the medium. LLMC has scanned the material in the same format and page order in which it is maintained in the source document taken from the collection "Records of the States of the United States of America, a microfilm compilation."

Roll of Attorneys

United States of America }
Territory of Arizona }

Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona.

We and each of us do Solemnly Swear. that we have nevy voluntarily borne Arms against the United States, since we have been Citizens thereof; That have voluntarily given no aid countenance, Counsel, or encouragement to persons engaged, in armed hostility thereto; That have neither sought, nor accepted, nor attempted to exercise the functions of any office whatever under any authority in hostility to the United States: That have not yielded a voluntary support to any pretended Government, Authority, or power within the United States, hostile, or inimicable thereto. And do further Swear, that to the best of our knowledge and ability we will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies foreign and domestic; That we will bear true faith and allegiance to the Same. that we take this obligation fely, without any mental reservation or purpose of evasion; That we will well and faithfully discharge the duties of Attorney and Counsellor at Law of the Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona to the best of our knowledge and ability So help us God.

William Herring
Wm H. McGrew Jan. 3rd 1882.
J. L. Fiddall.
Selim M. Franklin
Frederick A Tritle.
Richard E. Sloan
Frank L. Cox. Feby 23-1885
Joseph Campbell
E. N. Chalmers
Jno Cremins
Abel S Dungan
H R Jeffords
C. G. W. French
Jerry Millay 2/25-1885
D Anderson Jr
W H Griffin
Edward Dwyer
Wm J. Wilson
Raleigh Barnes

Geo. Bartlett
Joseph H. Hayden
S. W. G. Rowell
Jno Howard
John A. Rush
James Kinkaid
A Davis
Wm H. Berry
John W Noonan
J. C. McCaffry
W D Jones
Thos. F. Sullivan
Aaron H Cohen
A. R. Encell
A. W. Blair

United States of America } Roll of attorneys Supreme Court
Territory of Arizona } of the Territory of Arizona

We and each of us do solemnly swear that we will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies foreign and domestic. That we will bear true faith and allegiance to the same. That we will well and faithfully discharge the duties of attorney and counsellor at law of the Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona to the best of our knowledg and ability.

So help me God.

E. J. Edwards
Granville H. Oury
J. Gan Ake
Jno Anderson
J. C. Stebbins
W. Herring
Clay Churchill
J. R. Wilson
J. C. Hughes
W. Hilton
C. F. McCarty
C. H. Stiles
Hiram B. Hodge
S. Hill Howard.
H. Price
J. W. Clark
W. R. Radford
Jno H. Durand
Briggs Goodrich
Wm H. Hall
E. L. Warren
W. H. Hancock
E. W. Adams
Paul Kobe
F. N. Bruner
Franklin McCowan
A. J. Bruner
Thomas Fitch
Benjamin Negus
Edward Dow
E. E. Cameron
Neil Campbell

James M. Lyons
Edward Noble
Murat Masterson
Philip M. Thurmond
H. W. Meyer
Lyttleton Price
Charles A. Stuart
Joseph Pinger
James Bunell
J. W. Lucy
Wm Hancock
A. C. Baker
E. Gibbonsie
M. L. Stiles
Wm. Smith
N. O. Anderson
H. B. Summers
Wm Toney
William A. Hancock
Benjamin Goldwater
G. H. Spalding
Jno. H. Cyril
J. Alexander
Secretary of Arizona
James Wyatt Oates
John Hayden
Joseph H. Kinney
Jas W. Bowell
Henry Mitchell
Seth J. Bowey
Benj Goodrich
A. Thomas

James Hagerman	March 15th		1886.
James J Hyde	January 4th		1886.
Wm H Coulver	February 13th		1882
J. W. Davis	"		"
J D Raymond	"		"
Horace L Smith	"		"
E D Strong	"	14th	"
Ed B. Sleeper	"	13	"
Chalmers Scott	"	16th	"
Lucius P. Hart	"	18th	"
Frederic H. Gregg	"	"	"
W Wm Hendrie	"	"	"
Moyer Wicks	"	18th	"
Marcus A Smith	"	13	"
R H Broadbridge	"	25th	"
N S McPheeters	January	2nd	1883
Caleb Dorsey			
F. P. Dana	January	3d	1883
William Graves			
Wesley Street	February	23rd	1883
Henry Abbott	February	23d	1883
James F Lewis.	February	23d	1883
Thomas Mitchell	February	23	1883.
J. N. Anderson	February	23	1883.
W. D. Ferguson	Do	"	1883
Geo. R. Applegarth	"	26	1883
James J Robinson	"	"	"
Geo. B. Hicks	March	2.	1883
Henry Lovell	Feb.	25	1883
Fred D. Satterwhite	March	3d	1883.
Wm J. Marsh	March	3d	1883
Geo. Risley	March	3d	1883.
Joseph Mobius	March	6th	1883
William Hazledine	January	7th	1884
Calvin D. Hargrar	January	15th	1884
Lyman L Barker	January	16	1884
John H Hawkins	January	7th	1884
Chas R. Gray	January	5th	1885
L E Eggers!	"	6th	1884
John F. Feldner	"	6th	1886
Chas Armfield	"	5	1885
Simmons Howard	April	10	"
A. H. Cook	Janiy.	4.	1886

4

Bond and Oath of U. S. Marshall for Arizona.

Bond.

Know all men by these presents. That we Edward Phelps, King S. Woolsey, Robert Postles and Thomas N. Alexander of Yavapai County in the Territory of Arizona, are held and firmly bound unto the United States of America in the sum of Twenty Thousand dollars, lawful money of the United States, to be paid to the said United States; for which payment, well and truly to be made, we bind ourselves, our heirs, and Executors and Administrators, jointly and severally, firmly by these presents, Signed with our hands, and Sealed with our seals. this Eighth day of October 1864.

The Condition of the above obligation is such.

Whereas the President of the United States hath, pursuant to law, appointed the said Edward Phelps to be Marshall of the United States for the Territory of Arizona, to have and to hold the same, with all the rights, privileges, and emoluments thereto lawfully appertaining, for four Years, from the Eighteenth day of June 1864, unless sooner removed therefrom, as by A Commission to him bearing date the Eighteenth day of June 1864 more fully appears:

Now if the said Edward Phelps by himself and by his Deputies, shall faithfully perform all the duties of the said office of Marshall, then this obligation to be void; otherwise to remain in full force and Virtue.

(Signed) Edward Phelps
King S. Woolsey
Thomas N. Alexander
Robert Postles.

Edward Phelps
$10,000 — $10,000
Recorded

Territory of Arizona
County of Yavapai } ss

Upon Evidence furnished me which is satisfactory, I approve the sureties in the within Bond as sufficient in the Amount therein, and do order

the same to be filed and recorded this 6th day of October A. D. 1865.

(signed) Wm T. Turner
Chief Justice of Arizona.

Territory of Arizona.
County of Yavapai } ss.

Personally appeared before me this 6th day of October A. D. 1865. Craig S. Woolsey, Thomas M. Alexander and Robert Postle of the said County and Territory, who being duly sworn depose and say, that they are residents and freeholders of this Territory, and that they are each worth the sum of Twenty Thousand dollars over and above their debts and liabilities, and exclusive of property exempt from Execution.

(signed) Craig S Woolsey
Thomas M. Alexander
Robert Postle.

Sworn to and Subscribed
before me this 6th day of October
A. D. 1865.

(signed) Wm T. Turner
Chief Justice of Arizona.

Recorded October 9th 1865
E. N. Mellen Dist. Clerk.

United Recognd 15th
Cancelled

Oaths

I, Edward Phelps United States Marshall for the Territory of Arizona, do solemnly swear, that I never voluntarily bore Arms against the United States since I have been a citizen thereof, that I have voluntarily given no aid, countenance, counsel or encouragement to persons engaged in armed hostility thereto; that I have neither sought nor accepted nor attempted to exercise the functions of any office whatever, under any authority or pretended authority in hostility to the United States; that I have not yielded a voluntary support, to any pretended government authority, power or constitution within the United States hostile or inimical thereto.

And I do further swear that to the best of my knowledge and ability, I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States, against all enemies foreign and domestic, that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same; That I take this obligation freely without any mental reservation or purpose of evasion, and that I will well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office on which I am to enter So help me God.

(Signed) Edward Phelps

Sworn to and Subscribed
before me this 6th day of October
1869.
(Signed) Wm F Turner
Chief Justice of Arizona.

Recorded October 9th 1869 E. N. Willard Asst Secy

Internal Revenue
5¢
Cancelled

Know all men by these presents. That we Edward Phelps Edward J Fish Hugh L Hinds and Hiram S Stevens of the town of Tucson in the Territory of Arizona are held and firmly bound unto the United States of America in the sum of Twenty Thousand dollars lawful money of said United States to be paid to the said United States for which payment well and truly to be made we bind ourselves our heirs executors and administrators jointly and severally firmly by these presents. Signed with our hands and sealed with our seals this 7th Seventh day of June 1870

The condition of the above obligation is such whereas the President of the United States has appointed the said Edward Phelps to be Marshal of the United States for the Territory of Arizona to have and to hold the same with all the rights privileges and emoluments thereunto lawfully appertaining for four years from the twenty first day of April 1870 unless sooner removed therefrom under a commission to him bearing date the twenty first day of April 1870 more fully appears. Now if the said Edward Phelps by himself and by his deputies shall faithfully perform all the duties of the said office of Marshal during this obligation to be void otherwise

to remain in full force and virtue
witness
W.K. Clark.

Edward Phelps seal
E.N. Fish seal
Hugh L. Hinds seal
Hiram S. Stevens seal

one Dollar internal revenue affixed and cancelled

Territory of Arizona
— Pima County ss.

Personally appeared before me this sixteenth day of June A.D. 1870 Edward N. Fish Hugh L. Hinds and Hiram S. Stevens of the said County and Territory who being duly sworn depose and say that they are residents and freeholders of this Territory and that they are each worth the sum of Twenty Thousand dollars over and above their debts and liabilities and exclusive of property exempt from execution

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 16th day of June A.D. 1870

John Titus
Chief Justice of Arizona

E.N. Fish.
Hugh L. Hinds.
Hiram S. Stevens.

Tucson Arizona June 16th 1870.

On testimony which is abundantly ample to the undersigned sufficiency of the sureties I approve the foregoing bond

John Titus
Chief Justice of Arizona

I Edward Phelps do solemnly swear that I will faithfully execute all lawful precepts directed to the Marshal of the District of Arizona under the authority of the United States and true returns make and in all things well and truly and without malice or partiality perform the duties of the office of Marshal of the district of Arizona during my continuance in said office and take only my lawful fees So help me God

Edward Phelps

Sworn and subscribed at Tucson in the Territory of Arizona on the 16th day of June 1870 before me

John Titus
Chief Justice of Arizona

I Edward Phelps of the county of Pima and Territory of Arizona do solemnly swear that I have never voluntarily born arms against the United States since I have been a citizen thereof that I have voluntarily given no aid comfort countenance counsel or encouragement to persons engaged in armed hostilities thereto that I have never sought nor accepted nor attempted to exercise the functions of any office whatever under any authority or pretended authority in hostility to the United States that I have not yielded a voluntary support to any pretended government authority power or constitution within the United States hostile or inimical thereto. And I do further swear that to the best of my knowledge and ability I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies foreign and domestic that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same that I take this obligation freely without any mental reservation or purpose of evasion and that I will well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office on which I am about to enter So help me God

Sworn and subscribed before me this 16th day of June A.D. 1870

John Titus
Clerk District of Arizona

Edward Phelps

Filed June 16th 1870 at 5 Oclock P.M. and Recorded June 16th 1870 at 1 Oclock P.M.

Oscar Brutalew
Clk U.S. Dist Court
Dist Arizona

Bond and Oath of United States Marshall for Arizona Territory

Bond

Know all men by these presents that We. William Wiley Standefer of Prescott in the County of Yavapai in the Territory of Arizona as Principal and Edward Joseph Cook, Frederick H. Williams, S. Burton Paxton, Daniel L. Thomas and William H. Hardy all residents of the town of Prescott, in the County of Yavapai in the Territory of Arizona as Securities are held and firmly bound unto the United States of America in the sum of Twenty thousand dollars lawful money of the United States to be paid to the said United States for which payment well and truly to be made we bind ourselves, our heirs, executors and administrators jointly and severally, firmly by these presents.

Signed with our hands and sealed with our seals this 14th day of October 1876

The condition of the above obligation is such.

Whereas the President of the United States by his Commission has appointed the said William H. Standefer to be Marshall of the United States for the Territory of Arizona to have and to hold the same with all the rights privileges and emoluments thereunto belonging for four Years from the 15th day of August 1876 unless sooner removed therefrom as by a Commission to him bearing date the 15th day of August 1876 more fully appears. Now if the said William Wiley Standefer by himself and by his Deputies shall faithfully discharge all the duties of the said Office of Marshall then this obligation to be void otherwise to remain in full force and virtue.

(Signed) William Wiley Standefer (Seal)
Edward Joseph Cook (Seal)
Frederick H. Williams (Seal)
S. Burton Paxton (Seal)
Daniel L. Thomas (Seal)
Wm H. Hardy (Seal)

United States of America }
County of Yavapai }

I, [illegible] Clerk of the District Court of the First Judicial District of the above Territory do certify that the above bond was executed in my presence by the above named William Wiley Standefer and that the sureties thereto are residents of the County of Yavapai and are worth the penal sum of the bond over and above their just debts and liabilities exclusive of property exempt from execution.

[illegible]
Clerk

Territory of Arizona }
County of Yavapai } ss.

I, William Wiley Standefer do solemnly swear that I will support the Constitution of the United States and faithfully discharge the duties of the Office of Marshal for the Territory of Arizona. So help me God.

William Wiley Standefer

(Signed)
Subscribed and sworn to before
me this 24th day of October 1876.

C. A. Tweed
Associate Justice of Supreme Court of Arizona Territory.

Territory of Arizona }
County of Yavapai } ss.

I, William Wiley Standefer do solemnly swear that I will support the Constitution of the United States and faithfully discharge the duties of the Office of Marshal for the Territory of Arizona. So help me God.

William Wiley Standefer

(Signed)
Subscribed and sworn to before
me this 24th day of October 1876.

C. A. Tweed
Associate Justice of Supreme Court of Arizona Territory.

The foregoing bond of William Wiley Standefer as Marshal of Arizona Territory is approved, this 25th day of November 1876 by the Supreme Court of the Supreme Court is ordered to be recorded in the Office of said Territory and thereupon said Standefer is authorized to enter upon the duties of his office and to execute all process and perform all duties required of him by law.

Wm. F. McDowell
Chief Justice of Supreme Court
of Arizona Territory

Territory of Arizona }
County of Yavapai } ss.

I, William Wiley Standefer do solemnly swear that I will support the Constitution of the United States and faithfully discharge the duties of the Office of Marshal of the Territory of Arizona. So help me God.

William Wiley Standefer

limited Estate and Interest in all that piece or parcel and lot of land
without incumbrance and freed and discharged from the claims of the Officials of Plaintiffs
of the Bankrupt Act of Assignment Dec 22nd 1875 and every claim and demand of Officials
and take unto my own use forever. As witness my hand

William Wiley Alexander

Sworn to and acknowledged before
me this 17th day of November A.D. 1876

Silas H. Farrell
Barrister at Law & Notary Public in and for the County of Wentworth Province of Ontario.

Filed Nov 17th 1876 at Recorded Nov 18th 1876 Peter Carroll Clerk

At the first Session of the Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona.

--Held in pursuance of Law in the Council Chamber in the town of Prescott on Tuesday December 26th AD 1865. present.

His Honor William T. Turner Chief Justice and Wm F. Backus Associate Justice.

It is ordered that Marcus D. Dobbins, be and he hereby is appointed Clerk of the Supreme Court, on his qualifying and giving bonds in accordance with law;

It is hereby ordered that the Sheriff of the County of Yavapia, supply the necessary Stationary for the use of the Supreme Court, and present his bills therefor, and other expenses incurred by said Court;

Coles Bashford, John Howard, William J. Berry James O. Robertson James Anderson Joseph P. Hargrave and C. W. C. Rowell presented themselves, as attorneys, admitted by the District Courts of the Territory, for admission as Attorneys and Counsellors at law of this Court, and thereupon on taking the proper oath, they were so admitted upon the payment of the fee required by Law, Each ~~of the same has not been previously paid to the Clerk of the District Courts.~~ Stricken out from "where" to "Courts"

It is hereby ordered that Coles Bashford, James Anderson and J. P. Hargrave, be and they are hereby appointed a Committee to prepare, and report with all convenient speed; Rules for the Government of the practice of this Court,

The Clerk presented his official bond which was duly approved, and the oath of Office administered to him.

Court adjourned until 2. O Clock P. M Tomorrow.

Supreme Court
Prescott December 27th 1865

Court met pursuant to adjournment

Present His Honor Wm. F. Turner Chief Justice and A. F. Backus Associate Justice.

Court was duly opened by the proclamation of the Sheriff of Yavapai County, under the order of the Court, and among other things the following proceedings was had to wit

The Committee appointed to report a Code of Rules for the government of the practice of this Court, report the same which were adopted in the following words.

Rules
of
The Supreme Court
of the
Territory of Arizona.

Rule 1 Applicants for license to practice as Attorneys and and Counsellors will be examined in open Court on the first day of the term, and on that day only.

Rule 2 In all cases where an appeal has been perfected and the Statement filed (if there be one) thirty days before the Commencement of the next succeeding term, the transcript of the records shall be filed on or before the first day of such term.

Rule 3 If the transcript of the record be not filed within the time prescribed, the appeal may be dismissed on motion during the first week of the term without notice. A cause so dismissed may be restored during the same term upon good cause Shown. on notice to the opposite party, and unless ordered, the dismissal Shall be final, and a bar to any other appeal in the same case.

Rule 4 On such motion there shall be presented the certificate of the Clerk below, under the Seal of the Court, certifying the amount or character of the judgment, the date of its rendition, the fact and date of the filing of the notice of appeal, together with the fact and date of service thereof on the adverse party, and the character of the evidence by which said service appears, the fact and date of filing the undertaking on appeal, and that the same is in due form, the fact and time of the settlement of the Statement, if there be one; and, also, that the appellant has received a duly certified transcript, or that he has not requested the Clerk to certify to a correct transcript of the record, or if he has made such request, that he has not paid the fee therefor, if the same have been demanded.

Rule 5 All transcripts of records in Civil cases hereafter sent to this Court shall be written or printed on white writing paper, with a margin on the outer edge of not less than one inch wide. The folios shall be numbered from the commencement to the end, and the numbering of the folio shall be written or printed on the left margin of the page.

Rule 6 The pleadings, proceedings, and Statements shall be chronologically arranged in the transcript, and such transcript shall be prefaced with an alphabetical index to its Contents, specifying the folio of each separate paper, order, or proceeding, and of the testimony of each witness

Rule 7 Whenever a map or survey forms part of the transcript, it shall not be necessary to furnish more than one copy thereof, which shall be annexed to the transcript filed with each certified by the Clerk; reference thereto may be made in the other copies.

Rule 8 No transcript, or other paper or document, which fails to conform to the requirements of these rules shall be filed by the Clerk.

Rule 9 The transcript, duly certified to be correct by attorneys of the parties, plaintiff and defendant or by the Clerk of the Court from which the appeal is taken,

filed in this Court, and the party filing the same shall at the same time deposit with the Clerk of this Court one copy of the transcript for each of the Justices thereof. When written or printed by the party, he shall at or before the time of filing the same serve a copy thereof on the Attorney of the adverse party, and if there be more than one adverse party, appearing by different attorneys, ~~or two~~ on the attorney of each party so appearing. If a party shall present to the attorney of the adverse party a transcript on appeal, and request his certificate that the same is correct and said attorney upon such request shall for a period of five days neglect or refuse to join in such certificate, or if deemed incorrect, shall neglect or refuse for the same time to serve upon the party making the request a written Statement of the particulars in which the transcript is incorrect, or upon the presentation of the transcript corrected in the particulars thus Specified shall still neglect or refuse for a period of two days to join in such certificate, the costs of procuring the certificate to such transcript of the Clerk of the Court from which appeal is taken shall be taxed against the party whose attorney so neglects or refuses.

Rule 10 The expense of writing or printing transcripts shall be allowed as costs, and taxed in bills of costs in the usual mode.

Rule 11 For the purpose of correcting any error or defect in the transcript from the Court below, either party may suggest the same in writing, and upon good cause shown, obtain an order that the proper Clerk certify to this Court the whole or part of the record as may be required. If the Attorney or Counsel of the adverse party be absent, or the fact of the alleged error or defect be disputed, the suggestion must be accompanied by an affidavit Showing the existence of the error or defect alleged,

Rule 12 Exceptions to the transcript, Statement, the bond or undertaking on appeal, the notice of Appeal, or to its Service or proof of Service, or any technical objection to the record affecting the right of the Appellant to be heard on the points of error assigned, must be taken at the first term after the transcript is filed, and must be noted in writing and filed at least one day before the Argument, or they will not be regarded. In Such case the objection must be noted

to the Court before the argument on the merits.

Rule 13. Upon the death or other disability of a party, pending an appeal, his representative shall be substituted in the suit by suggestion in writing to the Court in the place of such representative, or of any part on the record. Upon the entry of suggestions and order of substitution shall be made, and the cause shall proceed as in other cases.

Rule 14 The Calendar of each term shall consist only of those causes in which the transcript shall have been filed and served on the attorneys of the adverse party five days before the Commencement of the term, unless by written Consent of the parties; provided, that in all cases in which the appeal is perfected and the Statement settled as provided in Rule 21, and the transcript is not filed and served five days before the first day of the term, may be placed on the Calendar on motion of the respondent, upon the filing of the transcript.

Rule 15. Causes from the same judicial District shall be placed together, and all causes shall be set on the Calendar in the order of the several districts, commencing with the first, except in cases in which the Territory is a party shall be placed at the head of the Calendar.

Rule 16 In civil cases, at least one day before the argument both the appellant and respondent shall furnish to each other a written or printed Copy of his points and authorities, and at the Commencement of the argument shall deposit with the Clerk one written or printed Copy for each of the Justices of this Court, one to be filed with the record of the Case, and one for the use of the Reporter.

Rule 17 In all civil cases when briefs or arguments are filed the same shall be written or printed, and one copy shall be filed in the Case, and one copy for each of the Justices of this Court and one copy shall at the same time be deposited with the Clerk, and a copy shall be served on the Attorney of the adverse party

Rule 18 In all cases where a paper or document is required by these rules to be written or printed, it shall be

as printed upon Similar paper, and in the same style and form (except the numbering of the folios in the margin) as is prescribed for the printing of transcripts.

Rule 19 No more than two Counsel on a side will be heard upon the argument, except in peculiar and important Cases; but each defendant who has appeared Separately in the Court below may be heard through his own Counsel. The Counsel for the appellant Shall be entitled to open and close the argument. Each Counsel will be allowed one hour.

Rule 20 All opinions delivered by the Court Shall, after the expiration of twenty days from the time they are delivered be recorded by the Clerk, who shall, after recording the Same deliver the originals, with a transcript of the judgment, order or decree of the Court, to the Reporter, unless a petition for rehearing be pending

Rule 21 All motions for a rehearing Shall be upon petition, which Shall be written or printed and filed within fifteen days after the judgment is rendered or order made No argument will be heard upon the petition. The petition Shall operate as a Stay of proceedings until the decision of the motion, or until the further order of the Court; and no petition for rehearing Shall be filed by the Clerk after the expiration of the time prescribed by this Rule, or Some further time as Shall have been allowed by this Court or One of the Justices thereof.

Rule 22 No remittitur to the Court below Shall issue until after the expiration of the time allowed by this Rule to file a petition for rehearing, unless upon good cause Shown, after notice to the opposite party, or his attorney. Counsel of the parties filed in the Clerk.

Rule 23 In all cases where no bill of exceptions is necessary, except for Good cause Shown the Clerk is hereby required to file the pleadings of this Court the notice Shall be five days

Rule 24 When a judgment is reversed or modified, a certified copy of the opinion in the case Shall be transmitted,

with the Transcription to the Court below.

Rule 25

No paper shall be taken from the Court or from the office except by order of the Court or of one of the Justices. No order shall be made for leave to withdraw a transcript for examination, except upon motion Counsel to be filed with the Clerk.

Rule 26 No writ of error or of Certiorari shall be issued except upon order of one of the Justices, upon petition showing a proper case for issuing the same;

Rule 27 When a writ of error is issued, upon filing the same and a sufficient bond or undertaking with the Clerk of the Court below, and upon giving notice thereof to the opposite party or his Attorney, and to the Sheriff it shall operate as a Supersedeas. The bond or undertaking shall be substantially the same as required in case on appeal.

Rule 28. The writ of error shall be returnable within thirty days unless otherwise specially directed.

Rule 29 The rules and practice of this Court respecting Appeals shall apply, so far as the same may be applicable to proceedings upon a writ of error.

Rule 30 The writ shall not be allowed after the lapse of seven years from the date of the Judgment, order or decree, which it is sought to be reversed, except under special circumstances

Rule 31 When causes are placed upon the Calendar, parties shall be presumed ready for trial as follows: 1st If the defendant be then in actual custody. 2nd If the Respondent, if Answer, shall have filed, and if no Answer was filed the Petition be presumed to admit the facts stated in the Petition.

Rule 32. All causes standing on the Calendar may be brought to a hearing by either party when called in their order upon the day for which such causes have been previously set, or at such time as they may be reached in the regular call, without further notice from the

Issued in the Appointment of the Calendar made by the Clerk or Court. And on such call of the Calendar if no counsel appears for the Appellant, and no Brief or Statement of points and authorities on behalf of Appellant is on file, the Appeal will be dismissed or Judgment affirmed, in the discretion of the Court, on motion of Respondent.

And it was further ordered by the Court that the said Rules take effect as provided by law, and the Clerk of this Court is hereby further ordered to have one hundred copies of the same printed in pamphlet form for the use of the Court.

Ordered that Coles Bashford Esqr from the 1st Jud Distric & N. L. Bowell from the 2d Jud Dist and Jannis Anderson Esqr from the 3d Jud Dist be and they are hereby appointed to report to this Court at its next term, Rules for the Government of the practice of the different District Courts in this Territory

Ordered that the Clerk of this Court appropriate the Mon ies paid into his hands as fees of admission to practice as as Attorneys and Counsellors at Law in the Supreme Court of this Territory; for the purchase of Law books for the Territorial Library, under the direction of his Honor W. F. Turner Chief Justice.

The Sheriff of Yavapai County J. H. Bourke presented his bill for Stationary and other expenses incurred by the Court after due examination. It was ordered by the Court that the Clerk certify to the correctness of the same.

In the matter of the application of Wm Murray for admit of Non-claims to be issued to the Clerk of the Probate Court for the County of Yavapai

The parties appearing by their respective Attorneys, and the Court having heard the Argument of Counsel and being ordered to take time to consider,

Ordered that Court do adjourned until 2 O'clock P.M. Afternoon.

Supreme Court
Prescott December 28th A.D. 1861

Court met pursuant to adjournment

Present his Honor Wm. F. Turner Chief Justice and N. F. Bacon Associate.

Court was duly opened by proclamation of the Sheriff of Yavapai County under the orders of the Court and among other proceedings the following was had to wit.

In the matter of the application of Wm Murray Esq for a writ of Mandamus to be issued to the Clerk of the Probate Court for the County of Yavapai.

Again appeared the said parties by their respective Attorneys, And now the Court having duly considered the Same, being advised doth order that the said motion for mandamus be and the Same is hereby overruled and the said application denied

Opinion of the Court delivered by Hon N. F. Bacon

Ordered that Court be adjourned until Tomorrow Morning.

Wm F Turner
Chief Justice

Minutes Supreme Court Territory of

Court met Monday October 22nd 1866 at 10 o'clock A M. Present His Honor Wm F Turner Chief Justice, his Honor H. F Backus Associate Justice Wm. L. Dobbins Clerk and John P Bourke Sheriff of Jaricapai County.

John A. Rush Esq having exibited to the Court his certificate as an Attorney and Counselor of the Supreme Court of the State of California and taken such oaths as are required by law was duly admitted as an Attorney and Counselor of this Court.

Upon motion of J. P Wangrane Esq to admit James Churchman Esq as an Attorney and Counselor of this Court and the said Churchman by order of Court having filed the necessary affidavit it was ordered that the said James Churchman be admitted as an Attorney and Counselor of this Court.

Court adjourned until Tuesday October 23. 1866 at 10 o'clock A.M.

Court met pursuant to adjournment present His Honor Wm F Turner Chief Justice and His Honor H. F. Backus Associate Justice, the Clerk and Sheriff

Simmons Appellant }
vs.
Davis Respondent }

Upon motion of J. P Wangrane Atty for Appellant this cause was ordered to be placed upon the Calendar and set for hearing one Monday next.

Messrs Wangrane and Rush were appointed a Committee to examine D. E. Davis Esquire an applicant for admission as an Attorney and Counselor of this Court after due examination in open Court; and the said Davis having taken such oaths as are required by law, it was ordered that he be admitted as an Attorney and Counselor of the Court.

Arizona. October term A.D. 1866

Court adjourned until Monday Oct 29 1866 at 10. o'clock a.m.

Court met pursuant to adjournment present the Hon Wm F. Turner Chief Justice, and the Hon. W. T. Backus associate Justice, and the Clerk and Sheriff

Simmons Appt }
vs.
Davis Respt }

This Cause coming on to be heard, was argued to the Court by J. S. Hargrave and James Churchman Esquires, Attys for Appellant and John A. Rush Esq - atty for Respondent, and was submitted to the Court.

Court adjourned until Wednesday Oct 31 1866 at 10. o'clock.

Court met pursuant to adjournment, and adjourned until Friday Nov 2. 1866. at 10. o'clock A.M.

Court met pursuant to adjournment, and adjourned until Saturday Nov 3 1866 at 5. o'clock P.M.

Court met pursuant to adjournment present the Hon Wm F. Turner Chief Justice, the Hon. W. T. Backus Associate Justice, the Clerk and Sheriff.

It appearing to the Court from a report of the Chief Justice, that the former order of this Court directing the moneys received by the Clerk as admission fees of Attornies and Counselors at law to this Court, be extended in the purchase of law books for the Territorial law library, under the direction of the Chief Justice, has not been complied for the reasons stated in said report on file, it is therefore ordered, that all moneys received as admission fees to practice in the Supreme Court by the Clerk from Attornies, both at this last term and also at the first term, be extended by the Chief Justice in the purchase of law books for the Territorial law library.

Simmons Applt }
v.s.
Davis Respt } This cause was continued
for the term.

Upon motion of J. P. Fairgrave Esq. a committee of three consisting of Messrs J. P. Fairgrave, J. Rusk and James Churchman was appointed to frame and present to this Court on the second Monday of July next, appropriate resolutions on the death James Anderson Esq deceased, a former member of this Bar.

Court adjourned till the 2nd Monday of July next. at 10. o'clock A. M.

Attest
M. D. Dabbins Clk }
By J. J. Christie Depy }

M. F. Sumner
Chief Justice

Minutes of Supreme Court Session of

Court met Monday October 7th 1867, at 10 oclock A.M. Present His Honor Wm T. Turner Chief Justice; His Hon. H. T. Backus Associate Justice, Ed. W. Wells Clerk, and John A Bourne, Sheriff of Yavapai County.

It is ordered that the Sheriff procure a suitable room for the use of the Supreme Court at its present session, and also the necessary stationery for the use of the Court.

Court adjourned till monday next Oct 14 1867. at 9 oclock A. M.

Attest.
Ed. W. Wells Clerk

Wm T Turner
Chief Justice.

Court met Monday October 14th 1867. at 9 oclock. A. M. Present His Honor Wm T. Turner Chief Justice, His Honor H. T. Backus Associate Justice, the Clerk and the Sheriff of Yavapai County.

Court adjourned till Monday next Oct 21st 1867 at 9 oclock A. M.

Attest:
Ed. W. Wells Clerk

Wm T Turner
Chief Justice.

Arizona Territory A. D. 1878

Court met monday October 21 1878 at 9 oclock A M.
Present His Honor Wm F. Turner Chief Justice; His Honor H. D. Bacon Associate Justice, the Clerk and Sheriff.

Court adjourned till Thursday Oct 24 1878 at 9 oclock a. m.

Attest
Ed. N. Wells Clerk

Wm F Turner
Chief Justice.

Court met Thursday October 24 1878 at 9 oclock a. m. Present His Honor Wm F. Turner Chief Justice, His Honor H. D. Bacon Associate Justice the Clerk and Sheriff.

Court adjourned till Monday Oct 28 1878 at 9 oclock a. m.

Attest
Ed. N. Wells Clerk

Wm F Turner
Chief Justice

Court met Monday October 28th 1878 at 9 oclock a. m. pursuant to adjournment and adjourned till Tuesday morning at 9 oclock, Oct 29 1878.

Attest:
Ed. N. Wells Clerk

Wm F Turner
Chief Justice

Court met Tuesday October 2nd 1894, at 9 o'clock A.M. Present His Honor Chas D. Barnes Chief Justice, His Honor N.D. Backus Associate Justice: the Clerk and Sheriff.

Louis Chevallier - Appl't.
vs.
Territory of Arizona - Resp'dt

The motion of Appellant's for a writ of Mandamus, to issue from this Court, directing the Judge of the Third Dist. Court, to sign and certify a bill of exceptions to be used in this cause, upon appeal to this Court, having been argued by Counsel submitted to the Court.

Simmons - Appl't
vs.
Davis - Respdt

This cause ordered for hearing tomorrow.

Soule - Appl't
vs.
Dunn - Respdt

By agreement of Counsel, Submitted on briefs.

Weiss - Appl't
vs.
Bertrand - Respdt

This cause coming up for hearing and being argued by Counsel, and on filing briefs, Submitted.

Court adjourned to tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Attest:
Ed. H. Wells Clerk.

Chas D. Barnes
Chief Justice.

Court met Wednesday October 30th 1918 at 9 o'clock A.M. Present His Honor Wm. D. Sumner Chief Justice. His Honor N. D. Baches Associate Justice. the Clerk and Sheriff.

Julius A. Weiser = Appellant

v.

Joseph Bertrand = Respondent

Appealed from a Judgment rendered on the 16th day of May 1864. by the District Court of the Third Judicial District in and for the County of Yavapai and Territory of Arizona; And the cause coming on to be heard, and having been submitted to the Court, and the Court having fully considered the matter, It is ordered and adjudged by the Court, that said Judgment of said District Court, be and the same is hereby in all things affirmed, with costs; and that a remittitur of the same issue to the Court below.

Louis Chevallier = Appellant

v.

Territory of Arizona = Respondent

The application of Appellants Attorney for a writ of Mandamus directed to the Judge of the Third District Court, in and for the County of Yavapai and Territory of Arizona, Compelling the said Judge to sign and certify a bill of Exceptions, to be used by Appellant in this cause, upon appeal to this Court, having been considered is denied by the Court.

Court adjourned to tomorrow morning at 9 O'clock

Attest:
Ed. H. Wells
Clerk.

Wm. D. Sumner
Chief Justice

Court met Thursday October 31st 1918 at 9 O'clock A.M. Present His Honor Wm. D. Sumner Chief Justice, His Honor N. D. Baches Associate Justice, the Clerk and Sheriff.

Louis Chevallier Appellant

v.

Territory of Arizona Respondent

Appeal from this Judgment rendered in the District Court of the Third Dist

in and for the County of Yavapai and Territory of Arizona, on the 10th day of July 1867, And the cause coming on to be heard, and being submitted upon the transcript of the case, and being fully considered by the Court; It is ordered and adjudged by the Court, that the said Judgment ~~recorded~~ of said Court below, be and the same is hereby in all things affirmed; and that a remittitur of the same issue to the Court below.

Upon motion of D. C. Hargrave Esq. that John M. Bowtree be admitted as an Attorney and Counsellor of this Court; And the said John M Bowtree, after due examination in open Court and having taken such oaths, and having paid the fee as required by law. — It is ordered that he be admitted as an Attorney and Counsellor of this Court.

It is ordered by the Court that John Howard, be appointed United States Commissioner for the Territory of Arizona to reside at Prescott in the County of Yavapai.

That Henry Jenkins be appointed United States Commissioner for the Territory of Arizona to reside in Pima County,

That Peter . Dell. — be appointed United States Commissioner for the Territory of Arizona to reside in Yuma County.

And that W. L. Harrell be appointed United States Commissioner for the Territory of Arizona to reside at Hardyville in the County of Mojave.

E H. Wells Jr. having tendered to the Court his resignation as Clerk of the Supreme Court to take effect on the 15th day of December next, and the same having been excepted, It is hereby ordered that Joseph H. Archibald be and is hereby appointed Clerk of the Supreme Court to date from the 15th day of December 1867.

It is ordered by the Court that the Clerk forward all the Civil and Criminal papers of the Clerk's office to Prescott by the earliest opportunity after December 1st 1867.

Court adjourned for the Term.

Attest:
(S) E. H. Wells
Clerk.

(Signed) Joseph P. Allyn
Chief Justice

At a sefion of the Supreme Court for the Territory of Arizona held persuant to law at the District Court Room in the Town of Tucson this 11th day of November A.D. 1868

Present

The Hon. Henry. T. Backus and the Hon Harley. H. Carter Judges of said Court.

The Territory having provided no room in which to hold the court or for the Clerks office or attendance fuel lights and stationary suitable and sufficient for the transaction of business

It is ordered by the Court that the Sheriff of Pima County procure such room attendance fuel lights & stationary and present his bills therefor to the Court for audit and allowances

Court Adjourned to Tomorrow at 10 Oclock. A.M.

Attest.

Oscar Buckalew.

Clk.

H. T. Backus

Presiding Judge.

At a sefion of the Supreme Court for the Territory of Arizona held persuant to adjournment at the Court Room in the Town of Tucson this 12th day of November A.D. 1868. at 10 Oclock A.M.

Present

The Hon Henry T Backus & the Hon Harley H Carter Judges of said Court

Court adjourned to tomorrow at 10 Oclock A.M.

Attest.

Oscar Buckalew.

Clk.

H. T. Backus

Presiding Judge.

At a sepion of the Supreme Court for the Territory of Arizona held persuant to adjournment at the Court Room in the Town of Tucson this 13th day of November A D 1868 at 10 O'clock A M

Present

The Hon Henry. T. Backus & the Hon Hanley Ochiltree Judges of said Court

Court adjourned to tomorrow at 10 O clock A M.

H. T. Backus
Presiding Judge

attest.

Oscar Buckalew.
Clk.

At a sepion of the Supreme Court for the Territory of Arizona held persuant to adjournment at the District Court Room in the town of Tucson this 14th day of November A D 1868 at 10 O'clock A.M.

Present

The Hon Henry. T. Backus and the Hon Hanley. O. Ochiltree Judges of said Court.

Court adjourned to Monday the 16th day of November A.D. 1868. at 10 O'clock A.M.

H. T. Backus
Presiding Judge.

attest.

Oscar Buckalew.
Clk.

At a sepion of the Supreme Court for the Territory of Arizona held persuant to adjournment at the Court Room in the town of Tucson this 16th day of November A.D. 1868. at 10 O'clock A.M.

Present

The Hon Henry. T. Backus and the Hon Hanley Ochiltree Judges of said Court.

J. C. McCaffry. Esq presented to the Court this petition for admission as an attorney and Counselor of this Court. after due examination in open Court and after taking the oath and

with the Clerk of the Court $20.00 as also required by law
It is ordered by the Court that the said J G McC. be & he is hereby admitted as an Attorney and Counselor of this Court
Whereupon the Court adjourned to Wednesday the 18th day of November A.D. 1868.

Attest.
Oscar Buckalew.
Clk.

A. F. Backus
Presiding Judge

At a session of the Supreme Court for the Territory of Arizona held pursuant to adjournment at the Court Room in the town of Tucson this 18th day of November A.D. 1868. at 10 Oblock A.M.
Present
The Hon Henry. T. Backus and the Hon Harley. H. Carter. Judges of said Court.
Court adjourned to Saturday the 21st day of November A.D. 1868. at 10 Oclock A.M.

Attest.
Oscar Buckalew.
Clk.

A. F. Backus
Presiding Judges.

At a sesion of the Supreme Court for the Territory of Arizona held pursuant to adjournment at the Court Room in the town of Tucson this 21st day of November A D 1868 at 10 Oblock A.M.
Present
The Hon Henry T Backus and the Hon Harley H Carter - Judges of said Court

At a meeting of the Judges of the Supreme Court held at Tucson on the 21st day of November A D 1868 Present The Hon Henry. T. Backus. and the Hon Harley H Carter being a quorum of the Judges of said Court
In pursuance of the act of Congress in such cases provided it is hereby ordered That the District Court for the first Judicial District and County of Pima be held at Tucson in the County of Pima on the first monday of April and the first Monday in October in each year and that a Special Term

of Court be held at Tucson on the 2d monday of December A. D. 1868.

That the District Court for the Second Judicial District and County of Yuma be held at La Paz in the County of Yuma on the first Monday of March and the first monday of September in each year.

That the District Court for the third Judicial District and County of Yavapai be held at Prescott in the County of Yavapai on the first monday of April and the first monday of October in each year

And it is further ordered that the terms of said Courts respectively above provided for be held for no longer time at each term than three weeks & that any adjourned terms of said Courts that may by the Judge thereof be deemed necessary are hereby limited to the term of one week

And it is further ordered that all business & proceedings on or returnable to the several District Courts for said districts as heretofore established be proceeded with and returned to the Courts hereby established

And be it further ordered that the Clerk of the Supreme Court with the least possible delay forward a copy of these orders to the clerk of the several District Courts hereby established and cause a copy of the same duly certified by him to be published in a newspaper at Prescott and Tucson & it is further ordered that these orders be entered at length on the records of the Supreme Court.

The Sheriff of Pima County presented his account in behalf of the County of Pima for Court Room & Clerks office for the use of the Supreme Court amounting to the sum of One hundred dollars

It is ordered the same be and hereby is allowed at that amount and that the Clerk duly certify the same for payment

The Sheriff also presented his account for stationary in behalf of Chief Justice Lee amounting to $22.12 and his account for attendance on Court for six days at $5.00 per day amounting to $30.00

It is also ordered that the same be and is hereby allowed at the amounts above stated and that the Clerk certify the same for payment.

It is ordered that Charles T Bowden be and he is hereby appointed District Court Commissioner for the first Judicial District to fill the vacancy occasioned by the death of Henry Jenkins.

It appearing to the Court that there is no provision made by law allowing payment to the Clerk for the performance of his duty in attending upon the Court and Keeping the Journals thereof

It is therefore ordered that a compensation of Five dollars per day be and the same is hereby allowed the Clerk of this Court as a compensation for his attendance on and Keeping the Journals of said Court

And it is further ordered that this be the established rule of the Court until otherwise provided

The Court was adjourned to 23d day of November A.D. 1868 at 10 Oclock A.M.

Attest.

Oscar Buckalew.
Clk.

H. B. Bacheus
Presiding Judge.

At a Sepion of the Supreme Court for the Territory of Arizona held persuant to adjournment at the Court Room in the Town of Tucson this 23 d day of November a.D. 1868 at 10 Oclock A.M

Present

The Hon Henry T. Backus. & The Hons Harley Hale arter Judges of said Court.

The Clerk of this Court presented his account for bills of printing and services therein Amounting to $131.00

It is ordered that the same be and is hereby allowed at that amount.

The Court adjourned without day.

Attest.

Oscar Buckalew.
Clk.

H. B. Backus
Presiding Judge.

And now November 10th 1869 The Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona was adjourned until the first Monday of March next 1870 at 10 Oclock A.M. of that day and at the Court House in Tucson by written order to the Marshal of said Territory which is herewith filed

Attest.

Oscar Buckalew,
Clk.

And now on this 7th day of March A D 1870 at 10 Oclock A.M. The Hon John Titus being present In view of the general prevalence of the Small Pox in Tucson Arizona the adjourned term of the supreme court in and for the Territory of Arizona which was to meet on the 1st Monday of March 1870 is hereby ordered to stand adjourned to the next regular term of said Court. At the Court Room of the Supreme Court. the above order is approved and the Supreme Court is adjourned accordingly.

Attest

Oscar Buckalew.
Clerk

John Titus,
Associate Justice
of the Supreme Court
Arizona Ter. 1870.

The Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona met pursuant to law on this 18th day of January A D 1871 at 10 Oclock A M
Present His Honor John Titus Chief Justice. L. A. Tweed. and Ishan Reavis associate Justices of the Supreme Court.

On Motion of Coles Bashford Thomas P Dubbicy was admitted as an attorney and Counsellor at Law of this Court

The Court was adjourned to Monday the 23d day of Jan A D 1871 at 10 Oclock A M

Attest

Oscar Buckalew.
Clerk.

Chief Justice
John Titus
Ch. Just.

Court met pursuant to adjournment on this 23d day of January A D 1871 at 10 Oclock A.M. Present His Honor John Titus Chief Justice. C.A. Tweed. and Isham Reavis Associate Justices of the Supreme Court.

On motion of Coles Bashford Marcus D Dobbins was admitted as an attorney and counsellor at Law of this Court

The Court was adjourned to Saturday the 28th day of January A D 1871 at 10 Oclock A.M.

Attest.

Oscar Buckalew.
Clerk.

John Titus.
Chief Justice.

Court met pursuant to adjournment on this 28th day of Janf A D 1871 at 10 Oclock A.M. Present His Honor

John Titus Chief Justice C.A. Tweed and Isham Reavis. Associate Justices of the Supreme Court.

On motion Harley Harter was admitted as an attorney and counsellor at Law of this Court

The Court was adjourned to Wednesday the 1st day of February A D 1871 at 10 Oclock A.M.

Attest.

Oscar Buckalew.
Clerk.

John Titus
Chief Justice

Court met pursuant to adjournment on this 1st day of February A.D. 1871. at 10 Oclock A.M. Present His Honor John Titus Chief Justice Isham Reavis and C.A. Tweed associate Justices of the Supreme Court

It is ordered by the Court that the rules adopted by this Court on the 27th day of December A D 1865 be and they are hereby adopted and recognized as governing the practice of this Court by amending rule 9th by striking out on first line all after the word "Certified" to word "or" and including word "or" in second line of said rule "nine"

Attest

Oscar Buckalew.
Clerk.

[signature]
Chief Justice.

Forward.

Rules. Tucson Jan 28/1871. Hereafter until further ordered there shall be for holding the sessions of each of the District courts of this Territory two regular terms per each year commencing at the several times and places following
First District At Tucson in the County of Pima on the first Mondays of March and October respectively
Second District. At Arizona City in the County of Yuma on the Third Monday of March and the first Monday of November
Third District At Prescott in the County of Yavapai on the Third Mondays of June and November respectively
(Signed) John Titus
Johann Bearis } Judges of the Supreme Court of Arizona
W.A. Tweed. } Territory.
Attest. O Buckalew. Ck.

The Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona met pursuant to law on this 8th day of January A.D. 1872 at 10 Oblock A.M.
Present His Honor John Titus. Chief Justice and His Hon W.A. Tweed. associate Justice of the Supreme Court

The United States. Appellants
Vs.
O Bichard Et al Respondents

The hearing of this case was fixed for Friday the 12th day of January A.D. 1872 at 10 O'clock A.M.

Michael Kennedy Appellant
Vs
The Territory of Arizona Respondent

The hearing of this case was set for Wednesday the 10th day of January A.D. 1872 at 10 Oblock A.M.

On motion of J.P. Haigraves Esq.
It is ordered that the clerk place the cases of J. Simmons appellant Vs. J.P. Davis Respondent and the case of R.G. Soule appellant Vs. A.G. Dunn Respondent on the Calendar of this January Term of the Supreme Court A.D. 1872.

On motion of J.P. Haigraves Esq it is ordered that the application of Frank Ganahl and Clarence Gray for admission as Attorneys and Counsellors of this Court was continued to Tomorrow at 10 Oblock A.M.

On motion of D E McCaffry Esq. it is ordered that the application of Granville H Dunn for admission as attorney and Counsellor of this Court be Continued till tomorrow at 10 oclock A.M.

Court adjourned to tomorrow the 9th day of Jany A.D.1877 at 10 O'clock A.M.

John Titus.
Chief Justice.

Court met pursuant to adjournment on this 9th day of Jany A.D.1877 Present His Honor John Titus Chief Justice. Johnson Reavis and C.A. Tweed Associate Justices of the Supreme Court

On motion Granville H Dunn. Clarence. Gray and Frank Ganahl. was admitted as attorneys and counsellors at Law of this Court.

On motion of Clarence Gray Esq. It is ordered that the case of A.A. Mix appellant Vs the Territory of Arizona Respondent be placed on the Calendar for this term of this Court.

On motion of C.W.C Rowell Esq it is ordered that the cases of Gue=Cha=Co appellant Vs Territory of Arizona and William Gertrude appellant Vs Territory of Arizona Respondent be placed upon the calendar of this term of this Court.

William G Poindexter Appl.
Vs
Henry Wickenburg. Et-al-Resp.

By consent of parties this cause is continued to next term of court. with leave for appellant to file his brief

David Neahr admrs &c Appl.
Vs
Charles L Jones Respondent

This cause was set for argument on the 11th day of January A.D 1877 at 10 oclock A.M.

Court adjourned to tomorrow the 10th day of January A.D.1877 at 10 oclock A.M.

Attest.
O Buckalew
Clerk

John Titus
Chief Justice

Court met pursuant to adjournment on this 10th day of January A D 1872 at 10 Oclock A.M. Present Hon John Titus Chief Justice Johan Reavis and 6 A Tweed associate Justices of the Supreme Court.

Michael Kennedy Appellant
vs.
Teritory of Arizona Respondent

This cause came on for argument and was argued by G H Oury for appellant and D E McCaffry Atty Genl. for the Teritory and submitted and by the Court taken under advisement.

William S Oury admrs &c Respondent
vs
Milton B Duppield appellant

This cause was set for tomorrow at 10 Oclock A.M.

Court was adjourned to tomorrow the 11th day of January A D 1872 at 10 Oclock A.M.

Attest
O Buckalew. Ck.

John Titus.
Chief Justice

Court met pursuant to adjournment of yesterday on this 11th day of January A D 1872 at 10 Oclock A.M. Present: Hon John Titus Chief Justice Johan Reavis and 6 A Tweed associate Justices of the Supreme Court.

B A Hussey administrator of the Estate of A G Dunn deceased
Plaintiff and Respondent
vs
R G Soule Defendant and appellant

It appearing to the court that A G Dunne died in the month of November 1870 and that thereafter B A Hussey was duly appointed administrator of the Estate of said deceased.

On motion of Coles Bashford of counsel for said administrator and by consent of D Pharagrave of Counsel for said Soule. It is ordered that said action be and the same is hereby revived and continued in the name of B A Hussey administrator of the estate of said deceased as plaintiff and respondent instead of the name of A [illegible]

already had.
William S Camp adms &c Respondent.
Vs.
M B Duffield appellant
This cause was continued and set for Saturday the 13th day of Jany A D 1877 at 10 a M.
William H Hardy plaintiff and appellant
Vs
Chas E Belmold Et al. Defts and Respondents
In this case It is ordered that an Order issue from this Court directing the Clerk of the Second Judicial District, Court in and for the County of Yuma to certify to this Court the whole of the record in this Case and that this cause be continued to next term

Charles L Jones plaintiff and Respondent.
Vs.
David Neahr Exr &c Dept and Appellant.
This cause coming on for hearing was argued for appellant by Messrs McCaffry and Haigraves and by Frank Ganahl Esq for Respondent and was submitted to the Court and the Court took this cause under advisement.
Territory of Arizona plaintiff and Respondent.
Vs
Wm H Forrest Defendant and appellant.
In this cause Messrs Haigraves and McCaffry appeared for appellant and Frank Ganahl for Respondent and by consent of parties this cause was submitted on the briefs without argument and the Court took this cause under advisement.
Territory of Arizona plaintiff and Respondent.
Vs.
A A Mix Defendant and appellant.
This Cause Came on to be heard Clarence Gray Esq Counsel of appellant and D & McCaffry for Respondent and was by Consent submitted on briefs filed herein and was by the Court taken under advisement Court adjourned to tomorrow the 12th day of Jany A D 1877 at 10 oblock a m
Attest
O Buckalew. Clk.
John Titus
Chief Justice

Court met pursuant to adjournment on this 17th day of January A D 1877 at 10 Oclock A.M. Present His Honor John Titus Chief Justice and Ira Reed Associate Justice of the Supreme Court

On motion of G.H.Gray. It is ordered that John Anderson be and he is hereby admitted as an attorney and Counsellor at Law of this Court.

Court was adjourned until January 15th 1877 at 10 Oclock A.M.

Attest.
O Buckalew. Clk.

John Titus.
Chief Justice.

Court met pursuant to adjournment on this 15th day of January A.D. 1877. at 10 Oclock A.M. Present His Honor John Titus Chief Justice. Isham Reavis and Ira A. Reed Associate Justices of the Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona

The United States. appellants
vs
W Richard Et-al Respondents

This cause coming on for hearing was argued by C W C Rowell Esq U S Dist Atty of appellants. and by ~~O'Haughey Esq and~~ J M McCaffry Esq for Respondents and was submitted to the Court and the court took this cause under advisement

Court was adjourned until tomorrow the 16th day of January A.D. 1877 at 10 Oclock A.M.

Attest.
O Buckalew. Clk.

John Titus.
Chief Justice.

Court met pursuant to adjournment on this 16th day of January A D 1877 at 10. Oclock. a.m. Present His Honor John Titus Chief Justice Isham Reavis and Ira A. Reed associate Justices of the Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona

William B Ouray adms &c Respondent
vs
Wilton B Duffield. appellant

This cause coming on to be

Rowell appeared for appellant and G H Gray for Respondent and was heard and submitted to the Court and by the Court taken under advisement

B A Hussey administrator of the Estate of A G Dunne deceased Plaintiff and Respondent

vs.

R G Soule Defendant and appellant.

This cause coming on to be heard Coles Bashford appeared for Respondent and D P Haigrave for appellant and was submitted on the briefs without argument and was by the Court taken under advisement

D Simmons appellant

vs.

D H Davis Respondent

This cause came on to be heard D P Haigrave appeared for appellant and Coles Bashford Esq for Respondent and was submitted on the briefs without argument and was by the Court taken under advisement

Court adjourned until Tomorrow the 17th day of January A D 1877 at 10 Oclock A. M.

Attest.

O Buckalew. Ck.

John Titus.
Chief Justice.

Court met pursuant to adjournment on this 17th day of January A D 1877 at 10 Oclock A.M. Present Hon John Titus Chief Justice. Johnson Reed and Coles Bashford Associate Justices of the Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona.

William Gertrude Appellant

vs

Territory of Arizona Respondent

G H Gray Esq was assigned as Counsel for William Gertrude and this cause was continued until tomorrow at 10 Oclock A.M.

Territory of Arizona Respondent

vs

Milton Bradfield appellant

This cause came on for hearing and was argued by Robt Lowell Esq for appellant and D. E McCafferty Esq atty Genl. for the Territory of Arizona and submitted to the court and was by the Court taken under advisement

Court adjourned until tomorrow the 18th day of January A D 1877 at 10 Oclock AM.

Attest.

O Buckalew. Clk. John Titus. Chief Justice.

Court met pursuant to adjournment on this 18th day of January A.D. 1877 at 10 Oclock A.M. Present His Honor John Titus Chief Justice Johan Reavis and C.A. Tweed. associate Justices of the Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona

Territory of Arizona Respondent
vs
Anthony Dorman. appellant

This cause was continued to next term of this Court.

Territory of Arizona Respondent
vs
William Gertrude appellant

This cause coming on to be heard was by consent of counsel submitted to the court without argument on the briefs and the Court took this cause under advisement.

Territory of Arizona Respondent
vs
Due-Cha-Co. appellant

This cause coming on to be heard Robt Lowell Esq appearing for appellant and D E McCafferty Esq Atty Genl for respondents. and this cause was submitted to the Court on briefs filed herein without argument and was by the Court held under advisement.

Court adjourned to Monday the 22d day of January A.D. 1877 at 10 Oclock A.M.

Attest.

O Buckalew. Clerk. John Titus. Chief Justice.

Court met pursuant to adjournment on this 22d day of January A.D. 1877 at 10 Oblock a.m. Present His Honor John Titus Chief Justice and Johan Reavis and L.A. Treed associate Justices of the Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona.

John H Archibald Executor of the will of Newton Israel deceased. Respondent.

Vs.

Isaac Goldberg and Philip Drachman. appellants.

This cause coming on to be heard upon the motion herein filed by D E McCaffry atty for the Respondent to dismiss the said appeal under the 3d and 4th Rules of this Court and the certificate of the Clerk below as required by said Rule 4th having been presented in open Court and their appellants by their attorney John Anderson Esqr having in open Court consented to the dismissal of the said appeal.

It is by the court ordered that the said appeal be and the same is hereby dismissed.

Court was adjourned to the 25th day of January A D 1877 at 10 Oblock a.m.

Attest.

O Buckalew.
Ck.

John Titus.
Chief Justice.

Court met pursuant to adjournment on this 25th day of January A.D. 1877. at 10 Oblock a.m. Present His Honor John Titus Chief Justice Johan Reavis and L A Treed apociate Justices of the Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona

Court was adjourned to monday the 29th day of January A D 1877 at 10 Oblock a.m.

Attest.

O Buckalew.
Ck.

John Titus.
Chief Justice.

Court Met pursuant to adjournment on this 29th day of January A.D. 1871 at 10 Oclock A.M. Present His Honor John. Titus Chief Justice Johan Reavis and the Two associate Justices of the Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona

Court was adjourned to Tuesday the 30th day of January A D 1871 at 10 Oclock A.M.

Attest

O Buckalew C.C. John Titus.
Chief Justice

Court Met pursuant to adjournment on this 30 day of January A D 1871 at 10 Oclock A M Present Hon John Titus Chief Justice Johan Reavis and the Two associate Justices of the Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona.

D E McCaffry Esq Dist Atty of the County of Pima presented a bill for the amt of $200. for rent of Clerks office and Court Room for the Supreme Court for the years A D 1870 and 1871.

It is ordered that the same be allowed.

L P Haigrave Esq submitted for the approval of this Court Rules regulating, restricting and defining the powers and duties of the District Court Commissioners in the several Judicial Districts of this Territory.

It is ordered that said Rules be approved and adopted and that they be placed on file in the Clerks office.

Territory of Arizona ex rel of
David Neahr Executor of
the Estate of John W Jones deceased
vs
Charles L Jones - Respondent

In accordance with stipulation filed in this Court, It is ordered that in the County of Yuma said Court stay all proceedings upon the bill filed by Petitioner in this case, vacate the order appointing a Receiver, and the order of Injunction and that the proceedings heretofore commenced by the Probate Court of the said County of Yuma, touching said Estate may be settled by due course of administration, and that the Sheriff ...

=ation of the matter herein before this Court be continued for the Term. and that the Clerk of this Court certify a copey of this order to the District Court of the County of Yuma.

Opinion delivered by Fenn Associate J
Titus Ch. J. and Ramey Associate J. concurring.

Territory of Arizona & Ret Wm. St. Forest
Administrator of the Estate of Robert Cavanaugh dec'd
vs
The District Court Second Judicial District
in and for the County of Yuma

It is ordered that the District Court of the Second Judicial District in and for the County of Yuma so modify its order herein as to make the Receiver so appointed the custodian only of the real property with the rents and profits thereof. And that said order so far as it requires the administrator, the relator herein, to turn over the monies or other personal property of said Estate to said Receiver be recalled

Opinion delivered by Fenn Asst. J.
Titus Chief J. concurring

William S Orme Administrator
of the Estate of Chas. Lauer Deceased Respondent
vs
Millon B Duffield Appellant

In this case judgement was affirmed
Opinion delivered by Fenn Asst. J. Titus Chief J.
and Ramey Asst J concurring.

The Territory of Arizona Respondent
vs
Encinas Appellant

In this case the Court orders that the judgement be reversed and the cause remanded for proceedings, then a sufficient Indictment. Fenn Asst J delivered the opinion, Titus Chief J and Ramey Asst J concurring.

Over

Territory of Arizona Respondent
vs
Michael Kennedy Appellant

In this case it is order that the judge-=ment of the Court below be, and the same is hereby reversed, and that this cause be remanded for a new trial.

Opinion delivered by Tweed asst J. Reavis asst J concurring.

Territory of Arizona Respondent
vs
M B Duffield Appellant

In this case it is ordered that the judgement of the Court below be reversed, and that as no valid conviction can be had upon the Indictment herein, no new trial will be ordered. It is therefore directed that the bail of Appellant herein be and it is hereby exonerated, and the cause remanded for such further procedings as shall be necessary and proper according law.

Opinion delivered by Reavis asst J. Tweed asst J concurring.

Title chief J. delivered a dissenting opinion.

Territory of Arizona Respondent
vs
Ah Hoi Appellant

In this case it is ordered that the District Court of the Second Judicial District of the Territory of Arizona vacate and rescind of record its record order of discharge of A B Kelly, and dismissing Ah Hoi as administrator of the Estate of M. N Wakkins deceased, that Ah Hoi, or whoever is or may be appointed administrator of said Estate by the Probate Court of Pima County be allowed to administer such Estate as the law directs, and that the Clerk of this Court certify this order to the said District Court.

Opinion delivered by Chief J. Title - Tweed asst J concurring

Reavis Asst. delivered a dissenting opinion.

The United States Plaintiff and Appellant
vs
Celsus Gastelu. et al Defendants Respondents

In this case the decree of the District Court of the Second Judicial District Territory of Arizona made in this case is hereby affirmed and the Certificate of probate Court is ordered
Opinion delivered by Titus Chief J.
Tweed Asst J. concurring.
Reavis Asst J delivered a dissenting opinion

The account of O Buckalew clerk of this Court for $150.00 was presented and allowed.

John H Archibald Exr &c Respondents
vs
Isaac Goldberg and Philip Drachman

In this cause it is ordered that the dismissal of the appeal upon the motion herein filed of respondent by his attorney D C McCabe Esqr be entered upon the minutes of the Court as of the date of January 12th A D 1877 the date at which the said order was actually made and it is so entered.

Court adjourned until tomorrow the 31st day of January A D 1877 at 10 O'clock A.M.

Attest.
O Buckalew.
Clerk.

Titus
Chief Justice

Court met pursuant to adjournment on this 31st day of January A.D. 1877 at 10 O'clock A.M. Present His Honor John Titus Chief Justice and his Associate Justices of the Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona

Territory of Arizona Respondent
vs
William Gertrude appellant

In this case it is ordered that the Judgment in the Court below be reversed and the cause

remanded for new trial

Opinion delivered by. Titus chief Justice. Tweed associate Justice concurring.

In the matter of fixing and limiting the Terms of the District Courts of the Territory of Arizona

Until the further order of this Court the respective Terms of the District Courts of the several Districts of this Territory shall commence at the times designated by the act of the Legislature of Arizona approved January 27th 1871 and the duration of such terms shall be so long as may be necessary to transact the business thereof and no longer

Court adjourned until tomorrow the First day of February A.D. 1871 at 10 Oclock A.M.

Attest.

O Buckalew.
Clerk.

John Titus
Chief Justice

Court met pursuant to adjournment on this 1st day of February A.D. 1871 at 10 Oclock A M Present His Honor John Titus Chief Justice and C.A. Tweed. associate Justice of the Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona

The acct of O Buckalew Clk of this Court was examined and allowed at the sum of twenty eight and 40/100

And the Court was adjourned without day.

John Titus
Chief Justice

The Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona met pursuant to law on this 13th day of January A.D. 1873 at 10 Oclock A.M.

Present His Honor John Titus Chief Justice
C.A. Tweed and De Forest Porter Associate Judges of the Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona

On motion of D.E. McCaffry Esq. W.F. Fleming was admitted as an attorney and counsellor at law of this court.

On motion the application of D.T. Aloah T.D. Bidwell and D.R. Bunoche and L.C. Hughes for admission as attorneys and counsellors at law of this court was continued until friday the 17th day of January A.D. 1873 at 10 Oclock A.M.

On motion of G.H. Oury. It is ordered that the Clerk place the cases of E. Irvine. against Santerio Lopez on the Calendar of this Jany Term of this Court

Territory of Arizona Respondent
vs
Anthony Dorman Appellant. Appeal

This cause was called and the hearing of the same set for friday the 17th Jany 1873 at 10 Oclock A.M.

Court adjourned until friday the 17th day of Jany A.D. 1873 at 10 Oclock A.M.

Attest.
O Buckalew Clk.

John Titus
Chief Justice.

Court met pursuant to adjournment on this 17th day of January A.D. 1873 at 10 Oclock A.M.

Present His Honor John Titus Chief Justice.
C.A. Tweed and De Forest Porter Associate Judges of the Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona.

D.R. Bunoche and L.C. Hughes were duly admitted as attorneys and counsellors at law of this court.

The application of D.T. Aloah and T.D. Bidwell for admission as attorneys and Counsellors at law of this Court was on motion Continued

Territory of Arizona Respondent
vs
Anthony Dorman Appellant Appeal

This cause was continued until Tuesday the 21st of Jany A.D. 1873. at 10 Oclock A.M.

Over

On motion. It is ordered that the Sheriff in attendance on this Court procure Sufficient Stationary for the use of the Court.

Court adjourned until tuesday the 21st day of January 1873 at 10 Oclock a.m.

attest OBuckalew.
Clerk.

John Titus
Chief Justice.

Court met pursuant to adjournment on this 21st day of January A.D.1873. at 10 Oclock a.m.

Present His Honor John Titus Chief Justice
D.A.Reed and DeForest Porter associate Justices of the Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona.

A motion having been heretofore made for the admission of T. J Bidwell and J Talcah for admission as attorneys and Counsellors at Law of this Court. and the said applicant being present were duly admitted as such attorneys and Counsellors at Law of this supreme Court.

Territory of Arizona Respt
vs
Anthony Dorman Appt

This cause is continued to Saturday the 25th day of Jany. 1873 at 10 a.m.

R.G. Sands. Appt.
vs
A G Dunn Respt.
B A Hussey administrator

In accordance with stipulations herein filed. It is ordered that this cause be remanded to the District Court Third Judicial District with directions for the Clerk of said court to enter satisfaction of the Judgment.

The Sheriff in attendance on the court presented the acct of Ford and Williams for Stationary the same amounting to $53.75 and was ordered allowed and that the same be paid.

William G Poindexter Appellant.
vs.
Henry Wickenburg et al Respt.

Motion was made in this case for a continuance of the cause for this term of Court and was submitted to the Court Court adjourned to Saturday the 25th day of January 1873. OBuckalew

John Titus
Chief Justice.

Court met persuant to adjourned on this 25th day of January A.D. 1873 at 10 oblock A M

Present Hon John Titus Chief Justice & A Treed and De Forest Porter associate Justices of the Supreme Court Arizona Territory.

Territory of Arizona Resh.
Vs.
Anthony Dorman Appe.

This cause was continued until Monday the 27th day of January A.D. 1873 at 10 Oblocks A.M.

Court was adjourned until monday the 27th day of January A.D. 1873 at 10 Oblock A.M.

attest.
O Buckalew.
Clk.

John Titus.
Chief. Justice.

Court met persuant to adjournment on this 27th. day of January A.D. 1873. at 10 Oblocks A.M.

Present Hon John Titus Chief Justice & A Treed and De Forest Porter associate Justices of the Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona.

Territory of Arizona Resh.
Vs.
Anthony Dorman Appe.

This Cause was continued until Wednesday the 29th day of Jany A D 1873 at one Oblock P.M.

Levi Bashford and
Coles Bashford.
Respondents.
Vs.
Isaac Jackson
Solomon Jackson
Solomon Thompson
Alexander B Smith
Appellants

It appearing to the court that this case has been appealed to this court from the district court of

Yavapai County and it further appearing that the District Clerk of the Third Judicial District in and for Yavapai County has made a return in pursuance of said appeal and placed the return in the hands of John Howard Esq the attorney of appellants for the purpose of filing it with the Clerk of this Court which he has failed to do. Now then on Motion of Haigraves and Bashford attys for Respondents and after hearing counsel in opposition thereto. It is ordered that said John Howard file said return whether the same be correct or not during this day.

E. Irvine.

vs

Santerio Lopez

This cause was submitted to the Court on the briefs of the appellant and Respondent. without arguement and was by the Court taken under advisement

James R Porter. appellant.

vs

Wm Richard & co. Respondent

This cause coming on for hearing was argued to the Court by H. & H Baxter Esq atty for appellant and by D.P. Haigraves. for Respondents and was by the Court taken under advisement

Court adjourned until Wednesday the 29th day of January A D 1873 at 2 Oblock P.M.

attest

O Buckalew.

Clk.

Chief Justice

Court met pursuant to adjournment on this 29th day of January A.D. 1873. at 2 Oblock P.M.

Present Hon Ca Tweed presiding and Hon De Forest Porter associate Justice

Court adjourned until Tomorrow the 30th day of January A.D. 1873 at 2 Oblock P.M.

attest

O Buckalew.

Clk.

Presiding Judge.

Court met pursuant to adjournment on this 30th day of January A.D. 1873 at 2 Oclock P.M.

Present Hon C A Tweed presiding and Hon De Forest Porter associate Justice

Court was adjourned until tomorrow The 31st day of January A.D. 1873 at 10 Oclock P.M.

attest.

O Buckalew.
Clk.

Chas A Tweed
Presiding Judge

Court met pursuant to adjournment on this 31st day of January A.D. 1873 at 2 oclock P.M.

Present Hon John Titus Chief Justice Ca Tweed and De Forest Porter associate Judges of the Supreme Court

Levi Bashford Respondent
vs.
Alexander B Smith
Solomon Kaup
Solomon Jackson &
Jesse Jackson Appellants

In this case D P Haugrave Esq ally for Respondent Moves the Court to place this cause on the Calendar and the motion was argued and by the Court denied and overruled.

Territory of Arizona Respt.
against
Anthony Dorman Appellant.

This cause was submitted to the Court without argument and the Court took the same under advisement

Territory of Arizona Respondent
against
Richard M Haugrave Appellant.

This Cause was submitted to the Court without argument and the Court took the same under advisement.

Court adjourned until Saturday the 1st day of February A.D. 1873 at 2 Oclock P.M.

Attest.

O Buckalew.
Clerk.

John T. Titus
Chief Justice.

Court met pursuant to adjournment on this 1st day of February A D 1873. at 2 Oclock P.M.

Present. Hon John Titus Chief Justice. & A Tweed and Dr Forest Porter associate Justices of the Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona.

Court adjourned until monday the 3d. day of February A.D. 1873. at 2. Oclock P.M.

Attest

O Buckalew
Clerk.

John Titus.
Chief Justice.

Court met pursuant to adjournment on this 3d. day of February A.D. 1873. at 10 block P.M.

Present Hon John Titus Chief Justice & A Tweed and Dr. Forest Porter associate Justices of the supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona

William H Hardy appellant
Vs.
Charles E Detmold et al Resp.

In this case appellant by his attorney D P Haigraves Esq moves this court for an order to issue from this Court directing the Clerk of the District Court in and for the 2d Judicial District and County of Yuma to certify to this court the whole of the records in this case. This motion was argued by counsel and submitted to the court. and the Court took the same under advisement

Court adjourned until thursday the 6th day of February A.D. 1873. at 10 Oclock. AM

Attest.

O Buckalew.
Clerk.

John Titus.
Chief Justice.

Court met pursuant to adjournment on this 6th day of February 1873 at 10 Oblock. A.M.

Present Hon John Titus Chief Justice & A Tweed
Associate Judge of the Supreme Court.

Court adjourned until tomorrow Feby 7th 1873 at 10 Oblock A.M.

Attest,

O Buckalew.
Clk.

John Titus.
Chief Justice.

Court met pursuant to adjournment on this 7th day of February A.D. 1873. at 10 Oclock A.M.

Present Hon John Titus Chief Justice & A Treed associate Justice of the Supreme Court.

Court adjourned until tomorrow the 8th day of February A.D. 1873 at 10 Oclock a.m.

attest

O Buckalew.
Clerk.

John Titus.
Chief Justice

Court met pursuant to adjournment on this 8th day of February A.D. 1873 at 10 Oclock A.M.

Present Hon John Titus Chief Justice and A Treed associate Justice

Territory of Arizona Respondent
against
Anthony Dorman appellant.

This cause was this day argued by D P Hargrave Esq atty for appellant and D & M C Caffry Esq atty Genl. for the Territory and was submitted and the Court took the same under advisement.

John W Simmons. appellant.
vs.
Joseph H Davis Respondent

This cause was submitted to the Court without argument and by the Court taken under advisement

Court adjourned to monday the 10th day of February A.D. 1873. at 2 Oclock P.M.

attest.

O Buckalew.
Clk.

John Titus.
Chief Justice.

Court met pursuant to adjournment on this 8th day of February A.D. 1873. at 2 o'clock P.M. Present Hon John Titus Chief Justice, & A Treed and De Forest Porter Associate Justices of the Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona.

Santiago Lopez Appellant
vs
Enrique

In this case it is ordered that the judgement of the Court be affirmed Associate Justice Sweet delivering Opinion, Chief Justice Titus and Associate Justice Porter Concurring -

William H Hardy Appellant and Plaintiff
vers
Charles E Ostwald Et Als Respondents and Defts -

In this case it is ordered that the motion heretofore made in this case suggesting defects to complete the record, that the case be remanded to the District Courts of the Second Judicial District without Prejudice to any of the parties with leave however to the Appellants to annex to the record in the case his statement of the Grounds of the Appeal with their Evidence, or to move the said District Court to open the Judgment of Non suit and for a new trial in the case on discontinuance of this Appeal

Justice Sweet dissents from this Order except the refusal of the Motion. Chief Justice Titus, Associate Justice Porter concurring -

John W Simmons Appellant
vers
Joseph H Davis Respt

In this case it is ordered that the Judgment of the District Courts of the Third Judicial District in and for the County of Yavapai be affirmed, and that the cause be remanded to the for Execution, Opinion delivered by Chief Justice Titus. Associate Justices Sweet and Porter Concurring -

The Territory of Arizona Respondent
against
Richard McMorrow Appellant

The judgment and order of this Court is that the original judgment in this case shall be carried into Execution by the issuance of an Alias Warrant such as is required in Capital cases in which the judgment of death is rendered, and that this judgment be entered in the minutes of this Courts and a certified Copy

of the Case with such & . thy & certificate remitted to the Clerk of the Court from which this Appeal was taken

Opinion delivered by Chief Justice Titus Associate Justices Tweed and Porter concurring

James B. Porter Appellant and Plaintiff
Vrews
William Bichard & Co Respondents and Defdts

The judgment and order of this Court in this case is that the order of the Pima Court of the Third Judicial District dismissing the judgment of default entered by the Clerk of that Court in this Case be confirmed and the case be remanded there for further proceedings thereon.

Opinion delivered by Chief Justice Titus Associate Justices Tweed and Porter Concurring.

Court adjourned until Tomorrow the 11th day of February A D 1873 at 10 Oclock a.m.

Attest

O Buckalew.
Clerk.

John Titus.
Chief Justice

Court met pursuant to adjournment on this 11th day of February a.D. 1873. at 10 oclock a.m.

Present Hon John Titus Chief Justice & Tweed and De Forest Porter. associate Justices of the Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona

Territory of Arizona Respondent.
against
Anthony Dorman appellant

In this Cause it is ordered that this Cause be remanded and a new Trial had in the District Court of the 1st Judicial District for the County of Pima and Territory of Arizona associate Justices Tweed and Porter concurring Chief Justice Titus dissenting

Over.

The Territory of Arizona Ex-rel-
David Neahr Executor of the Estate
of John W Jones Deceased. Appellant.
against
Charles L Jones Respondent.

In this case it is ordered that the costs be taxed against and collected from the Respondent Charles L Jones and the District Court 2d Judicial District is hereby ordered to tax the costs of the said action in that court against the said Respondent

In the matter of fixing and
limiting the terms of the Dist.
Courts of the several Counties
of the Territory of Arizona

Until the further order of this Court the terms of the District Courts of the several Districts of the Territory of Arizona shall respectively commence at the Times hereinafter mentioned and shall continue so long as may be necessary to transact the business before the respective Courts and no longer.

In the First District on the 1st Monday in March and 1st Monday in October

In the Second District on the 1st Monday in April and 1st Monday in December

And in the Third District on the first Monday in June and first Monday in November.

It is ordered by the Court that Rule 14th of this Court be amended in accordance with amendment this day submitted approved and filed herein marked A. and that the Clerk cause ten copies of said Rule to be distributed among Attys of this Court and one Copy to file with each of the Dist Court Clerks of this Territory.

The accts of OBuckalew Clk. of this Court was allowed amtg to $. 245.40 Court adjourned without day.

Attest

OBuckalew.
Clerk.

John Titus
Chief Justice

The Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona met pursuant to law on this monday January 12th A.D. 1874

Present His Hon. John Titus. Chief Justice and Hon C.A. Sweed and De Forest Porter associate Justices

On motion of J.E. McCaffry Esqr O.F. McCarty Esqr was admitted as an Attorney and Counselor at Law of this Court

The Territory of Arizona Respondent
against
Patrick F Leary Appellant

This cause was submitted without argument and by the Court taken under advisement

The Territory of Arizona
Ex rel C.W.C. Howell
Distrct atty Yuma County
against
J.B. Tuttle and
Joseph Goldwater

On motion of J.E. McCaffry Esqr The Transcript in the above cause was ordered filed and the cause placed upon the calendar.

William G Bonduelle Appellant
against
Henry Hackenburg Etals Respondent

The motion of Orin Bashford Esqr to dismiss the appeal was submitted without argument and by the Court taken under advisement

Court adjourned until tomorrow morning at 10. o'clock A.M.

Wm Titus.
Chief Justice

Clerk

Court met pursuant to adjournment on this 13th day of January A.D. 1874
Present His Hon. John Titus Chief Justice and Hon C.A. Tweed and DE Forest Porter Associate Justices

The Territory of Arizona
Ex rel C W C Rowell
District Atty Yuma County
vs
J. B. Tuttle and
Joseph Goldwater

This cause was set for hearing Saturday the 17th day of January A.D. 1874. at 10 O'clock A.M. of that day.

Court adjourned until tomorrow at 10. O'clock A.M.

John Titus
Chief Justice

Clerk

Court met pursuant to adjournment on this 14th day of January A.D. 1874.
Present His Hon. John Titus Chief Justice and Hon C.A. Tweed and DE Forest Porter Associate Justices

John G. Campbell and
James M Bates Appellants
vs
David P. Shirreo Respondent

This cause was argued by Coles Bashford Esq. for appellants and by J.G.M. Coffey Esq. and John A Rush Esq. for Respondents and was by the Court taken under advisement

Court adjourned until tomorrow at 10. O'clock A.M.

John Titus
Chief Justice

Clerk

Court met pursuant to adjournment on this 16th day of January A.D. 1874
Present a full Bench.
Consideration of Coles Bashford Esqr Counsel for Appellee was concluded in open Court and Counsel at Law of this Court.

Mark and Davis Appellants
against
Levi and Enoch Respondents

This cause was argued by Coles Bashford for Respondents and by J.E. McCaffry and John A Rush Esqrs for Appellants and was by the Court taken under advisement.

Levi Bashford Respondent
against
Alexander B Smith
Solomon Sharp
Solomon Jackson and
Jesse Jackson Appellants

This cause was submitted without Argument and by the Court taken under advisement

Court adjourned until Saturday the 17th day of January A.D. 1874 at 10 oclock A.M.

Clerk

Chief Justice. Asso. Just.

Court met pursuant to adjournment on this 17th day of January A.D. 1874 at 10 oclock A.M. Present His Honor John Titus Chief Justice & Wm Tweed and D.E. Forest Porter Associate Justices Supreme Court.

The Territory of Arizona Ex-rel-
W.W. Powell District attorney
of Yuma County Arizona
Respondt Against
D.B. Tuttle and
Joseph Goldwater.
Appellant

This cause was argued by D C McCabe Esq for Appellants and L. L. Hughes Esq Atty General for Respondents and by the Court taken under advisement

William G Saunderson Appellant
against
Henry Mckenburg et als Respondents

This cause having been regularly called on its regular order on the calendar
On motion of Coles Bashford Esq Attorney for Respondents Ordered that the appeal taken by Appellants from the Judgement entered herein on the day of A.D. 187 be dismissed with $63.00 costs against Appellants.

Court adjourned until Wednesday the 21st day of January at 10 oclock A.M.

Clerk

John Titus
Chief Justice

Court met pursuant to adjournment on this 21st day of January 1874.

Present Hon John Titus Chief Justice and C. C. Sweet Associate Justice.

There being no business ready Court adjourned until Saturday the 24th day of January 1874 at 12 oclock M.

Clerk

John Titus
Chief Justice

Court met Saturday January 24th 1874 at 12 oclock M. pursuance of adjournment
Present his Hon John Titts Chief Justice and Ca Tweed associate Justice.

Levi Bashford Respondent
against
Alexander B. Smith
Solomon Shoup
Solomon Jackson and
Jesse Jackson Appellants

This cause having been reached in its regular order on the Calendar and submitted without argument and considered by the Court On motion of Colo Bashford Attorney for Respondent Ordered that the Judgement entered herein on the 29th day of November A.D. 1872 be affirmed with $14.40 Costs against the Appellants and the case remanded for execution

The Territory of Arizona Respondent
against
Patrick T. Leary Appellant

In this case the judgement of the Court below is affirmed

The Territory of Arizona ex rel C M C Rowell dist Atty Yuma Co Respondent
against
J. B. Tuttle and
Joseph Goldwater. Appellants

This cause is ordered to be remanded with directions that the judgement and orders in this case be annulled and set aside.

Alonzo E Davis and
John A Rush Appellants
against
William Linn and
Washington French Respondents

} Ter. of Arizona January 1874

An appeal from the order entered in this case on the 15th day of July 1873, granting the respondents a new trial having been heard On motion of Colo Bashford

attorney for Respondents after hearing J & M. Cleoff attorney for appellants it is ordered that said order be affirmed with $13.40 costs to the appellants.
Opinion delivered by John Titus Chief Justice
Associate Justice Sweed Concurring

John G. Campbell and
James M Bates Appellants
against
David F Shivers Respondent

} At a general Term January 1874.

The Judgment of the Court below in the present case is hereby affirmed with $ 56.00 costs to appellants Opinion delivered by John Titus Chief Justice Associate Justice Sweed concurring

E. H. Ewing appellant
against
M. Reilley Respondent

The appeal in this case is dismissed for want of prosecution at the cost of the appellant.

Court adjourned until monday January 26th 1874 at 10 oclock A.M.

Attest.
O. Buckalew.
Clerk

John Titus
Chief Justice

Court met pursuant to adjournment on this 26th day of January A.D. 1874 at 10 oclock A.M.
Present His Hon John Titus Chief Justice and C. A. Sweed Associate Justice

William H Hardy appellant and Plaintiff

vs

Charles E Detmold et als Respondents and Defendants

On Motion of Otis Bashford Esq counsel for Respondents in the above entitled Cause it is ordered that the appeal herein be dismissed - with leave reserved to the appellant to have the same reinstated at the next Term of this Court upon a due

showing by said Appellant that before the date of this Order he has availed himself of the permission given by this Court at its last Term allowing him to annex to the record his statement of the grounds of the appeal, with the evidence

It is Ordered by the Court that Rule ten, Rule fourteen and Rule thirty-one of this Court be amended in accordance with the amendments this day submitted approved and filed herein, marked Amendments to Rules ten, fourteen and thirty-one of this Supreme Court, and that the Clerk cause Copies of the same to be filed in each of the District Courts of this Territory

And the further orders of the Judges of this Court the Terms of the several District Courts of this Territory shall be held at the times and places hereinafter mentioned and shall continue so long as may be necessary to transact the business before the respective Courts and no longer. In the First Judicial District on the first monday in March and the first monday in October at Tucson in Pima County. In the Second Judicial District at Yuma in Yuma County on the first monday in April and the first monday in December. In the Third Judicial District at Prescott Yavapai County on the first monday in June and the first monday in November. And that the Clerk of this Court cause Copies of this Order to be filed in the office of the Clerks of each of these District Courts

The account of O. Buckalew Clerk of this Court was examined and allowed amounting to $ 114.00

Court was adjourned without day.

Clerk

Chief Justice

Court met according to Law. This 11th day of January A.D. 1875 at 10 o'clock A.M.

Present:
Hon E. F. Dunne Chief Justice of Arizona and
His Hon Charles. N. Creed associate Justice

Application of Hugh Farley for Admission to this Court. } On Motion of Coles Bashford and on presentation of his certificate of admission to the Supreme Court of California Hugh Farley was admitted to practice in this Court, on signing the Roll of Attorneys and Taking the oath prescribed by Law.

Application of G. Hill. Howard for admission to this Court. } On Motion of Coles Bashford the application is by the Court Taken under consideration.

Application of Jno. W. Clark for admission to this Court } On motion of J. E. McCaffry and on presentation of his Certificate of admission to the Supreme Court of California. Jno. W. Clark was admitted to practice in this Court on Signing the Roll of Attorneys, and Taking the oath prescribed by Law.

Application of H. C. Hodge. for Admission to this Court } On motion of J. E. McCaffry the application is by the Court taken under Consideration.

Application of Jno Titus for Admission to this Court. } On his own motion Jno Titus was admitted to practice in this Court, by courtesy on account of the position he formerly occupied, that of Chief Justice of Arizona.

Application of A. P. K. Safford for Admission to this Court } On Motion of Hugh Farley the application is by the Court taken under Consideration.

In the appointment of a Bailiff of this Court } Wm. J. Osborne was appointed and duly sworn as Bailiff of this Court.

The Calendar was then taken up and read and the following order entered.

Jacob L. Miller Admr of Estate of James B. Miller Decd Appellants } Henning & Hargrave for Appellants

vs.

Jennie H. Fisher et al Respondents } Davis & Ganahl & McDaniels for Respondents

On Motion of Hargrave ordered That Jno. A. Rush be entered as Attorney of Record for Appellant.
Cause set for hearing Thursday Janry 12th at 2 o'clock P. M.

W. F. Grounds Appellant } A. E. Davis for Appellant

vs.

J. Ralph Respondent } Blakely & Murphy for Respondent.

Cause set for hearing Wednesday January 13th at 11 o'clock A. M.

Rush & Davis Respondents } Rush & Davis in person & McCaffry of Counsel for Respondents.

vs.

Levin & French Appellants. } Hargrave & Carter & Cox, Ganahl & McDaniels for Appellants

On Motion of Hargrave, Ordered that Carter Barkhurst be entered as Counsel of record for Appellants.
Cause set for hearing. Thursday Janry 14th at 10 o'clock A. M.

A. E. Davis et al appellants } Davis & Henning for Appellants

vs.

Paul Brem Respondents } Murphy & Blakely for Respondents

Case set for hearing Friday Jany 15th at 11 oclock A. M.

Daniel L. Thorne
Appellant
vs.
Geo. W. Bowen
Respondents
} Rush & Carter for Appellants
Hargrave & McDaniel for Respondents.

Case set for hearing Wednesday Jany 13th at 2 oclock P. M.

Murphy & Dennis
v Respondents
Chas. Whitlow
Appellant
} Rush for Respondents
Quarg & Alsop for Appellant

Set for hearing Saturday January 16th at 10 oclock A. M.

William W. Ford Trustee
v Respondent
J. C. Hayes Sheriff
Appellant
} G. H. Quarg for Respondent
Jno A Rush for Appellant

Set for hearing Saturday January 16th to follow the case of Murphy & Dennis .v. Chas Whitlow.

R. E. Eldred
v Appellant
Solomon Warner Admr.
Respondent
} McCarty & Clark for Appellant
McCaffry for Respondents

On Motion of Clark ordered that Case be placed on Calendar.
On Motion of Clark ordered that E. W. E. Reed be entered as Atty of Record for Appellants.
Case set for hearing Tuesday Jany 12th at 10 oclock A. M.

The Rules of
This Court.
} Order Bashford, C. P. Hargrave & J. E. McCaffry are appointed a Commission to Examine the Rules of this Court, and make Report thereon.

In the matter of the adjournment of this Court.

A. E. Davis Esq. moved the Court to adjourn for one day through respect to the memory of N. H. Carter dec'd a former member of this Bar. His Honor Judge Treed made a short address to the Bar in respect to the motion giving a sketch of the character and testifying to the professional ability of the deceased.

It appearing to the Court, that there was no Stationery for the use of the Court, an order was made and given to the Bailiff to procure what Stationery was necessary for the use of the Court.

Court adjourned to January 12th A.D. 1875 at 10 o'clock A. M.

Court met pursuant to Adjournment this 12th day of January A.D. 1875 at 10 o'clock A.M.

Present

Hon E. F. Dunne Chief Justice of Arizona and His Honor Chas. A. Treed Associate Justice.

The Minutes of yesterdays proceedings were read and approved.

Application of H. L. Hodge for Admission to this Court

The application granted, on applicants furnishing his certificate of admission to one of the Highest Courts of a State or Territory of the United States and on his signing the Roll of Attorneys, and taking the oath prescribed by Law.

Application of E. H. Howard for admission to this Court.

The applicant was by the Court

Examined, and admitted to practice in this Court on signing the Roll of attorneys and taking the oath prescribed by Law.

R. E. Eldred, Appellant
v.
Solomon Warner, Respondent

McCarty & Clark & C. W. C. Rowell for appellant.
McCaffry for Respondent.

On Motion of Clark, Continuance granted until Wednesday.

Jacob L. Miller admr. Appellant
v.
Jennie H. Fisher, et al Respondents

Henning & Hargrave & Rush for Appellant
Davis & Ganahl & McDaniel for Respondents

Argument heard and Case submitted.

Court adjourned to January 13th at 11 o'clock A. M.

Court met pursuant to adjournment This 13th day of January A.D. 1875 at 11 o'clock A.M.

Present
Hon E. F. Dunne Chief Justice of Arizona and
Hon Chas. A. Reed Associate Justice

No minutes of yesterdays proceedings were read and approved

W. F. Grender, Appellant
v.
J. Ralph, Respondent

A. E. Davis for Appellant.

There being no appearance on part of Respondents, Argument of Appellant was heard and the Case submitted.

Daniel C. Thorne
v Appellant
George W. Bowers
Respondents

Rush & Carter for Appellant
Hargrave & McDaniel for Respondents

On Motion of Hargrave ordered That This Case be passed to be called up on Motion.

Court adjourned to January 14th 1875. at 10 oclock A.M.

Court met pursuant to Adjournment This 14th day of January A.D. 1875. at 10 oclock A.M.

Present.

Hon. C. F. Sumner Chief Justice of Oregon and Their Honors Chas. A. Reed, and De Forest Porter. associate Justices.

The minutes of yesterdays proceedings were read and approved.

A. E. Davis et al.
v Appellants
Paul Brem
Respondents

Davis & Henning for Appellants
Murphy & Blakely for Respondents.

On Motion of Davis. Cause continued to Monday January 18th 1875.

R. C. Eldred
v Appellant
Solomon Palmer
Respondents

McCarty & Clark & C. W. C Rowell for Appellant.
McCraffey for Respondents.

Set for Friday January 15th at 2 oclock P. M.

Rush & Lane
v Respondents
Linn & French
Appellants

Rush & Dance & McCraffey for Respondents
Hargrave & Carter & Lee for Appellants
Gandahl & McDaniel & Colin Buchanan of Counsel.

On Stipulation it was agreed That Copy of Deed on file in

Considered on Both of the Transcript on Appeal.
Argument began, and pending the argument the Court is adjourned to Tomorrow at 10 o'clock A.M.

It appearing to the Satisfaction of the Court, that there was necessary to be purchased a Bill of Stationery for the use of the Court, the Sheriff of Pima County is hereby ordered to purchase what may be necessary and to present his bills therefor to this Court.

Court adjourned to Friday January 15th 1875. at 10 o'clock A. M.

Court met pursuant to adjournment This 15th day of January AD 1875. at 10 o'clock A.M.

Present

Hon. E. F. Dunne Chief Justice of Arizona. and Hon. Hiram Chas. A. Sneed and De Forest Porter Associate Justices.

The Minutes of Yesterdays proceedings were read and approved.

Rush & Davis. v Respondents
Lunt & French appellants.

Rush & Davis & McCaffry for Respondents.
Harper & Carter & Ray for appellants. Gronald & McNeil & Daniel. & Coles Bachelder of Counsel.

Case Continued from Yesterday, and pending the argument.
Court adjourned to January 16th 1875 at 10 o'clock A.M.

80

Court met pursuant to Adjournment this 16th day of January AD 1875. at 10 o'clock A.M.

Present.

Hon. E. F. Dunne Chief Justice of Arizona and Hon. Hiram Chas. A. Sneed and De Forest Porter. Associate Justices

The Minutes of yesterdays proceedings read and approved

Rush & Davis
v. Respondents
Lunn & French
Appellants

Rush & Davis & McCaffrey for Respondents.
Harrison & Carter & Son, for Appellants.
Randall & McDaniel & Cline Backford of Counsel.

Case Continued from Yesterday. Arguments Concluded and Case Submitted.

Court Adjourned to Monday January 18th 1875. at 10 o'clock A. M.

Court met pursuant to adjournment This 18th day of January A.D 1875. at 10 o'clock A.M.

Present.

Hon. E. F. Dunne, Chief Justice of Nevada and Theo. H. Hayes.
Chas. A. Sumner and D. Forrest Porter Associate Justices.

The Minutes of Yesterdays proceedings now Read and Approved.

A. E. Davis et al
v. Appellants
Paul Breon
Respondents.

Davis & Hennessy for Appellants.
Murphy & Blakely for Respondents.

On his own Motion Jno. A Rush was entered as Atty of Record for Respondents.

Argument Heard, and Case Submitted.

Daniel E. Mann
Appellant
Chas. F. Bigelow,
Respondent

Rush and Carter for Appellant
Harrison & McDaniel for Respondent.

Argument Heard and Case Submitted.

Court Adjourned to January 19th 1875 at 10 o'clock A.M.

Court met pursuant to adjournment this 19th day of January A.D. 1875. at 10 o'clock A.M.

Present.

Hon. E. F. Dunne Chief Justice of Arizona and His Honors Chas. A. Reed and De Forest Porter Associate Justices.

The minutes of yesterdays proceedings read and approved.

In the matter of the application of Richard Wolfenden for writ of Mandamus to the Board of Supervisors of Pima County. } Hugh Farley for Petitioner. / Jas Titus for Board of Supervisors.

On Motion of Farley, ordered that this case be placed on Calendar.

R. E. Eldred Appellant
v
Solomon Warner et al. Respondents. } McCarty & Clark & Rowell for Appellants / McCaffrey for Respondents

Argument heard and Case submitted.

Murphy & Dennie. Respondents
v
Chas. A. Hutton Appellant. } Rush for Respondents. / Berry & Alexander for Appellants.

Upon filing a Supplementary Affidavit from Counsel for Appellants stating that to the best of their knowledge and belief, the Record necessary to file, reciting the appeal had been filed in the Court below, and on their paying the costs of the appeal up to this time they are allowed to complete the Record in sixty days.

Wm. H. Bagley Respondent
v
T. C. Hayes Sheriff Appellant. } G. N. Berry for Respondent / Jno. A. Rush for Appellant.

Argument heard and Case submitted.

Court adjourned to January 20th A.D. 1875 at 10 o'clock A.M.

Court met pursuant to Adjournment This 20th day of January A.D. 1875. at 10 oclock A.M.

Present

Hon. E. F. Dunne Chief Justice of Arizona and Their Honors. Chas. A. Reed. and De Forest Porter. Associate Justices.

The Minutes of yesterdays proceedings read and approved.

Henry W. Fleury
v. Appellant
Calvin Jackson and
W. J. Conklin
Respondents } Hargrave & Rush for Respondents.

On Motion of Rush case placed on calendar;
On Motion of Hargrave to dismiss appeal. taken under advisement.

Application of Richard Wolfenden } Farley for Petitioner
for Writ of Mandamus to the
Board of Supervisors of Pima County } Dibo for Supervisors.

Argument heard, and case submitted.

Court adjourned to January 21st A.D. 1875. at 3 oclock P.M.

Court met pursuant to adjournment this 21st day of January A.D. 1875. at 3 oclock. P.M.

Present

Hon E. F. Dunne Chief Justice of Arizona and their Honors. Chas. A. Reed and De Forest Porter. associate Justices

The minutes of yesterdays proceedings were read and approved.

The Court rendered decisions in the following cases and stated that the written opinions would be given hereafter

Jacob. L Miller admr Appellant
v
Jennie H. Fisher et. al. Respondents

Henning Harper & Rush for appellants
Davis & Ganahl & McDaniel for Respondents

This cause having come on regularly for hearing at this the January Term AD 1875 of this Court. Jno. A. Rush, Esq. appeared and argued the cause on behalf of appellants, and A. E. Davis Esq. on behalf of Respondents. The argument was concluded, and the cause was by the Court taken under consideration. Now on this 21st day of January AD 1875. It is ordered adjudged and decreed, that the Judgment of the Court below be reversed.
Chief Justice Dunne delivered the opinion of the Court, Judges Sneed and Porter concurring.

W. F. Grounds Appellant
v
J. Ralph Respondent

A. E. Davis for Appellant.
Murphy & Blakely for respondent.

This cause having come on regularly for hearing at this the January Term AD 1875. of this Court, A. E. Davis Esq. appeared and argued the case on behalf of appellant, and there being no appearance on the part of the Respondent. Argument of appellant was heard and the case taken under consideration by the Court. Now on this 21st day of January AD. 1875. It is ordered adjudged and decreed that the judgment of the Court below be affirmed. Chief Justice Dunne delivered the opinion of the Court, Judges Sneed and Porter Concurring.

A. E. Davis et. al. Appellants
v
Paul Brown Respondents

Davis & Henning for Appellants
Murphy & Blakely & Rush for Respondents

This cause having come on regularly for hearing at this the January Term AD. 1875 of this Court, A. E. Davis Esq. appeared and argued the cause on behalf of appellants, and Jno A Rush Esq. on behalf of Respondents. The Argument was concluded and the case was by the Court taken under consideration. Now on this 21st day of January AD 1875 at Term of said term. It is ordered adjudged and decreed, that the Judgment of the

be affirmed. Chief Justice Dunne delivered the opinion of the Court. Judges Ireed and Porter concurring.

Daniel C. Thorne v. Geo. W. Bowers Respondent } Rush & Cartter for appellants / Hargrave & McDaniel for Respondents.

This cause having come on regularly for hearing at this the January Term A.D. 1875 of this Court. Jno. A. Rush Esqr. appeared and argued case on behalf of Appellant, and J. P. Hargrave Esqr. on behalf of Respondent. the argument was heard, and the cause was by the Court taken under consideration. Now on this 21st day of January A.D. 1875. at. Set of said Term. It is ordered adjudged and decreed. That the Judgment of the lower Court be affirmed, Chief Justice Dunne delivered the opinion of the Court, Judges Ireed and Porter concurring.

William W. Ford Hunter Respondent v. J. C. Hayes Sheriff Appellant. } G. N. Oury for Respondent / Jno. A. Rush for appellant.

This cause come on regularly to be heard at this the January Term A.D. 1875 of this Court, Jno. A. Rush appeared and argued case on behalf of appellant, and G. N. Oury appearing on behalf of Respondent was informed by the Court, That they did not desire to hear further argument, and the case was by the Court taken under consideration. Now on this 21st day of January A.D. 1875 at Set of said Term, It is ordered adjudged and decreed, That the Judgment of the Court below be affirmed. Chief Justice Dunne delivered the opinion of the Court. Judges Ireed and Porter Concurring.

Application for Mandamus of Richard Woffenden v. Board of Supervisors of Pima County. } Hugh Farley for R. Woffenden / Jno. Titus for Supervisors.

This application come on regularly to be heard at this the January Term A.D. 1875 of this Court H. Farley Esqr. appeared and argued case on behalf of Richard Woffenden and Jno. Titus Esq.

on behalf of Board of Supervisors, the argument was heard and the application was by the Court taken under consideration - Now on this 21st day of January A.D. 1875; at yet of said term it is ordered adjudged and decreed that the Writ of Mandate be granted. Chief Justice Dunne delivered the opinion of the Court, Judges Sneed and Porter Concurring -

Henry W. Fleury
v
Calvin Jackson
W. J. Tompkins
Respondents.
} Appellants and Rush & Hargrave for Respondents.

The Motion of J. P. Hargrave Esq Atty for Respondents that the appeal in this case be dismissed was taken under advisement by the Court, and now on this 21st day of January A.D. 1875 - It is ordered that the appeal be dismissed. Chief Justice Dunne delivered the opinion of the Court. Judges Sneed and Porter concurring.

Application of A. P. K. Safford for admission to this Court.
} The applicant was by the Court examined and it is ordered, that on signing the roll of attorneys and taking the oath prescribed by law that A. P. K. Safford be admitted to practice in this Court.

Rush & Davis
v
Lewis & French
} Respondents - Appellants.

This Cause having come on regularly for hearing at this the January Term A.D. 1875. of this Court C. P. Hargrave Esq & Cole & Barkhad appeared on behalf of Appellants, and Jno. N. Rush, A. E. Davis & James C. McClaffey for Respondents, the argument was heard and the cause was by the Court taken under consideration. Now on this 21st day of January A.D. 1875 at yet of said term, it is ordered adjudged and decreed, that the Judgment of the Lower Court be reversed and the cause sent back for a new Trial. Chief Justice Dunne delivered the opinion of the Court, Judge Porter dissenting the

Court adjourned to January 22nd A.D. 1875 at 7.30 oclock A.M.

Court met pursuant to adjournment This 22nd day of January A.D. 1875 at 7.30 o.clock A.M.

Present

Hon E. J. Dunn Chief Justice of Arizona and Their Honors Chas. A. Sneed and De Forrest Porter Associate Justices.

The Minutes of yesterdays proceedings were read and approved.

R. E. Eldred
v Appellant
Solomon Warner admr
Respondent

This Cause come on regularly to be heard at this the January term A.D. 1875 of this Court. W. Leatty & Clark & Ronell appeared on behalf of Appellant & J. E. McCaffry on behalf of Respondent. The argument was heard and the Cause was by the Court taken under consideration. Now on this 22nd day of January A.D. 1875; as per of said term. It is ordered adjudged and decreed, that the Judgment of the Court below should be Reversed, and the Cause sent back for further proceedings. Chief Justice Dunn delivered the opinion of the Court, but dissented from such opinion. Judges Sneed & Porter concurring...

Court adjourned to January 23rd A.D. 1875 at 10 oclock A.M.

Court met pursuant to adjournment
this 23rd day of January A.D. 1875 at 10 o'clock A.M.
Present
Hon. E. F. Dunn Chief Justice of Arizona and
His Honor Charles A. Reed Associate Justices

The minutes of yesterdays proceedings were
read and approved

Court adjourned to January 25th A.D. 1875
at 10 o'clock A.M.

Court met pursuant to adjournment this
25th day of January A.D. 1875 at 10 o'clock A.M.
Present
Hon. E. F. Dunn Chief Justice of Arizona
and His Honor Charles A. Reed Associate Justice

The minutes of yesterdays proceedings were
read and approved.

Court adjourned to January 26th A.D. 1875
at 10 o'clock A.M.

Court met pursuant to adjournment this
26th day of January AD 1875 at 10 o'clock AM
Present
Hon E. A. Dunne Chief Justice of Arizona and
His Honor Charles D. Reed Associate Justice

The minutes of yesterdays proceedings were read
and approved

Court adjourned to January 27th AD 1875 at
ten o'clock AM

Court met pursuant to adjournment this
27th day of January AD 1875 at ten o'clock AM.
Present
Hon E. A. Dunne Chief Justice of Arizona and
His Honor Charles D. Reed Associate Justice.

The minutes of yesterdays proceedings were read
and approved

Court adjourned to January 28th AD 1875 at
10 o'clock A.M.

Court met pursuant to adjournment this 28th day of January A D 1875 at 10 o'clock A M

Present

Hon E F Dumm Chief Justice of Arizona and His Honor Charles A Tweed associate Justice

The minutes of yesterdays proceedings were read and approved

The Committee appointed to draft the rules of this Court made a report

Court adjourned to January 29th A D 1875 - at 10 o'clock A. M.

Court met pursuant to adjournment this 29th day of January A D 1875 at 10 o'clock A M

Present

Hon E F Dumm Chief Justice of Arizona and His Honor Charles A Tweed associate Justice

The minutes of yesterdays proceedings were read and approved.

Court adjourned to January 30th A D 1875 at 10 o'clock A M.

Council meeting adjourned to 30th day of January A.D. 1875 at 10 o'clock A.M.

Present:

Hon. E. F. Burnes Chief Justice of Virginia and His Honor Charles A. Peck Associate Justice.

The minutes of yesterday's proceedings were read and approved.

Court adjourned to February 1st A.D. 1875 at 10 o'clock A.M.

Council met pursuant to adjournment this 1st day of February A.D. 1875 at 10 o'clock A.M.

Present:

Hon. E. F. Burnes Chief Justice of Virginia and His Honor Charles A. Peck Associate Justice.

The minutes of yesterday's proceedings were read and approved.

Council adjourned to [illegible] 1875 at 10 o'clock A.M.

Court met pursuant to adjournment this 3rd day of February A.D. 1875 at 10 o'clock A.M.

Present

Hon. E. P. Bacon. Chief Justice of Arizona and His Honor Charles A. Tweed. Associate Justice.

The minutes of yesterdays proceedings were read and approved.

Court met pursuant to adjournment this 3rd day of February A.D. 1875 at 10 o'clock A.M.

Present

Hon. E. P. Bacon. Chief Justice of Arizona and His Hon. Charles A. Tweed Associate Justice

The minutes of yesterdays proceedings were read and approved.

Court met pursuant to adjournment this 4th day of February A.D. 1875 at 10 o'clock A.M.

Court met pursuant to adjournment this 2nd day of February A D 1875 at 10 o'clocks A M.
Present
Hon E F Dunne Chief Justice of Arizona and His Honor Charles A Tweed Associate Justices

The minutes of yesterdays proceedings were read and approved

The Committee appointed on the rules of this Court made reports thereon,

---

Court adjourned to February 5th A D 1875 at 10 oclock A.M.

Court met pursuant to adjournment this 5th day of February A D 1875 at 10 o'clock A M
Present)
Hon E F Dunne Chief Justice of Arizona and His Hon Charles A Tweed Associate Justice)

The minutes of yesterdays proceedings were read and approved

Court adjourned to February 6th A D 1875 at 10 o'clock A M.

Court met pursuant to adjournment this 6th day of February A.D 1875 at 10 o'clock A.M.

Present

Hon E. F. Dunne Chief Justice of Arizona and His Honor Charles Silent Associate Justice

The minutes of yesterdays proceedings were read and approved

The rules of this Court as presented by the Committee appointed to draft them are adopted and the Clerk of this Court is ordered to have two hundred copies of the same printed under the supervision of the Chief Justice

Court adjourned to February 8th A.D 1875 at 10 o'clock A.M

Court met pursuant to adjournment This 8th day of February A.D. 1875. at 10 o'clock A.M.

Present

Hon E. F. Dunne Chief Justice of Arizona. and His Honor Char. A. Tweed Associate Justice.

The Minutes of yesterdays proceedings were read and approved.

Court adjourned to February 9th A.D. 1875. at 10 o'clock A.M.

Court met pursuant to adjournment this 9th day of February A.D. 1875; at 10 o'clock A.M.

Present

Hon E. F. Dunne Chief Justice of Arizona and his Honor Chas A. Tweed, associate Justice

The Minutes of yesterdays proceedings were read and approved

The report of the printer was received, in relation to the printing of the rules of this Court, and under direction of the Court the Officer of the Court, made an estimate of the Court expenses which said estimate was certified by the Court and sent to the Chairman on Committee of Finance.

Court adjourned to February 10th A.D. 1875 at 10 o'clock A.M.

Court met pursuant to adjournment this 10th day of February A.D. 1875 at 10 o'clock A.M.

Present

Hon E. F. Dunne Chief Justice of Arizona and His Honor Chas A. Tweed associate Justice.

The Minutes of yesterdays proceedings were read and approved.

Court adjourned to February 11th A.D. 1875 at 10 o'clock A.M.

Court met pursuant to adjournment This 11th day of February A.D. 1875. at 10 o'clock A.M.

Present

Hon E. F. Dunne Chief Justice of Arizona and his Honor Chas A. Reed Associate Justice

The Minutes of yesterdays proceedings were read and approved.

Court adjourned without a day.

Proceedings Supreme Court

Court met pursuant to law on the 3rd day of January A.D. 1876.

Present

Hon. E.F. Sumner Chief Justice. Hon. Chas. A. Tweed Associate Justice. E.B. Pomeroy Esq U.S. Dist. Atty. F.N. Goodwin U.S. Marshall. E.L. Bradley Deputy Clerk.

In the matter of the application of Briggs Goodrich Esq for admission to practice in this Court

On Motion of J.W. Blair Esq. — Examination deferred

In the matter of the application of Everett B. Pomeroy Esq for admission to practice in this Court

On Motion of F. Hugh Tinley Esq. — deferred

Noah Emmerich Plaintiff & Respondent

vs

Wm. A. Hancock Defendant and Appellant.

L.F. Quinn Esq Appeared for Respondent

On motion of L.F. Quinn Esq this cause was ordered to be placed on the Calendar

Paul Flinn Plaintiff and Respondent

vs.

Paul Brown Defendant and Appellant

L. Heilbron Esq Appeared for Respondent

On motion of E.N. Deering Esq this cause was ordered to be placed on the Calendar

Peter Hansen Plaintiff and Appellant

vs

Richard Ashfield Defendant and Respondent

Tilton & Hughes for Appellant

Tinley & Boudrow for Respondent

On motion of Jno Titus Esq. this cause was ordered to be placed on the Calendar.

(Richard Waffenden Plaintiff & Respondent
Pedro (vs Narraneau Defendant & Appellant
Titus & Hughes for appellant
Farley & Pomroy for Respondent

On motion of Jno Titus Esq. this cause was ordered to be placed on the Calendar.

Ann M. Waffenden Plaintiff & Appellant
vs.
(Richard Waffenden Defendant & Respondent
Titus & Hughes for appellant.
Farley & Pomroy for Respondent

On motion of Jno Titus Esq this cause was ordered to be placed on the Calendar.

Oh appearing to the Court that there was no stationery for the use of the Court & no fuel, lights &c., Oh was ordered that the Marshal procure such as is necessary for the use of the Court.

Court adjourned to Thursday Jany 6th 1876.

Court met pursuant to adjournment January 6th. 1876. at 10 o'clock, A. M.

Present

Hon. E. Dumm. Chief Justice. Hon Chas. A. Sweet Associate Justice.
E. R. Pomroy Co. Clerk Atty T. H. Goodwin Esq Act. Marshal
E. L. Beardsley Deputy Clerk

In the matter of the application
of C. F. Tourney Esq for admission
to practice on the Bar

Upon presentation of his certificate of admission to the Supreme Court of the State of California

And the U. S. Circuit Court of the 9th Circuit, State of California, E. D. Pomeroy Esq. was admitted to practice in this Court.

Noah Emerick Plaintiff & Respondent
vs
Wm A. Hancock Defendant & Appellant

On motion of L. H. Perry Esq this cause was set for hearing this 6th day of January 1876.

On motion of L. H. Perry to dismiss the appeal in this cause the hearing was continued until Monday Janiy 10. 1876.

Law Linn Plaintiff & Respondent
vs
Law Quong Defendant & Appellant

This cause was set for hearing this 6th day of January 1876.

On motion of L. H. Perry Esq. Ordered that the appeal in this cade be dismissed.

On motion of L. C. Hughes Esq. Court adjourned out of respect to the memory of L. E. McCaffry Esq. deceased an attorney of this Court until Monday January 10. 1876. at 10. o'clock A. M.

S. Dunne
Chief Justice

Court met pursuant to adjournment on the 10th day of January A. D. 1876.

Present

Hon S. Dunne. Chief Justice. Hon Chas. H. Reed Associate Justice
Hon Deforest Porter Associate Justice. L. H. Perry Esq Clerk. Atty
F. H. Meadows U. S. Marshall. E. M. Beardsley. Deputy Clerk

In the matter of the Application of
Griggs Haswell Esq for admission
to practice in this Court

On motion of Hon C. H. Reed Esq
and after an examination in open Court.

It was ordered that Briggs Goodrich Esq be admitted to practice as an Attorney of this Court.

In the matter of the application of Jno W Leonard Esq for admission to practice in this Court.

On motion of E.B. Pomroy Esq and after an examination in open Court, It was ordered that Jno. W. Leonard be admitted to practice as an Attorney of this Court.

Noah Emerick Plff & Respt

vs

Wm. A. Hancock Deft & Applt

H. N. Curry Esq appeared for Respondent and submitted affidavits showing reasons why appeal should be dismissed and asking for damages.

Case continued to allow respondent to make a showing as to damages sustained by reason of Appeal.

United States. Plff & Respts

vs

Jno. W. Barnard et. als Defts & Applts

Hugh Farley Esq appeared on behalf of Jno W Leonard Esq atty for appellants.

On motion of H Farley Esq this cause was ordered to be placed at the foot of the Calendar.

The Calendar was taken up and the following orders were entered.

Murphy and Lewis Plffs & Clerks

vs

Charles Whitbeck Defts & Applts

Whitney & Hoffman Esqs for Appellants.

Jno A Koonz and Esq for Respondent

Cause set for hearing Wednesday January 12th 1876.

Francois Forgues Plff & Respt
vs.
Leopold Carrillo Deft & Applt

Farley & Pomroy for Appellant
B. Goodrich & J. W. Clark for Respondent
Cause ordered placed at foot of Calendar

Thomas Graves, Plff & Applt
vs
John T. Aloap Deft & Respt
L. H. Olney Esq for Appellant
Cause set for hearing Wednesday January 12th 1876.

Pedro Chanaleau Plff & Applt
vs
Richard Woffenden Deft & Respt
Titus & Hughes for Appellant
Farley & Pomroy for Respondent
Cause set for hearing Thursday January 13th 1876.

Richard Woffenden Plff & Respt
vs
Pedro Chanaleau Deft & Applt
Titus & Hughes for Appellant
Farley & Pomroy for Respondent
Cause set for hearing Thursday January 13th 1876.

Richard Woffenden Plff & Applt
vs
Richard Woffenden Deft & Respt
Titus & Hughes for Appellant
Farley & Pomroy for Respondent
Cause set for hearing Thursday January 13. 1876.

The United States Plff & Respt
vs
George W. Barnard et al Deft & Applts

Jno W Leonard Esq for appellants
E B Pomroy Esq Dist Atty for Respondent
Cause set for hearing Tuesday afternoon January 11. 1876.

Francis Forgue Plff & Respt
vs
Leopoldo Carrillo Deft & Applt

Cause set for hearing Friday January 14. 1876.

Guilford Hathaway Plff & Respt
vs
Wilson B. Collier Deft & Applt

Jno W Leonard Esq for Appellants
Coles Bashford Esq for Respondent
Cause ordered placed at foot of Calendar and passed.

Court adjourned to January 11th 1876 at 12 o'c P.M.

E. F. Dunne Chief Justice

Court met pursuant to adjournment January 11. 1876.

Present.

Hon. E. F. Dunne Chief Justice. Hon. DeForest Porter Associate Judge
Hon Chas Aldrich Associate Justice. E. B. Pomroy Esq Dist Atty.
T. H. Goodwin Esq Sheriff Blackhall E. J. Beardsley Deputy Clerk

Mark Emerick Plff & Respt
vs
Wm. A. Hancock Deft & Applt

T. H. Perry Esq Atty for Respondent submitted showing of damages sustained by Respondent by reason of the appeal
The case was taken under advisement by the Court.

The United States : Plff & Respt
vs
Geo. W. Barnard et. als. Defts & Applts

This case came up regularly for hearing
Jno. W. Leonard Esq for Applts
E. B. Pomroy Esq U.S. Dist Atty for Respondents
After hearing the argument of counsel, the
case was taken under advisement by the Court.

Court adjourned to Wednesday January 12. 1876.

E. Dunne
Chief Justice

Court met pursuant to adjournment on the
17th day of January A.D. 1876.

Present.

Hon E. T. Dunne Chief Justice. Hon Chas. A. Tweed Associate Justice
Hon DeForest Porter Associate Justice. E. B. Pomroy U.S. Dist Atty
F. M. Goodwin U.S. Marshall. C. S. Burnsley Deputy Clerk

Hiram Greenlow Plff & Respt
vs
Wm. B. Hellings et. al. Defts & Applts.

J. T. Alsop appears for Respondent
On motion of J. T. Alsop Esq this cause was ordered
to be placed on the Calendar. Case continued to
allow Respondent to make showing as to damages
sustained by reason of the appeal.

Noah Emerick
vs
Wm. A. Hancock

L. F. Perry Esq submitted showing of damages sustained
by Respondent by reason of the appeal.
Ordered that the appeal be dismissed and damages
awarded in favor of Respondent to the amount
of Forty dollars.

Murphy & Benavin Pffs & Respts

vs

Charles Whitlaw Defdt & Applt

E. N. Dunn and Jno. F. Alsop Esqs for Appellant

Jno. W. Leonard Esq for Respondent.

After hearing the argument of Counsel this cause was taken under advisement by the Court

Thomas Graves Plff & Applt

vs

John T. Alsop Defdt & Respondent

E. N. Dunn Esq for Appellant

John T. Alsop Esq for Respondent

This Cause was submitted by counsel on Briefs, and taken under advisement by the Court.

Court adjourned to January 13. 1876. at 10 o'clock A. M.

E. F. Dunne

Chief Justice

Court met pursuant to adjournment on the 13th day of January A. D. 1876.

Present

Hon E. F. Dunne. Chief Justice Hon Chas. A. Sweet Associate Justice

Hon LeForest Porter Associate Justice E. B. Conroy Esq U. S. Dist Atty

F. A Goodwin Esq U. S. Marshal E. J Beardsley Deputy Clerk.

Hosea Greenlaw Plff & Respt

vs

Chas B Heeringer Deft & Applt

Jno T. Alsop Esq for Respondent.

On motion of Jno T. Alsop Esq the appeal in this cause was ordered dismissed.

Ordered that damages be awarded in favor of Respondent to the amount of 10% of the amount of the Judgment.

Court adjourned to Monday January 17th 76.

E. F. Dunne

Chief Justice

ground that under Rule 12 of "Rules Supreme Court the Motion could not be heard

Objection sustained, To which ruling Counsel for Respondent excepted

Court took a recess until 2. PM.

Afternoon Session

The United States Plff & Respt

vs

George W. Barnard Et als Deft & Applt

This case having been taken under advisement by the Court, now on this day it is ordered and adjudged that the judgment of the Court below be affirmed.

Chief Justice Dunne delivered the opinion of the Court.

Richard Waffenden Plff & Respt

vs

Pedro Caraudeau Deft & Applt

In open Court, Counsel for appellant being present, Counsel for Respondent had noted his intention of urging a preliminary objection to the transcript in this case, viz to move to strike out certain portions thereof as not properly certified.

Pedro Caraudeau

vs

Richard Waffenden

The argument of this case was taken up by Jno Pitts Esq for Appellant.

Counsel for Respondent objected to counsel for Appellant reading from any digest the syllabus of a Court.

Objection overruled and Respondent excepted to such ruling

Court adjourned to January 22d 1876 at 9.30. a.m.

E. F. Dunne

Chief Justice

Court met pursuant to adjournment on the 22d day of January 1876.

Present

Hon E. F. Dunne Chief Justice Hon Chas A. Tweed Associate Justice
Hon DeForest Porter Associate Justice E. B. Pomroy Esq U. S. Dist atty
F. N. Goodwin Esq U. S. Marshal E. D. Beardsley Deputy Clerk

Pedro Charaudeau Pltff & Applt

vs

Richard Waffenden Deft & Respt

The argument of this case was resumed by Jno Titus Esq

Court took a recess until 1.30 P. M.

Afternoon Session

Pedro Charaudeau

vs

Richard Waffenden

The argument of this case was resumed by Hugh Farley for Respondent and concluded by Jno Titus Esq for appellant and taken under advisement by the Court.

Court adjourned to January 24th 1876. at 9.30 a.m.

E. F. Dunne
Chief Justice

Court met pursuant to adjournment January 24. 1876

Present

Hon E. F. Dunne Chief Justice Hon DeForest Porter Associate Justice
Hon Chas A. Tweed Associate Justice E. B. Pomroy Esq U.S. Dist Atty
F. N. Goodwin U. S. Marshall E. D. Beardsley Deputy Clerk

Richard Waffenden Pltff & Respt

vs

Pedro Charaudeau Deft & Applt

This case came up for argument
Titus & Hughes appearing for appellants
Farley & Pomroy appearing for Respondent
Hugh Farley presented motion to dismiss

ecpt all of transcript in this case excepting the judgment roll

Counsel for Appellant objected
Objection sustained
To which ruling Counsel for Respondent excepted
After hearing the argument of Counsel the case was taken under advisement by the Court;

Court took a recess until two oclock P.M.

Afternoon Session

Anna C. Woffenden Plff & Applt
vs
Pedro Charaleau Defts & Respts
This case came up regularly for hearing
Titus & Hughes appearing for Appellants
Farley Downey appearing for Respondents
Counsel for Respondents objected to Counsel for appellant reading opinion of Court below on order for final injunction
Objection sustained
After hearing the argument of Counsel the case was taken under advisement by the Court

Court adjourned to January 25. 1876. at 9.30 A.M.

E. F. Dunne
Chief Justice

Court met pursuant to adjournment on the 25th day of January 1876.
Present
Hon E. F. Dunne Chief Justice. Hon Chas A Tweed Associate Justice
Hon D Forest Porter Associate Justice E.B. Downey Esq U.S. Dist Atty
H.N. Goodwin U.S. Marshal. E.D. Beardsley Deputy Clerk

Francisco Borque Plff & Respt
vs
Leopoldo Carrillo Defts & Applts
This cause came up regularly for argument.

Farley & Pomroy for appellant.
Snow Clark & Bloodrich for Respondent
After hearing the arguments of Counsel the case was taken under advisement by the Court

Court took a recess until 2. o'clock P.M.

Guilford Nathaway Pltff & Respt
vs
Wilson C. Collier Deft & Applt

This case came up regularly for hearing
Snow Leonard Esq for Appellant
Coles Bashford Esq for Respondent
After hearing the argument of Counsel the Court took the case under advisement

Court adjourned to January 26. 1876. at 10. o'clock A.M.

E.F. Dunne
Chief Justice

Court met pursuant to adjournment on the 26th day of January A.D. 1876.

Present
Hon E.F. Dunne Chief Justice
Hon Stafford Porter Associate Justice
F.H. Goodwin U.S. Marshal
Hon Chas. A. Sweet Associate Justice
E.P. Pomroy Esq U.S. Dist Atty
E.D. Beardsley Deputy Clerk

Pedro Chacaulean Applt
vs
Richard Woffenden Respt

This case having been taken under advisement by the Court, it is this day ordered and adjudged, that the Judgment of the Court below be reversed and the case remanded for a new trial,
By a Majority of the Court, Dunne C.J. dissenting.

Richard Woffenden Respt
vs
Pedro Chacaulean Applt

This case having been taken under advisement by the Court it is this day ordered

adjudged that the judgment of the Court below be reversed and the case remanded for a new trial

By a majority of the Court, Dunne C.J. dissenting

Ana C. Waffenden Appeh
vs
Richard Waffenden Respt.

This case having been taken under advisement by the Court, it is this day ordered and adjudged that the Order of the Court below setting aside the order for injunction, be set aside

Justice Porter delivered the opinion of the Court
Justice Dunne concurring.

Chief Justice Dunne reserving his decision.

Francois Forque Respt
vs
Leopoldo Carrillo Appt.

This case having been taken under advisement by the Court it is this day ordered and adjudged that the judgment of the Court below be affirmed.

Guilford Nathaway Respt
vs
Wilson C. Collier Appt.

This case having been taken under advisement by the Court it is this day ordered and adjudged that the judgment of the Court below be affirmed.

By a majority of the Court
Dunne C.J. reserving his decision.

Henry W. Henry Appeh
vs
Jackson Ap Tompkins Respts

E B Conway Esq appeared for appellant and presented motion to recall this Cause upon the Calendar. Motion Retained and Cause ordered to be reinstated

The Clerk was instructed to enter judgments in the cases of Chevalier vs Waffenden, Waffenden vs Chevalier, Forque vs Carrillo & Nathaway vs Collier but not to issue Remittiturs until the Opinions were filed,

Court adjourned to Wednesday February 2d 13

C. F. Dunne Chief Justice

Court met Pursuant to adjournment on the 3rd day of February A. D. 1876.

Present

Hon. C. G. W. French Chief Justice. Hon Chas. A. Tweed Associate Justice
E. B. Pomroy Esq U. S Dist atty F. H. Goodwin Esq U. S Marshal
E. D. Beardsley Deputy Clerk

Minutes of proceedings of January 21. 22. 24. 25 and 26. were read approved.

Guilford Nathaway Respt
vs
Wilson C. Collier Appelt

Jno. W. Leonard Esq Atty for appellant presented motion to have the Court fix the amount of bond required of Appellant in this case on appeal to the Supreme Court of the United States.

The matter was taken under advisement by the Court

Thomas Graves Appelt
vs
Jno. T. Alsap Respt

Hugh Farley on behalf of G. H. Oury Esq atty for Appellant had noted his intention of presenting a motion to have the Court fix the amount of bond required of appellant in this case on appeal to the Supreme Court of the United States.

Guerno Tellez /
vs
Jesus Castro

E. B. Pomroy Esq atty for Respondent renew his motion to have the appeal in this case dismissed.

The Clerk was ordered to incorporate in the minutes of the Court the Commission of Hon Chas. G. W. French as Chief Justice of Arizona Territory

The Clerk was ordered that any opinions written by Ex Chief Justice Dunne might be filed by him

at any time as of the last day of his term
Court adjourned til February 3. 1876. at 10 o'clock A.M.
C. S. W. French

Commission of Non Charles. L. Tiffany
as
Chief Justice of Arizona Territory.

Ulysses S. Grant

President of the United States of America

To all who shall see these Presents, Greeting:

Know Ye; That reposing special trust and confidence in the Wisdom, Uprightness and Learning of Charles. L. Tiffany of California, I have nominated, and, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, do appoint him to the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of Arizona Territory: and do authorize and empower him to execute and fulfil the duties of that Office according to the Constitution and Laws of the said United States, and to Have and to Hold the said Office, with all the powers, privileges and emoluments to the same of right appertaining, unto him, the said Charles. L. Tiffany, for the term of four years from the day of the date hereof, subject to the conditions prescribed by law.

In testimony whereof, I have caused these Letters to be made Patent and the Seal of the United States to be hereunto affixed.
Given under my hand at the City of Washington the Sixteenth day of December in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and seventy five, and of the Independence of the United States of America the one hundredth.

(Seal)

U. S. Grant

By the President
Hamilton Fish
Secretary of State

Official Oath.

Territory of Arizona }
County of Pima }

I, Charles G. W. French do solemnly swear that I will support the Constitution of the United States and the laws of this Territory; that I will bear faith and allegiance to the same, and defend them against all enemies whatsoever, and that I will faithfully and impartially discharge the duties of the office of Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of Arizona Territory according to the best of my abilities, so help me God.

Charles G. W. French

Sworn and subscribed before me this first day of February A D 1876.

(Seal)

Coles Bashford.
Secretary of Arizona Territory

Oath prescribed by the Act of July 2nd 1862.

I, Charles G. W. French of Tucson County of Pima & Territory of Arizona, do solemnly swear that I have never voluntarily borne arms against the United States since I have been a citizen thereof; that I have voluntarily given no aid, countenance, counsel, or encouragement to persons engaged in armed hostility thereto; that I have neither sought, nor accepted, nor attempted to exercise the functions of any office whatever, under any authority, or pretended authority, in hostility to the United States; that I have not yielded a voluntary support to any pretended government, authority, power, or constitution within the United States, hostile or inimical thereto. And I do further swear that, to the best of my knowledge and ability, I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign & domestic; that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same; that I take this obligation freely, without any mental reservation or purpose of evasion; and that I will well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office on which I am about to enter. So help me God.

Charles G. W. French

Sworn & subscribed before me,
this first day of February A. D. 1876

(Seal)

Coles Bashford
Secy of Ariz. Terry.

Court met pursuant to adjournment on the 3rd day of February A. D. 1876.

Present

Hon L. G. W. Frenok Chief Justice. Hon Chas. A. Tweed Associate Justice. E. B. Pomroy Esq U.S. Dist atty. F. H. Goodwin. U. S. Marshall. E. J. Beardsley Deputy Clerk.

Minutes of proceedings of February 2, 1876 were read and approved

Thomas Graves Applt

vs

John. T. Alsap Respt

Hugh Farley presented motion to have the Court fix the amount of bond required of Appellant in this case on appeal to the Supreme Court of the United States.

Guilford Nathaway Respt

vs

Wilson. G. Collier Applt

On motion of Thos. W. Leonard Esq Atty for Appellant a stay of execution was granted for 20 days in this case.

Guerno Telles

vs

Jesus Castro

The Court ordered that the appeal in this case be dismissed without damages.

Whereas F. H. Goodwin United States Marshal has rendered to the Court an account of his fees and disbursements at the January Term A. D. 1876 of the United States Supreme Court in and for the Territory of Arizona with the vouchers and items thereof and in presence of E. B. Pomroy Esq United States Attorney has proved on oath to the satisfaction of the Court that the services therein charged have been actually and necessarily performed as therein stated, and that the disbursements therein charged have been fully paid in

lawful money! And whereas said Charges appear to be just and according to law it is hereby ordered that the said account amounting to Two hundred and forty nine and 00/100 dollars be and the same is hereby approved

Whereas E.B Downey Esq United States District attorney has rendered an account of his official services at the January Term A.D. 1876 of the United States Supreme Court in and for the Territory of Arizona and has proved on oath to the satisfaction of the Court that the services therein charged have been actually and necessarily performed as therein stated. And whereas said Charges appear to be just and according to law;

It is hereby ordered that said account amounting to Eighty dollars be and the same is hereby approved

Whereas W.H. Osborne United States Deputy marshall has rendered to this Court an account of his fees at the January Term A.D. 1876 of the United States Supreme Court in and for the Territory of Arizona and in presence of E.B Downay Esq United States attorney has proved on oath to the satisfaction of the Court that the services therein charged have been actually and necessarily performed as therein stated and whereas said Charges appear to be just and according to law;

It is hereby ordered that said account amounting to dollars be and the same is hereby approved.

Witness Fees

Whereas C.D Beardsley Deputy Clerk of the United States Supreme Court for Arizona has rendered to this Court an account of his fees with the items thereof at the January Term A.D 1876 of the United States Supreme Court in and for the Territory of Arizona and in presence of E.B Downay Esq U.S. Dist Atty has proved on oath to the satisfaction of the Court that the services therein charged have been actually and necessarily performed as therein stated and whereas said Charges appear to be just and according to law;

It is hereby ordered that said accounts amounting to Eighty three and 00/100 dollars be and the same is hereby approved.

Court took a recess until 3. o'clock P.m.

Afternoon Session

Court adjourned for the term.

C. J. W. French
Chief Justice of Arizona

Proceedings Supreme Court 1877

The Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona met pursuant to Law on this Monday the first day of January A. D 1877 at 10 oclock A M.

Present

Hon. Chas G. H. French Chief Justice
Hon Chas A. Sumner Associate Justice
Hugh Farley Esq Ass't U States District Attorney
Wm J Osborn Bailiff
Joseph Keugan Clerk

On the Appointment of a Bailiff of this Court

It is by the Court ordered that Wm J. Osborn be and is hereby appointed Bailiff of this Court

Court adjourned to January the 2nd at 2 oclock P.M

Attest: J. Keugan
Clerk

Charles G. H. French
Chief Justice

Court met pursuant to adjournment January the 2nd A D 1877 at 2 oclock P M.

Present

Hon. Chas G. H. French Chief Justice
Hon. Chas A. Sumner Associate Justice
Hon. Edward Porter Do
Hugh Farley Esq Assistant U S. District Atty
Wm J Osborn Bailiff
Joseph Keugan Clerk

The Minutes of yesterdays proceedings were read & approved

In the Matter of the Application of Thomas J. Drumm Esqr for admission to practice in this Court

Upon Motion of Hugh Farley Esq and upon presentation of a Certificate of admission to the Supreme Court of the State of Calif. and the U.S. Circuit Court of the 9th Circuit State of Calif. Thomas J. Drumm Esq was admitted to practice in this Court; and his signing the Roll of Attorneys and taking the oath prescribed by Law

The Calendar was then taken up and read and the following Orders Entered

Peter Lorenzo et al Plff & Respt

vs

Louis Davis Respt & Appt

Wm A Hancock Esq for Respt
J. H. Curry & J. P. Black for Appt

John C Rush Esq in behalf of Wm A Hancock Counsel for Respt, submitted a Certificate of the Clerk of the District Court 3rd Judicial District Maricopa County, that no transcripts had been certified to Such court that the appeal be dismissed. After hearing the Remarks of Freeman J Drumm Esq in behalf of the absent Counsel for Appt - J. H. Curry Esq

It is by the Court ordered, that the Motion for dismissal Stands Continued until to morrow 9 am

9th Dec 1877

James H Bamford Plff & Appt

vs

Andrew L. Hatter Respt & Respt

Hadleman Howard, South worth and Gaskill for Appt

Dr Hargraves and Rushforth for Petnr

This Cause was set for hearing on Tuesday } January 3rd 1877
Wednesday

William Cole
Petnr
v
C. C. Bean and Mary A Bean
Objects

Dr Hargraves and Rushforth for Petnr
Howard, Masterman, Foodrich & Cameron for Objors

Cause set for hearing Thursday January 4th 1877

The Village of Prescott
Objor
v
John P Campbell
Petnr

Mowat & Maclennan for Objor
Hargraves and Rushforth for Petnr

Cause set for hearing Thursday January 4th 1877

Henry H. Perry
Objor
v
Calvin Jackson and
H. J. Naughton
Respts

Masterson and Farley & Cameron for Objor
Hargraves and Rushforth for Respts

Cause set for hearing Friday January 5th 1877

In the Matter of the assessment
of this Court and the Hearing
of Petitions in Memory of
the late Ex Chief Justice Wilson

Louis C. Hughes Esq Treasurer
to assess the Costs and of Petitions to the Memory
of the late John Wilson Ex Chief Justice of this Province
and to appoint a Committee to draw proper Pe-
tition and do the places on the Register of this Court

This Cause coming on to be heard before the Court upon the Motion of John C. Hughes, Esq. Attorney for Appellee herein and upon the Transcript of Record herein and the Court being fully advised in the premises and having duly considered the same doth find that there is no error in the Record and Proceedings of the Court below in this Cause.

It is therefore considered by the Court that the Judgment of the Court below be and the same is hereby affirmed at the costs of Appellant herein.

Jacob Storrs, Plff & Respt
vs.
P. L. Thorlow and Ruth M. Thorlow, Defts & Appellants

John F. Ollapp Esq. who appears for Respondent, presents a certificate of the Clerk of the District Court 3rd Judicial District Maricopa County that no transcript has been certified etc. Also moves that the Cause be placed on Calendar and the Appeal be dismissed.

The Certificate was submitted and the Motion by the Court taken under advisement.

Martin Thompson, Plff & Respt
vs.
Charles R. Ayres, Defts & Appellants

J. F. Ollapp Esq who appears for Respondent, presents a certificate of the Clerk of the District Court 3rd Judicial District Maricopa County that no transcript has been certified etc. Also moves that the Cause be placed on Calendar and the Appeal be dismissed.

The Certificate was submitted and the Motion by the Court taken under advisement.

Appearing to the Court that the provisions of Statutory for the use of this Court are not full and right. It is Ordered that the Sheriff procure such as is necessary for the use of this Court.

Court adjourned to Wednesday January 3rd 1877 at 10 o'clock A.M.

Attest. J. Stevens
Clerk

Charles G. M. Fenn
Chief Justice

Court met pursuant to adjournment — January 3rd 1877 ad 1 ocl P.M.

Present

Hon. Chas P. W. Brush Chief Justice
Hon. Chas A. Reed Associate Justice
Hon. De Forrest Porter " "
Hugh Kenley Esq. Assistant U S District Atty
William J. Osborn Bailiff
Joseph Nougat Clerk

The Minutes of January 2nd 1877 were read and approved

In the Matter of the Application of John S Hoyt Esq for admission to practice in this Court

On Motion of John N. Bleasdale Esq and upon presentation of a Certificate of admission to the Supreme Court State of Michigan John S Hoyt Esq was admitted to practice in this Court and did sign the Roll of Attorneys and taking the oath prescribed by Law

In the Matter of the application of J M Hereford Esq for admission to practice in this Court

On Motion of Briggs Jarvis Esq and upon presentation of a Certificate of admission to the Supreme Court State of California J M Hereford Esq was admitted to practice in this Court and did sign the Roll of Attorneys and taking the oath prescribed by Law.

In the Matter of the application of Marx Mathews Esq for admission to practice in this Court

Hugh Kenley Esq moved that Marx Mathews Esq be admitted to practice in this Court on Affidavit and on production of his Certificate of admission to the Supreme Court of the State of Michigan and Calif. Leo M Rich Esq objected to the admission of

Moses Marburg Esqr as Member of the Bar of this Supreme Court upon the Grounds of certain Charges against him & his Conduct towards Hon. Chas O Ford Associate Justice of this Court

After hearing the Statement in regard to this Matter of Hon: Chas O. Ford, & the Remarks made by Messrs Hugh Farley & John Howard, it is by the Court ordered, that a Committee be appointed to investigate the Matter & their Committee to be Composed of Messrs. O F B Sanford, Hugh Farley, John T. Mapp, E. C. Hughes & John. A. Rush, to report to this Court, accordingly

Petition of Morgana Pltff. Respt
v.
Louis Davis Defdt & Appt

Motion for Mandamus Continued until Thursday January 4th at 1 oclock P.M.

James M. Sanford Pltff & Appt
vs
Andrew J. Moller Defdt & Respt

Cause ordered for hearing Thursday January 4th at 1 oclock P.M.

Wm Cole Respt
v
P.C. Gray & Harry H. Gray Appts

The Hearing of this Cause was changed from January 4th to Friday January 5th 1877

Cause ordered to Thursday January 4th 1877 at 1 oclock P.M.

Attest: J. Keegan Jr
Clk

Charles G. N. Fenwick
Chief Justice

Court met pursuant to adjournment January 4th 1877 at 1 o'cl P.M.

Present

Hon: Chas J. W. Leech — Chief Justice
Hon: C. H. Ford — Associate Justice
Hon: de Sausmarez Porter — ps d°
Hugh Farley Esq — Assistant Clk & District Atty
Jno. S Osborn — Bailiff
Joseph Scugale — Clerk

The Minutes of the proceedings of January 3rd were read and approved--

Territory of Arizona Respt
-v-
Louis Davis Appt

The Motion for dismissal of this appeal, is hereby ordered, denied,

Jacob Storrer Respt
-v-
D.E Thorton and Ruth
W Thorton Appts.

Motion for dismissal having been taken under advisement by this Court, it is this day ordered, that the appeal in said cause be hereby dismissed at Appellants costs

Martin Schaufler Respt
-vs-
Charles R Ayres Appt

Motion for dismissal having been taken under advisement by the Court it is this day ordered, that the appeal in said cause be hereby dismissed at Appellants costs

James H. Sanford Appt
-vs-
Andrew J Hollon Respt.

This Case came on regularly for hearing

John Howard Esq for Appt
John H. Nash Esq for Respt

Counsel for Appellant submitted his case on Briefs and without argument

John A Rusk Esq. Counsel for Respt. argued the case and argument stood pending

Court adjourned to Friday January 5th. 1877 at 10 oclock A. M.

Charles G. D. Fraser
Chief Justice

Attest: J. Sargent
Clerk

Court met pursuant to adjournment January 5th. 1877 at 10 oclock A. M.

Present

Hon: C. J. W. Fraser Chief Justice
Hon: C. A. Ford Associate Justice
Hon: de Forest Porter Associate Justice
Hugh Farley Esqr Assistant U. S. District Atty
Wm. J. Osborn Bailiff
Joseph Sargent Clerk

The Minutes of yesterdays proceedings were read and approved

The Village of Bryant Appellt
vs
Calvin Jackson and
Wm J. Pomphrey Respts

Murat Masten Esq for Appellt
Rud. A Wells and Hargrave for Respts

On Motion of John A Rusk Esqr Counsel for Respts the transcript in this cause was ordered filed and the cause placed upon the Calendar

Jane M. Bamford Appellt
vs
Andrew L Hollen Respt

Hearing continued from yesterday. The Argument was resumed and

Concluded by John A Rush Esq Counsel for Respt Case
the Case by the Court taken under advisement

The Village of Fremont. Appt
v
John. F. Campbell Respt

Hurd Harriman for Appt
John A Rush Esq for Respt

This Cause Came up Regularly for Hearing. No one
appearing for Appt. John A Rush Esq Counsel for Respt
moved to Submit this Case on Briefs without Argument.
By the Court ordered that the Case be passed for the
present.

The Court took a Recess till 10 o'clock A.M.

Court met at 10 o'clock A.M.

Wm Cole Respt
v
C.C Brauner
Mary M Brauner Appellants

Murat Harriman John Moran and
Farley & Kenney, for Appt.
Harpram and Rush & Wells for
Respt

On Motion of J. A Rush Esq, J F Hoyt Esq was
placed on Record as Attorney for Respt.

This Case Coming up regularly for Hearing. The
Argument was taken up by Hugh Farley Esq Counsel for
Appt and Resumed by John F Hoyt Esq on the part
of Respondent.

Court adjourned to Saturday January 6th 1877
at 10 o'clock A.M.

Attest: Peterson
Clerk

Charles G. M. French
Chief Justice

Court met pursuant to adjournment January 6th 1877 at 10 o'clock A.M.

Present

Hon: C. J. W. French Chief Justice
Hon: C. A. Ford Associate Justice
Hon: A. Parker Porter " "
Hugh Farley Esq Ass. Dist Attorney
Wm J. Osborn Bailiff
P. Keegan Clerk

The Minutes of yesterdays proceedings were read and approved —

Wm Cole Respt
vs
C. C. Brannan and Mary A Brannan Appts

The argument of this Cause was resumed by John A Reed Esq for Respondent.

The Court took a Recess till 1 oclock P.M.

Court met at 1 oclock P.M.

Wm Cole Respt
vs
C. C. Brannan and
Mary A Brannan Appts.

The argument was proceeded with by John A Reed Esq for Respt and concluded by Hugh Farley Esq for Appts and by the Court taken under advisement.

In the Matter of the Application of Noah Masterson to be admitted to practice in this Court

R C. Hughes Esq read and presented to the Court a Protest of Noah Masterson, wherein he objects to some of the Members of the Examining Committee to investigate the Charges preferred against him, namely: Messrs W.C.K. Safford John A Reed and John T. Knapp as being prejudiced and Peremptory refused to appear before said Committee

The Matter was taken under advisement by the Court

The Village of Oregana Respt
vs
Louis Heid Appellant

This cause was set for hearing Monday January 8th A.D. 1877

The Village of Fremont Appellt
vs
Calvin Jackson and
Wm. P. Campbell Respts

This cause was set for hearing Monday January 8th 1877.

The Village of Prescott Appellt
vs
John P. Campbell Respt

This cause to be heard Monday January 8th 1877

The Court adjourned to Monday January 8th 1877
at 10 o'clock A.M.

Charles G.N. Funch
Chief Justice

Albert F. Pengan
Clerk

Journal entry of adjournment to January 8th A.D. 1877
Old Session Book.

[illegible]

and the Court adjourned until Tuesday at 10 o'clock A.M.

Attest: J. Pettigrew
Clerk

Chas G. M. French
Chief Justice

Court met pursuant to adjournment January 9th 1877 at 10 o'clock A.M.

Present

Hon Chas G. M. French Chief Justice
" C. F. Fowler Associate "
" W. Horace Clark " "
" David Furley Esq U. S. District Attorney
H. L. Wilson Bailiff
Joseph Pettigrew Clerk

And on motion of January 9th 1877 ordered and adjudged.

In the matter of the application of Marcus Mastersons for admittance to practice in this Court.

It appearing to the Court by affidavit duly read and presented to the Court by H.K. Wafford Esq. Counsellor of the Court duly presented to the Clerk of the Court by Marcus Masterson Esq. of Pilgrim Creek Esq. and the Court being satisfied of the truth of the allegations of said affidavit and the motion of H.K. Wafford Esq. in behalf of said Marcus Masterson to be admitted to practice in the Court, the motion is granted.

On motion of H.K. Wafford Esq. in behalf of said Marcus Masterson to be admitted to practice in the Court forthwith and the Counsellor the oath of office was duly administered.

Court adjourned until Tuesday January 16th 1877 at 10 o'clock A.M.

Chas G. M. French
Chief Justice

Attest: J. Pettigrew
Clerk

Court of Sessions adjournment January 18th 1892.

The Petition Filed.

Present

Hon. C.J. H. Brown
" Ex. Chief
" Ve Chief Justice
Hugh Fairley Esq
H. J. Dakers
Joseph Hargrave

Justices
Associate "
" "
M. S. Mathieu Attorney
Sheriff
Clerk.

On the petition of the Municipality of the County of Prescott to the memory of the late John Sidney Esquire Justice of the Peace for said County.

A. L. Fraser Esq Chairman of the Committee appointed to prepare Constitution, Rules and Forms presented the same to the Court. Representations were made by Hugh F. Hughes, John H. Dulmage, Hugh Fairley and Byron Gardner and by the Hon. Sir J. H. Brown C.J. Chief Justice and Hon. Ve Ch. Justice and the Court then Associate Justices. The Constitution and Rules were read and ordered to be spread upon the minutes of this Court and a copy be forwarded to the Secretary of the Association.

Constitution.

We the Municipal Electors of the County of Stormont.

Hon. C.J. H. Brown Chief Justice Barclay
Hon. Hugh F. Fairley Associate
" V.C. Chief Justice "

Upon consideration of the Petition of the Municipality of the County of Prescott praying the establishment of a Division Court for the Village of Prescott and the Township of Edwardsburgh in the said County of Prescott and the Township of Augusta in the County of Grenville and the Township of Elizabethtown in the County of Leeds and the Village of Brockville in the County of Leeds and the Township of Yonge in the County of Leeds and the Township of Escott in the County of Leeds and the Township of Kitley in the County of Leeds and the Township of Bastard in the County of Leeds and the Township of South Gower in the County of Leeds and the Township of North Gower in the County of Leeds and the Township of Oxford in the County of Leeds and the Township of Wolford in the County of Leeds and the Township of Montague in the County of Leeds and the Township of Crosby in the County of Leeds and the Township of Lansdowne in the County of Leeds and the Township of Rear of Leeds and Lansdowne in the County of Leeds and the Township of Front of Leeds and Lansdowne in the County of Leeds and the Township of Rear of Yonge and Escott in the County of Leeds and the Township of Front of Yonge and Escott in the County of Leeds and the Township of Rear of Kitley and Bastard in the County of Leeds and the Township of Front of Kitley and Bastard in the County of Leeds and the Township of Rear of South Gower and North Gower in the County of Leeds and the Township of Front of South Gower and North Gower in the County of Leeds and the Township of Rear of Oxford and Wolford in the County of Leeds and the Township of Front of Oxford and Wolford in the County of Leeds and the Township of Rear of Montague and Crosby in the County of Leeds and the Township of Front of Montague and Crosby in the County of Leeds and the Township of Rear of Lansdowne and Rear of Leeds and Lansdowne in the County of Leeds and the Township of Front of Lansdowne and

resolved: That, profoundly impressed with the dignified character and great Judicial Courage and learning, of a Lawyer of great ability, whose integrity honorable and indefatigable in performing the duties of his calling (of which persons with but few equals, possessed of talents of a very high order, of great reading, remarkable memory, and accurate knowledge of, Citizens of high honorable qualities, in intellect, in heart, in sentiment, in action, in character truly American, a true patriot a true son of this Country and of its institutions, (I mean in the full sense of the word, an honest man, one that it was impossible not to respect but to his Connections, of the highest sense of honor, brave as a Lion and an immutable will, his word his bond, his example such his unspotted Morals, his pure life, civil gentle manners, formed him to be a truly American Gentleman, (to a person and character pleasant to his Countrymen and his God, who by his life gave a noble example to those who are following him to the life beyond in which he fully believed, we are constrained to pay living deads, to get birth.

Resolved that these proceedings be spread upon the minutes of this Court and a copy sent to the family of the deceased under the seal of the Court.

A. C. Hughes Chairman
John A. Clark
A.R.C. Dixfield
Geo. A. Ford
Hugh Corbett

Court adjourned to Wednesday January 10th A.D. 1877
at 1 o'clock P.M.

Charles G. N. Daveis
Chief Justice

Attest J. P. Keegan
Clerk

Court met pursuant to adjournment the 10th day of January 1877 at 1 o'clock P.M.

Present
Hon C. G. N. Daveis
" C. A. Peters
Hugh Corbett Esq
Atty for Cobosseecontee
& Kennebec

Chief Justice
Clerk
Assistant U.S. District Attorney
& Solicitor
Clerk

The Heirs of Seeney 13th prest Mar Appeal

James A Sanford } Appellant
v.
Andrew J Moulton } Respondent

This Cause having been heretofore argued, submitted & taken under advisement, it is this Day by the Court Ordered & adjudged, that the Judgment of the Court below be & the same is hereby affirmed and that Respondent have & recover of Appellant his costs & this Appeal

William Cole } Respondent
v.
Curtis C. Pratt & } Appellants
Maria K Pratt

This Cause having been heretofore argued, submitted & taken under advisement, it is this Day by the Court Ordered & adjudged, that the findings be set aside & the Judgment & Decree of the Court below be reversed & the Cause remanded for a new trial, with leave to parties to amend the pleadings if they so elect & that Appellants have & recover of Respondent their costs & this Appeal

Henry W. Henry } Appellant
v.
Jackson & W. J. Hampton } Respondent

This Cause having been heretofore argued, submitted & taken under advisement, it is this Day by the Court Ordered & adjudged, that the Judgment & Order of the Court below be & the same are hereby affirmed & that Respondents have & recover of Appellant their Costs & this Appeal

The Village of Oneida } Appellant
v.
John G. Campbell } Respondent

This Cause having been submitted & taken under advisement, it is this Day by the Court Ordered & adjudged, that the Judgment of the Court below be & the same is hereby affirmed & that Respondent have & recover of Appellant their Costs & this Appeal

The Village of Elizabeth } Appellant
vs
Jackson and H. J. Hamilton } Respondent

This Cause having been submitted on motion for judgment, it is this day by the Court ordered and adjudged that the judgment of the County Court herein be and the same is hereby affirmed, and that Respts have and recover of Appellant his costs and disbursements to be taxed.

County Attorney to Monday January 29th A.D. 1877 at 1 O'Clock P.M.

Charles S. D. French
Chief Justice

Attest: J. Sprague
Clerk

Court met pursuant to adjournment January 29th 1877 at 1 o'cl. P.M.

Present
Hon. C. J. D. French
" C. A. Prowse
Hugh Jeffery Esqr
W. H. Chamberlain
J. Sprague

Chief Justice
Assist Justice
Hon. W. H. Watson Atty
W. H. Marshall
Clerk

Minutes of January 17th read and approved.

In the matter of the application of Wm H. Hardy for a writ of Certiorari against J. Hunt Secretary of this Territory

On application of Mr Rush for Counsel herein that matter coming on to be heard on Rush for Counsel for a writ of Certiorari in and the same is hereby granted

Upon the hearing of Counsel
and
Evidence adduced

It is Ordered and adjudged that the writ of Certiorari herein be and the same is hereby quashed by the Chief Justice the Assistant Justice Concurring

Mr. Rush for Counsel files

Henry W. Fleury } Appt
v.
Calvin Jackson and Wife } Respt

The Opinion of this Court heretofore decided and Entered accordingly, was this day delivered by the Chief Justice, the Associate Justices Concurring Our order filed,

Wm Pole } Respt
v
P C Bean and Mary A Bean } Appts

The Opinion of this Court, heretofore decided and Entered accordingly, was this day delivered by the Chief Justice, the Associate Justices Concurring Our Order filed

In the Matter of the Terms of holding Courts in the several Districts of this Territory } Whereas the Justices Order of the Judges of this Court, the Terms of the several District Courts of this Territory, shall be held at the times and places hereinafter mentioned

First Judicial District at the 2d Monday in February and 2d Monday in October at Tucson Pima County

Second Judicial District at the 2d Monday in March and 1st Monday in December at Yuma Yuma County

Third Judicial District at the first Monday in June and 1st Monday in November at Prescott Yavapai County

and that the Clerk of this Court, cause Copies of this Order to be filed, in the Office of Clerks of each of these District Courts

Court adjourned to Friday February 2d A.D. 1877 at 10 o'clk A.M.

Charles G. M. French
Chief Justice

Attest Peter Brown
Clerk

Court met. Pursuant to adjournment February 2nd 1877
at 10 o'cl. A.M.

Present—
Hon: C.J. G.W. Finch
Hugh Farley Esq.
Wm F. Osborn
J. Keegan Jr.

Chief Justice
Asst U.S. Dist. Atty
Deputy Marshall
Clerk

No Jurors being present, it is ordered that the Court be adjourned—

Court adjourned to Monday February 5th at 10 o'cl A.M

Chas G. W. Finch
Chief Justice

Attest: J. Keegan
Clerk

Court met pursuant to adjournment February 5th 1877
at 10 o'cl. A.M.

(Present
Hon C.J. G.W. Finch.
" C.J. Pond
Hugh Farley Esq
Wm F. Osborn
J. Keegan Jr.

Chief Justice
Associate Justice
U.S. Asst District Atty.
Deputy Marshall
Clerk

The Minutes of January 29th and Feb 1st were read and approved—

In the Matter of Petition
of the Bill of Costs, account
in the case of Cole vs Fran.
and Fran

After hearing the Statement of Counsel it is by the Court ordered, that the Bill of Costs for Disbursements be allowed, with the Exception of the U.S. Fee Items the Counsel charges for the transcript—
stenographer, upon the assent of party

In the matter of the Appointment of an Interpreter Court Commissioner

In this Day by the Court Before Mr W.S.W. Stafford Esqr Is and he hereby is appointed Interpreter Court Commissioner of this Court This appointment to go into Effect upon this being put the Clerk of this Court the proper oath of Office and qualifying according to Law.

In the Matter of the Petition of the Rules of this Court

The Court adjourned Myr. & Mrs Stafford Chas Hughes, of Pueblo, this County Chas F. H. Hermann of Hazen Pawnee County, as Commissioners to revise the Rules of this Interpreters Court Chas report at the next Session of this Court

The account of W. W. Standish U.S. Marshal for Chas Hays Witness in the Court was referred to Hon. C. W. Pierce Assistant Justice.

The account of J. A. Jacobs (C) against the Territory of Virginia was Examined Chas allowed Amounting to $195.05

The account of Jackson Hyde against the Territory of Virginia for a Record Book Amounting to $6.40 was Examined Chas allowed

The account of Jacob Ehrman Clerk of the Supreme Court against the Territory of Virginia was Examined Chas allowed Amounting to $139.50

The account of Jas A. Cohen Deputy Marshall against the Territory of Virginia was Examined Chas allowed Amounting to $10.00

The account of Chs A. Shurtliff Sheriff of Lima County against the Territory of Virginia was Examined Chas allowed Amounting to $13.50

Whereas Hugh Fraser, Clerk of the Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona, has rendered to this Court an account of his services and fees as such Clerk for the January term 1877 of this Court with the items thereof and the proofs of Hugh Farley by Wm. H. J. Hartnett City for Arizona that proved on oath to the satisfaction of the Court, that the services therein charged have been actually and necessarily performed as therein stated and reasonable charges & fees to be just and according to law

It is hereby ordered, that said account amounting to Seventy seven 95/100 be and the same is hereby approved

Whereas Hugh Farley by Assistant U.S. District Atty for Arizona has rendered to this Court an account of his services and fees as such during the January Term of this Court and the items thereof and proofs of Hugh Farley Wm. H. J. Hartnett City has proved on oath to the satisfaction of this Court, that the services therein charged have been actually and necessarily performed as therein stated and that charges reasonable to be just and according to law

It is hereby ordered, that said account amounting to Sixty four dollars be and the same is hereby approved

The following Account against the United States of certain Jurors by Hugh Farley Esq. for the use of this Court were examined and allowed to wit:

Francisco Rodriguez	for Mesa	amounting to	$12.00
J.D. Mansfield	" Tolleson	"	8.50
Polly Odom & Co	" Crawford	"	9.00
Wm. M. Osborn	Bailiff	" Attendance	60.00

In favour of Hugh Farley by Wm H.J. Hartnett Attorney

Clerk's Allowance for Writ of Ha Cop.

Charles G.M. Fennell

Chief Justice

Filed in U.S. Supreme Court

The Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona. Met. pursuant to Law, Monday January 7th 1878. at 10. O'clock A.M.

Present: Hon C. M. W French Chief Justice & Hon. Chas A. Tweed Associate Justice. Hugh Harley Esq Assistant U.S. attorney U.S. Marshal — And Clerk by Deputy.

On Motion of T. J. Drum Esq and on presentation of Certificates of Admission to the Supreme Court of the State of California, E. W. Aram and Alvin J. Brunner was Each admitted to practice as attorneys and Counselors in this Court, on their signing the Roll of attorneys and taking the Oath as prescribed by law —,

On Motion of Joshua A. Rush Esq and upon presentation of Certificate of admission to the Supreme Court of the state of California, Franklin McCracken was admitted to practice as Attorney and Counselor in this Court on his signing the Roll of Attorneys, and taking the oath prescribed by law;

On Motion of Joshua A. Rush Esq and upon presentation of Certificate of admission to the higher Court of the State Missouri, Charles B. Rush. was admitted to practice in this Court on his Signing the Roll and taking the Oath as prescribed by law.

In the Matter of the application of Paul Weber, P. M. Brunner and E. Cruwell to be admitted to practice as Attorneys and Counselors in this Court, the said applicants appearing in Court and by Joshua A. Rush & T. J. Drum Esqrs making application to be admitted to practice in this Court and it appearing to the Court Necessary and proper that a Committee of the Members of this bar should be appointed to Examine said applicants,

It is therefore ordered that Joshua A. Rush Esq. T. J. Hargrave Esq & Hugh Harley Esq. Members

Bar. be appointed such Committee, and that said Committee make and report the result of such Examination to this Court, tomorrow January 8th 1878 at 10.0 Oclock am,

On Motion of Hugh Harley ~~D. D. Dorsey~~ Esqr and upon presentation of affidavit as to admission to the Supreme Court of the State of California, Clark Churchill was admitted to practice in this Court, on his Signing the Roll of attorneys and taking the Oath prescribed by law,

On Motion of J. P. Hargrave Esqr and upon presentation of Certificate of admission to the Supreme Court of the State of California, Benjamin Morgan was admitted to practice in this Court on his Signing the Roll of attorneys and taking the oath as prescribed by law

And On motion of Clark Churchill Esqr. It is ordered that Thomas Fitch be admitted to practice in this Court, on presentation of Certificate of his admission to the Supreme Courts of the United States and of State of California

Calendar Called

Territory of Arizona	Plaintiff and Respondent	
vs.		
William Partridge	Defendant and appellant	

On Motion of Benjamin Morgan Esqr for defendant and ~~Respondent~~ appellant It is ordered that this Cause be Continued for the term Hugh Harley Esqr on behalf of Paul Weber Esqr District attorney of Yavapai county Counsel for Respondent Consenting.

Territory of Arizona	Plaintiff and Respondent	
vs.		
J. A. Lewis	Defendant and appellant	

Set for hearing Friday next January 11th 1878

Territory of Arizona	Plaintiff and Respondent	Passed
vs.		
Thomas Dunbar	Defendant and appellant	

Robert Orr Etal, } Plaintiffs and appellants.
vs,
A. E. Davis Etal } Defendants and Respondents }

~~Set for hearing Wednesday Sept January 9th 1878~~

H Royce } Plaintiff and appellant.
vs,
Lyman Smith } defendant and Respondent

Set for hearing wednesday next January 9th 1878.

S. N. Carpenter } Plaintiff and Appellant
vs,
the County of Pima } Defendant and Respondent

Set for hearing wednesday next January 9th 1878

J. N. McCandless } Plaintiffs and Respondents
vs,
J. H. Pierson and } Defendants and appellants
James Stewart

On motion of John Howard Esqr, it is ordered that the names of Thomas Fitch and Clark Churchill Esqrs be entered of record as of Counsel for appellants - and case set for hearing Thursday next January 10th 1878.

J. M. Pike Exr } Plaintiff & Respondents
vs,
H. V. Cranmer Etal } Defendants & appellants
In Bankruptcy

On motion of Benjamin Morgan Esqr it is ordered that this cause be placed on the Calendar set for hearing tomorrow Tuesday January 8th 1878.

James Riley } Respondent
vs,
George Tyng, } appellant

On motion of Johnson, Rush Esqr. It is Ordered that this cause be placed on Calendar. & set for hearing tomorrow January 8th 1878 -

~~Court adjourned until tomorrow January 8th 1878 at 10 o'clock a.m.~~

N E Ellis — } Plaintiff & appellant
v.s.
Gideon Brooke Etals Supervisors of Yavapai County } Defendants & Respts

Set for hearing Saturday next January 12th 1878.

Court adjourned until tomorrow January 8th 1878 at 10 o'clock a.m.

Charles G. W. French
Chief Justice

Court met Tuesday January 8th 1878. at 10 o'clock a.m. pursuant to adjournment.

Present

Hon C.G.W. French Chief Justice
Hon C.A. Tweed associate Justice
Hon D.E. Norcat Porter associate Justice.
Hugh Harley Esqr assistant U.S. attorney,
Marshal and Clerk, by Deputy

The Committee of Attorneys appointed by the Court to Examine Raul Weber, P.M. Bruner and E.D.W. Wells - applicants for admission to practice as attorneys and Counsellors in this Court, appears by Johnson, Rush Esqr and Reporting favorably, and the said applicants having been Each examined by the Court, and the Court being satisfied of the abilites, and the good moral character of said applicants -

Upon motion of Johnson, Rush Esqr it is ordered that the said applicants be Each admitted to practice in this Court on Signing the Roll of attorneys, and taking the oath as prescribed by law, - -

A Royea } Plaintiff & appellant
v.s.
Lyman E. Smith, } Defendant & Respondent

This day January 8th 1878. this cause coming on to be heard, on Motion of Hugh Harley Esqr it is ordered that the name of Hugh Harley Esqr & Charles B. Re-

be entered of Record as Counsel for Respondent, and the case was argued by Hugh Farley Esqr and Charles B. Rush Esqr, for Respondent, and without argument for appellant, was submitted or taken under advisement by the Court,

J. M. Pike &c, } Plaintiffs and Respondents
vs.
H. V. Cram } Defendant and appellant
In Bankruptcy

This day January 8th 1878, this Cause coming on to be heard, and the respective parties being present by Counsel, the case was argued by Benjamin Morgan Esqr for appellant and by Clark Churchill Esqr for Respondent, and being fully argued. was submitted taken under advisement by the Court,

James Riley } Plaintiff and Respondent
vs.
George Tyring } Defendant and appellant

This day January 8th 1878, this cause coming on to be heard, Now upon motion of John A. Rush Esqr it is Ordered that the names of Rush and Wells Esqrs and Charles B. Rush Esqr be entered of Record as Counsel for Respondent, and the case was fully argued by John A. Rush Esqr & Charles B. Rush Esqr for Respondent, and without being argued for appellant, was submitted taken under advisement by the Court,

Court adjourned until tomorrow Wednesday January 9th 1878, at 10. o'clock A.M.

Charles G. W. French
Chief Justice

Court met Wednesday January 9th 1878. at 10. o'clock A.M. pursuant to adjournment.

Present

Hon C.S. W. French Chief Justice
Hon D.E. Forest Porter associate Justice
Hugh Harley Esqr assistant us attorney,
Marshal and Clerk, by deputy

Territory of Arizona } Plaintiff (Rw) Respondent
vs,
Thomas Dunbon } Defendant (Rw) Appellant

This day January 9th 1878. this cause coming on to be heard, upon motion of Charles B. Rush Esqr it is Ordered that his name be entered of Record as attorney for Plaintiff and Respondent, and the respective parties being represented by Counsel, the Case was argued by Hugh Harley Esqr for appellant and by Charles B. Rush Esqr for Respondent, and being fully argued and submitted taken under advisement by the Court,

J.W. Carpenter } Plaintiff (Rw) Appellant
vs,
The County Pima } Defendant (Rw) Respondent

On motion of Hugh Harley Esqr Counsel for appellant it is ordered that this cause be submitted on briefs. to be filed tomorrow January 10th 1878.

Court adjourned until tomorrow Thursday January 10th 1878. at 10. o'clock a.m.

Charles G.W. French
Chief Justice

Court met Thursday January 10th 1878. at
10. O. clock A. M. pursuant to adjournment.
Present
Hon C. G. W. French Chief Justice
Hon C. A. Tweed associate Justice,
Hon D E Horesh Porter associate Justice
Hugh Harley Esqr assistant U. S. attorney,
Marshal and Clerk — by Deputy

Robert Orr Etal } Plaintiffs & Appellants
vs.
A. E. Davis Etal } Defendants & Respondents

Set for hearing Saturday next January 12th 1878.

James N. McCandless } Plaintiff & Respondent
vs.
J. H. Pierson and James Stewart } Defendants & appellants

This day January 10th 1878, this Cause Coming on to be heard, and the respective parties being present by Counsel, Messers Rush & Wells, & Morgan & Hargrave Counsel appearing for Respondent, and John Howard Esqr and Messrs Hitch & Churchill Esqrs, appearing as Counsel for appellants and the Case was argued by John A. Rush Esqr and Benjamin Morgan Esqr for Respondents and by Clark Churchill Esqr for appellants, and being fully argued was submitted & taken Under advisement by the Court

Court adjourned until tomorrow Friday January 11th 1878. at 10 O. Clock A. M.

Charles G. W. French
Chief Justice

Court met Friday January 11th 1878, at 10. O, clock a.m., pursuant to adjournment

Present

Hon. C. G. W French Chief Justice,
Hon. C. A. Tweed associate Justice,
Hon. D.E. Forest Porter associate Justice,
Hugh Harley Esqr assistant A.G.
Attorney -
Marshal and Clerk, by deputy

W. S. Judkins } Plaintiff and Respondent
vs,
C. R. Head } Defendant as appellant

Now this day January 11th 1878, E.W. Arave Esqr of Counsel for Respondent moves the Court to place this Cause upon the Calendar, and Benjeman Morgan Esqr of Counsel for a/s/Bellant being present objects to the motion the Matter submitted without argument, taken under advisement by the Court,

Territory of Arizona } Plaintiff and Respondent
vs,
D. C. Lewis " } Defendant and Appellant

This day January 11th 1878 this Cause coming on to be heard, and the respective parties being present by Counsel, Paul Weber Esqr District Attorney of Yavapai County and Messers Tweed & Tweed Esqrs Counsel representing Respondent, and Benjeman Morgan Esqr & Messers Fitch & Churchill Esqrs Co-Counsel representing Appellant, and the Case was argued by Paul Weber Esqr and E.W. Wells Esqr, for Respondent, and by Thomas Fitch & Benjeman Morgan Esqrs for Appellant, and being fully argued, was submitted, taken under advisement by the Court,

Court adjourned until tomorrow Saturday January 12th 1878. at 10. Oclock a.m.

Chief Justice

Court met Saturday January 12th 1878. at 10. O'clock A.M. pursuant to adjournment.

Present

Hon C.J. N. French Chief Justice
Hon C.A. Tweed associate Justice,
Hon D.E. Horeh Porter Associate Justice
Hugh Harley Esqr Assistant U.S. attorney.
Marshal and Clerk, by Deputy

W.S. Judkins } Plaintiff (au) Respondent.
vs.
L.P. Head } Defendant and appellant.

E.W.

Irvine Esqr of Counsel for Respondent re-news Motion to place this Cause on Calendar - and Benjamin Morgan Esqr of Counsel for appellant (re-news) objections to the Motion - the matter held under further advisement by the Court,

Territory of Arizona } Plaintiff and Respondent
vs.
J.A. Lewis } Defendant and appellant

This

having been argued, submitted and taken under advisement by the Court, on the 11th day of January 1878, and Now this day January 12th 1878, (Thomas) Hitch Esqr of Counsel for appellant and moves the Court for leave to file additional brief - Motion denied -

Robert Orr Etal } Plaintiffs and appellants
vs.
A.E. Davis Etal } Defendants and Respondents

This day

January 12th 1878 this Cause coming on to be heard and determined, and Respondents being represented by Counsel, Messrs Rush & Wells Esqrs, and Counsel of Record for appellants, Fitzer, Hamilton & Goodwin, Not being present, the Court Ordered said Counsel Fitzer, Hamilton & Goodwin called at the door, and having been called and failing to answer,

Upon Motion of John A. Rush Esqr for Respondents it is ordered that the appeal herein be dismissed.

N. Ellis vs. Gideon Brooke Geo W. Curtis and Jos. S. Coors Supervisors of Yavapai County A.T. } Plaintiff as appellant / Defendants as Respondents

This day January 12th 1878, this cause coming on to be heard and the respective parties being present by Counsel Messrs Hargrave & Morgan Counsel representing Appellant, and Paul Weber Esqr District Attorney of Yavapai County and Messrs Fitch & Churchill, Attorneys representing Respondents, the case was argued by Messrs Morgan & Hargrave for appellant and by Paul Weber Esqr & Thomas Fitch Esqr for Respondents, and being fully argued was submitted & taken under advisement by the Court.

Court took a recess until 1.30 o'clock this P.M. at which time it met with present as at recess.

The United States vs. James Malone } Plaintiff as Respondent / Defendant as appellant

This day January 12th 1878, this appeal coming on to be heard, and Respondent being Represented by Counsel, Hugh Harley Esqr. assistant United States Attorney, and Benjamin Morgan Esqr Voluntarily representing appellant, and the respective Counsel stipulating that the record of proceedings of U.S. District Court Third Judicial District Arizona Territory (on the trial of appellant as defendant in the Court below) be used and referred to on the hearing of this appeal, and the case was argued by Benjamin Morgan Esqr for appellant, and by Hugh Harley Esqr for Respondent and being fully argued was submitted & taken

Under Advisement by the Court.

Court Adjourned until Monday next
January 14th 1878, at 10. o'clock A.M.

C Pugles G. M. Secrest.
Chief Justice.

Court met Monday January 14th 1878 at
10. o'clock A.M. pursuant to adjournment,
Present
Hon'ble, J. S. M. French Chief Justice
Hon D. A. Sweed associate Justice
Hon D E Porter Associate Justice
Hugh Harley Esq. assistant U.S. attorney
Marshal and Clerk by Deputy -

Territory of Arizona } Plaintiff & Respondent.
-vs-
J. A. Lewis } Defendant & Appellant.

2 Cases

Cause having been heretofore argued, submitted
and taken under advisement, it is this
day by the Court ~~Ordered~~ ordered and adjudged ~~that~~ the
Judgment of the Court below, be and the same
is hereby affirmed, and the Court or Judge
below directed to fix the day of Execution.
Chief Justice French rendering decision.
Associate Justices Sweed & Porter Concurring.

The United States } Plaintiff & Respondent
-vs-
James Malone } Defendant & Appellant

2 Cases

Cause having been heretofore Argued, Submitted and
taken under advisement, it is this day by the
Court Ordered and Adjudged that the Judgment
of the Court below, be and the same is hereby
affirmed, and the Court, or Judge below directed to
fix the day of Execution,
Chief Justice French rendering decision -
Associates Sweed and Porter Concurring.

Territory of Arizona } Plaintiff & appellant
vs.
Thomas Dunbar } Defendant & Respondent } This Cause having been heretofore argued, submitted and taken under advisement, It is this day by the Court ordered and adjudged, that the writ be dismissed, at Costs of petitioner there appearing no Error in the Record.

Chief Justice French rendering decision.
Associate Justices Tweed and Porter Concurring.

S. N. Carpenter } Plaintiff & appellant
vs.
The County of Pima } Defendant & Respondent } This Cause having been heretofore argued, submitted and taken under advisement, It is this day by the Court ordered and adjudged that the Judgment of the Court below, be and the same is hereby affirmed,

Chief Justice French rendering decision,
Associate Justices Tweed and Porter Concurring.

N. Ellis } Plaintiff & appellant
vs.
The Board of Supervisors of Yavapai County &c. } Defendants & Respondents } This Cause having been heretofore Argued, submitted, and taken under advisement, It is this day by the Court Ordered and adjudged that the Judgment of the Court below be and the same is hereby affirmed, on first and Record grounds stated in the Demurrer.

Chief Justice French rendering decision,
Associate Justices Tweed and Porter Concurring.

Court took a recess until 11 o'clock this P.M. at which time it met with present as before.
Court adjourned until tomorrow Tuesday January 15th 1878, at 11 o'clock A.M.

Chas G. N. French
Chief Justice

Court Met Tuesday January 15th 1878, at 11. o'clock a.m. pursuant to adjournment,

Present

Hon C. A. Sweed associate Justice,
Hugh Harley Esqr assistant US attorney
Marshal and Clerk by Deputy,

There being no quorum, Court took a recess until 1:30 o'clock this P.m. at which time it met with present,

Hon C. S. N. French Chief Justice
Hon C. A. Sweed associate Justice
Hon D. E. Forest Porter associate Justice,
and officers as at recess—

W. S. Judkins } Plaintiff & Respondent
vs.
C. P. Head } Defendant & appellant

It is ordered by the Court that this cause be placed on Calendar of the present January term, and upon motion of E. Aram Esqr of Counsel for Respondent, it is ordered that the Case be Submitted on briefs, to be filed tomorrow January 16th 1878, Benjamin Morgan Esqr of Counsel for appellant being present and Consenting thereto,

J. M. Rice & Co } Plaintiff & Respondents
vs.
H. V. Cram — In Bankruptcy } Defendant & appellant

This Cause having been argued Submitted, and taken under advisement by the Court, it is this day by the Court Ordered that the Judgment of the Court below be reversed Chief Justice French rendering decision, associate Justice Porter Concurring, and associate Justice Sweed dissenting,

William Cole } Plaintiff & Respondent
vs,
Curtis L. Bean & Mary M. Bean } Defendants & Appellants

It is Ordered by the Court that this Cause be placed on the Calendar of the present January term, 1878, and argument of Case, opened by Thomas Ditch Esqr for Appellants, and further argument of the Case was Continued until tomorrow January 16th 1878, at 10. O'clock A.M.

to which time Court adjourned

Charles G. W. French
Chief Justice

Court Met Wednesday January 16th 1878, at 10. O. Clock A.M. pursuant to adjournment,

Present,

Hon C. G. W. French Chief Justice
Hon C. A. Tweed Associate Justice
Hon D. Forest Porter Associate Justice
Hugh Farley Esqr Assistant U.S. Attorney
Marshal and Clerk by Deputy

William Cole } Plaintiff & Respondent
vs,
Curtis L. Bean & Mary M. Bean } Defendants & Appellants

The argument of this Cause was resumed by Thomas Ditch Esqr for Appellants, and having Concluded his Opening argument, further Argument in the Case was Continued until 1. o'clock this P.M. to which time the Court took a recess.

Court Met at 1. O'clock this P.M. with Present as at recess.

In the Matter of the application of James L. Stebbins to be admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court.

On Motion of Joshua A. Rich Esqr and on presentation of affidavit as to admission to the

Supreme Court of the State of New York and of the State of California. James C. Stebbins was admitted to practice as an attorney and Counselor in this Court on his Signing the Roll of Attorneys and taking the Oath as prescribed by law,

William Cole
V.S.
Curtis C. Bean and
Mary M. Bean
} Plaintiff & Respondent
} Defendants & Appellants

The Argument of this Case being resumed, John A. Rush Esqr opened his argument for Respondent, and at 5. O. Clock this P.M. the argument of the Case was Continued until tomorrow Thursday January 17th 1878. at 10. O. Clock A.M.

In the matter of the Terms of holding Courts in the several Districts in this Territory

Until further Order of the Judges of this Court, the Terms of the several District Courts of this Territory shall be held at the times and places hereinafter Mentioned —

First Judicial District on the 2nd Monday in February and 2nd Monday in Oct, at Tucson Pima County A.T;

Second Judicial District on the 2nd Monday in March and 1st Monday in December at Yuma, Yuma County A.T;

Third Judicial District, on the 1st Monday in June, and 1st Monday in November at Prescott Yavapai County A.T;

And that the Clerk of this Court Cause Copies of this Order to be filed in the Office of Clerks of these District Courts,

First District
Second "
Third "

C. S. D. Howell C.J.
D.E. Forest Porter associate
C.W. Jones associate Justice,

Court Adjourned until tomorrow Thursday January 17th 1878. at 10. O.clock A.M.

Charles G. N. French

Chief Justice

Court met Thursday January 17th 1878 at 10. O.clock A.M. pursuant to adjournment

Present.

Hon C. G. N. French Chief Justice
Hon C. A. Reed associate Justice
Hon D. F. Horesh Porter associate Justice,
Hugh Harley Esq. assistant U.S. attorney,
Marshal and Clerk by Deputy -

W. D. Judkins } Plaintiff as Respondent
vs.
C. P. Hess et al } Defendants as appellants

On motion of Benjeman Morgan Esq. Counsel for appellants, it is Ordered by the Court that appellant have further time until tomorrow January 18th 1878, in forenoon to file briefs -

William Cole } Plaintiff as Respondent
vs.
Curtis D. Beans et al
Mary M. Beans } Defendants as appellants

The Arguments of this Cause being resumed - John A. Rush Esq. took up and Concluded his Argument for respondent,

And further Argument of the Case was Continued until 1.15 O.clock this P.M. to which time Court took a recess -

Court met at 1.15 O.clock this P.M. with present as at recess.

William Cole } Plaintiff as Respondent
vs.
Curtis D. Beans et al
Mary M. Beans } Defendants as appellants

2 hr.

Argument of this Case being resumed J. P. Hargrave Esqr. opened and Concluded his argument for respondents being followed by Thomas Fitch Esqr with Closing argument for appellants — and the further argument of the Case was Continued until 7 O. Clock this P.M. to which time Court took a recess —

Court met at 7. O'Clock this P.M. with present as at recess —

William Cole } Plaintiff & Respondent
vs,
Curtis. C. Bean and
Mary M. Bean } Defendants & appellants

The Argument of this Case was resumed and closed by Thomas Fitch Esqr for appellants — and Case Submitted & taken under advisement by the Court,

Court adjourned until tomorrow Friday January 18th 1878. at 10 o'clock A.M.

Charles G. N. French

Chief Justice

Court met Friday January 18th 1878. at 10 o'clock A.M. pursuant to adjournment —

Present

Hon C. G. N. French Chief Justice,
Hon C. A. Ives Associate Justice
Hon D. E. Horsch Porter Associate Justice,
Hugh Harley Esqr Assistant Sec. attorney —
Marshal and Clerk by deputy.

William Cole } Plaintiff & Respondent
vs,
Curtis C. Bean and
Mary M. Bean } Defendants & appellants

This Cause having been argued & submitted, and taken under advisement, by the Court, and this day January 18th 1878. the Court having rendered its

decission of this case affirming the Judgment and ordein, of the lowen Court denying the motion for a New trial,

It is therefore Ordered that the Judgment and the order of the lowen Court denying the motion for a new trial be and the same is hereby affirmed.

James N. McCandless } Plaintiff & appellant
vs.
John H. Pierson and James Stewart } Defendant & Respondents

This Cause having been argued Submitted, and taken under advisement. it is this day ordered that the Judgment and order appealed from be and the same is hereby affirmed,

Chief Justice French rendering decission -
Associate Justice Doan Concurring -
associate Justice Porter dissenting -

J. M. Pike & Co } Plaintiff & Respondents
vs.
H. V. Brown In Bankruptcy } Defendant & Appellant

Petition having been filed herein for a re-hearing of this Cause - it is this day January 18th 1878, Ordered that petition be granted -

Chief Justice French rendering decission
Associate Justice Doan Concurring -
Associate Justice Porter dissenting -

Territory of Arizona } Plaintiff & Respondent
vs.
J. A. Lewis } Defendant and Appellant

It is Ordered by the Court that the clerk of this Court transmit letter to Clerk of Lower Court -

The United States } Plaintiff & Respondent
vs.
James Malone } Defendant & Appellant It is

Ordered by the Court that the clerk at once send to Clerk of lower Court remittitur

Court took a recess until 4. oclock this P.m. at which time it met with present as before.

William Cole } Plaintiff and Respondent
vs.
Curtis C. Bean and Mary M. Bean } Defendants and Appellants

On Motion of Thomas Fitch Esqr. of Counsel for Appellants it was Ordered that Appellants have and are hereby granted leave to take an appeal from the Judgment and Order of this Court to the Supreme Court of the United States,

And on motion of Thomas Fitch of Counsel for appellants. It is ordered that all proceedings herein be Stayed for (60) Sixty days, and that appellants have Sixty days from the date hereof to file any notice, motion or application herein.

Court adjourned until to morrow January 19th 1878. at 1 30 O.Clock P.m.

Chas C. J. French
Chief Justice.

Court met Saturday January 19th 1878. at 1.30 O'clock P.m. pursuant to adjournment.

Present

Hon C. J. W. French Chief Justice
Hon C.A. Dwee associate Justice.
Hugh Farley Esqr assistant U.S. Attorney
Marshal and clerk -

W. S. Judkins } Plaintiff and Respondent
vs.
C. P. Keas } Defendant and Appellant

This Cause having been Submitted on briefs, and taken under advisement by the Court, it is this day by the Court ordered and adjudged that the Judgment of the lower

Court be, and the same is hereby affirmed.
Associate Justice Lewis rendering decision—
Chief Justice French Concurring.

James Riley } Appellant
vs.
George Tying } Respondent

This cause having been argued, submitted and taken under advisement by the Court, it is this day by the Court Ordered and adjudged that the Order or other proceedings on which the writ herein is based, and the writ be dismissed, and that the proceedings had in the district court and the same is hereby reversed and set aside
Chief Justice French rendering decission—
Associate Justice Lewis Concurring.

John B. Royce } Plaintiff and appellant
vs.
Lyman A. Smith } Defendant and Respondent

This Cause having been argued, submitted and taken under advisement by the Court, it is this day by the Court, ordered and adjudged that the Order and Judgment on which the writ herein was issued be and the same is hereby reversed, and the writ dismissed.
Chief Justice French rendering decission—
Associate Justice Lewis Concurring.

J. C. N. McCandless } Plaintiff and Respondent,
vs.
John N. Pierson and James Stewart } Defendants and appellants

The Judgment of Lower Court having been affirmed herein, Now this day January 19th 1878, Clark Churchill Esqr of Counsel for Appellants moves the Court leave to file petition for re-hearing, and the Court having duly considered the Motion Ordered that the rule May be varied so far as to permit petition to be filed within ten days from the date hereof with proper stipulations, to be filed therewith,

In the Matter of Revision of the Rules of this Court.

It is Hereby Ordered that J. P. Hargrave, Benjamin Morgan, Blank Churchill, & Earo. Wells Esqrs of Prescott, and Hugh Harley Esqr of Tucson, be and they are hereby appointed a Committee to revise the Rules of this Supreme Court, and report at the Next Session of this Court.

Whereas William Wilkerson Deputy clerk of the U.S. Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona, has rendered to this Court an account of his services and fees at and during the January term 1878 of said Court, with the items thereof, and in Open Court in the presence of Hugh Harley Esqr Assistant U.S. attorney for Arizona, has proved on oath to the satisfaction of the Court that the services as therein charged have been actually and necessarily performed as therein stated,

And whereas said charges appear to be just and according to law,

It is hereby ordered that said account amounting to Sixty dollars, be and the same is hereby approved,

Done in open Court in the presence of Hugh Harley Esqr assistant U.S. attorney for Arizona on this 19th day of January A.D. 1878.

Whereas Hugh Harley Esqr assistant U.S. Attorney for Arizona Territory, has rendered to this Court An account of his services, fees and travel, at and during the January term 1878 of said Court, with the items thereof, and has proved on oath to the satisfaction of the Court that the services therein charged have been actually and necessarily performed as therein stated, and whereas said charges appear to be just and legal,

It is hereby Ordered that said account amounting to One hundred and thirty dollars, be and the same is hereby approved in open Court on this 19th day of January 1878

Whereas W. W. Standefer ~~has rendered to the~~ U.S. Marshal for Arizona Territory has rendered to this Court an account of his Services Court Expenses &c. at and during the January term 1878 of U.S. Supreme Court for Arizona, with the items thereof. and in open Court in the presence of Hugh Farley Esq Assistant U.S. Attorney for Arizona. has proved on oath to the satisfaction of the Court that the Services as therein Charged and Expenses as therein charged have been necessarily made and incurred for said term as therein stated,

And whereas Said Charges appears to be Just and according to law.

It is hereby ordered that Said account amounting as follows.

For Marshals Services fifty five dollars 00/100 $55.00

" Contingent Expenses of Court: one hundred twenty four 25/100 124.25

" " " " Supplemental, fourteen 50/100 14.50

Amounting in the whole to one hundred and ninety three 75/100 dollars — be and the same is hereby approved, in open Court in the presence of Hugh Farley Esq assistant U.S. attorney for Arizona on this 19th day of January 1878.

The account of John Lloyd for Copying for Supreme Court was Examined and allowed in the Sum of five 60/100 ($5.60) dollars —

Court adjourned for the Term

Charles G. W. French
Chief Justice

Prescott, Arizona Territory,
May 7th, 1878.

The Honorable Charles Silent having been appointed by the President of the United States to the office of Associate Justice of Arizona Territory, this day appeared bearing the following

Official Oath

Territory of Arizona
County of Yuma } ss.

I, Charles Silent do solemnly swear that I will support the Constitution of the United States, and the laws of this Territory; that I will true faith and allegiance bear to the same, and defend them against all enemies whatsoever, and that I will faithfully and impartially discharge the duties of the office of Associate Justice of the Supreme Court of Arizona according to the best of my ability, So help me God.

(Signed) Chas Silent

Sworn and subscribed
before me this 1st day
of May, A.D. 1878.
DeForest Porter
Associate Justice
of Arizona Territory

The Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona met pursuant to law, on Monday, January 6th, 1879, at 10 o'clock, a.m.

Present: Hon. Hon Chas G. H. Bronch
Chief Justice,
Hon. Charles Silent Associate Justice
Hon. Dr. Forest Porter Associate Justice
Murat Masterson, Asst U.S. Attorney.
and Clerk by Deputy -

there being no marshal present -
Court was opened by Under Sheriff.

The United States Marshal being absent, it is ordered by the Court that Henry McHenderk, Under Sheriff of Yavapai County, Arizona Territory be, and he is hereby appointed Special Bailiff, to attend on and adjourn the Court this day.

On motion of Clark Churchill, Esq. and on presentation of certificate of admission by the Supreme Court of the State of California, Murat Masterson was admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court, on his signing the Roll, and taking the oath.

On motion of Benjamin Morgan, and on presentation of certificate of admission by the Supreme Court of the State of California, C.N. McDaniel was admitted to practice as Attorney and Counselor in this Court, on his signing the Roll and taking the oath.

In the matter of the application of James R. Lowe to be admitted to practice as Attorney and Counselor, the said applicant

in Court, and by John A Rush, Esq making application to be admitted to practice in this Court, and that a committee of the members of this Bar be appointed for the purpose of examining said applicant,

It is therefore ordered that John A Rush, Benjamin Morgan and Clark Churchill, Esquires be appointed such committee, and make and report to this Court the result of their examination at their earliest convenience.

D. K. Stoughtelin,
Plff and Respt

vs.

N. Ellis, et als.,
Defts and Apptrs

On motion of John A Rush, Esq, of counsel for plaintiff and Respondent, it is ordered that this cause be placed on the Calendar

Hargrave & Morgan,
Plffs and Respts

vs.

C. R. Read & Co.,
Defts and Apptrs

On motion of Clark Churchill, Esq, it is ordered that this cause be stricken from the Calendar, this Clerk having no authority to place it thereon Calendar.

The Calendar was called.

Territory of Arizona,
Plff and Respt

vs.

William Partridge

Deft and Apt
Set for hearing at 1 o'clock this P.M.

Territory of Arizona
Plff and Respt
vs.
Charles Selden,
Deft and Apt
Passed.

The United States
Plff and Respt
vs.
John J. Chapman
Deft and Apt
Set for hearing tomorrow,
January 7th, 1879, at 10 o'clock A.M.

The United States
Plff and Respt
vs.
Henry R. Curtis,
Deft and Apt
Set for hearing tomorrow,
January 7th, 1879, at 10 o'clock A.M.

J. M. McCandless
Plff & Respt
vs.
John N. Pierson and
James Stewart
Defts & Apts
Set for hearing tomorrow, January 7th, 1879.

James Reilly
Plff & Apt
vs.
O. H. C. Rowell

Deft's Respt's
Set for hearing tomorrow
January 7th 1879.

J. M. Pike & Co.
Plffs & Respts
vs.
A. P. Crane et als.
Deffts & Apptsrs
} In Bankruptcy

On motion of Clark Churchill, Esq it is ordered that this cause be placed at foot of Calendar, to be heard after hearing of last four cases set for hearing.

Court took a recess until 1 o'clock, this P. M., at which time it met, with present as before

Territory of Arizona
Plff & Respt
vs.
William Partridge
Deft & Apptr
}

This day, January 6th 1879. this cause coming on to be heard, and the respective parties being present by Counsel, Hunar Masterson, Esq District Attorney of Yavapai County, representing Respondent and Benjamin Morgan, Esq, representing appellant, the cause was argued. Submitted - taken under advisement by the Court.

Clark Churchill, Esq announced to the Court the death of Edward H. Bowers, and moved that Court be adjourned in respect to the

memory of the deceased; and John A. Rush, and Murat Masterson, Esqrs seconding the motion; following with brief and appropriate remarks;

The motion was granted. And it is therefore ordered that this Court now adjourn, in respect to the memory of Edward D. Bowers, deceased, until tomorrow, January 7th 1879, at 10 oclock A.M.

Chas G. W. French

Chief Justice

Court met Tuesday, January 7th, 1879, at 10 oclock A.M. pursuant to adjournment with present:

His Hon. Chas G.W. French, Chief Justice,
Hon: DeForest Porter, Associate Justice
Hon: Chas Sibley " "
Murat Masterson, Asst U.S. Attorney,
Crawley P. Dake, U.S. Marshal,
and Clerk by Deputy.

On motion of Benjamin Morgan, Esq and on presentation of certificate of admission by the Supreme Court of California

Edward Noble Esq. was admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court, after signing the Roll and taking the oath.

D. K. Houghtelin
Plff & Respt

vs.

N. Ellis, et al.
Defts & Apts

Motion of John A Rush Esq of Counsel for Respondent that Appellants be required to furnish transcript on appeal, argued and submitted.

Hargrave & Morgan
Plffs & Respts

vs.

C. E. Head & Co
Defts & Apts

Argument on motion of Respondents to place the cause on Calendar postponed until tomorrow morning.

The United States
Plff & Respt.

vs.

John J. Chapman
Deft & Apt.

Motion to dismiss appeal taken under advisement.

In the matter of the application of Sydney H. Carpenter Esq. to be admitted to practice as an attorney and Counselor in this Court,
On motion of E. M. Daniel Esq.
It is ordered that the committee heretofore appointed for the purpose of examining the applicants James Rowe examine said applicant Sydney H. Carpenter, and report result.

J. M. Pike & Co
Dfts & Respondents
vs.
N. V. Crain et al.
Plffs & Appts
Argued by Counsel for Appellants and continued until tomorrow, January 5th 1870.

In the matter of the application of James R. Lowe to be admitted to practice as Attorney and Counselor in this Court;

Now come John A Rush, Clark Churchill and Benjamin Morgan, Esqrs. the committee appointed by this Court to examine James R. Lowe as to his qualifications and learning in the law to be admitted to practice in this Court, report that they have performed the duty assigned them, and find him in every way qualified to be admitted as an Attorney and Counselor of this Court, and recommend his admission accordingly and file their said report.

Whereupon the Court through its Chief Justice, Chas. J. McBride proceeded to examine said applicant in open Court as to his said ability and qualifications, and upon such examinations the Court find that he is entitled to such admission is of good moral character and of lawful age

It is therefore ordered and decreed that said James R. Lowe be, and he is hereby admitted as Attorney and Counsellor at law in all the Courts of this Territory, upon taking the oath required by law, which oath is administered to said Lowe by the Clerk of this Court, and upon signing the Roll

Court adjourned until tomorrow.

January 8th, 1879, at 10 o'clock a.m.

Chief Justice

Court met Wednesday, January 8th, 1879, at 10 o'clock A.M., pursuant to adjournment.

Present: Hon. Chas. W. French,
Chief Justice
Hon Chas Silent } Associate
Hon DeForest Porter } Justices

It is ordered that the use of the Court room of this Court be, and the same is hereby tendered to the Legislature of the Territory, for the purpose of receiving the Governor's message.

It is further ordered that the Clerk of this Court immediately transmit a copy of this order to the Territorial Council and Territorial Assembly

Hargrave vs Morgan
Aff's & Respondents
vs
C. C. Read & Co
Defts & Appellants } Hearing on

motion to place this cause on Calendar coming on was argued by Benjamin Morgan, Esq. for Respondents, and by Thomas Fitch, Esq. for appellants, and being fully argued, was submitted and taken under advisement by the Court.

D. K. Broughtelin,
Aff's & Resp'ts
vs
N. Ellis et al
Defts & Appls } }

Motion of Respondents Counsel to compel Appellants to furnish copies of transcript having been argued and submitted, was denied

Silas Tidwell
Plff & Appt
vs:
William Sedow
Defdt & Respt

On motion of J. T. Alsop it is ordered that this cause be submitted without argument

A. Goldman
Plff & Respt
vs
Jonathan M. Bryan
Defdt & Appt

Now comes John A. Rush, Esq. of counsel for Respondent, and moves that this Appeal be dismissed, on showing made in Clerk's certificate motion sustained

J. M. Pike & C.
Plffs & Respts
vs.
N. V. Cram et als,
Defdts & Appts

This day January 8th, 1879, this cause coming on to be heard, and the respective parties being present by counsel, the case was argued by Benjamin Morgan, Esq. for Appellants, followed by Clark Churchill, Esq. for Respondents. further argument continued until tomorrow, January 9th, 1879.

1 o'clock P.M., & which time
Court adjourned.

Charles G. N. Branch
Chief Justice

Court met Thursday, January 9th, 1879,
at 10 o'clock a.m., pursuant to
adjournment.

Present: Hon. Chas G.N. Branch
Chief Justice,
Hon. Chas Tidrell } Associate
Hon: DeForest Porter } Justices
Murat Masterson Esq, Asst U.S. Atty
Clerk by Deputy
U.S. Marshal by Deputy.

In the matter of the application of
George Blair, for writ of Certiorari

Now comes Murat Masterson, Esq.
and moves the Court that writ
issue as prayed for in petition
of Applicant on file herein.

Submitted, taken under advise-
ment by the Court.

In the matter of the application of
James Reilly for writ of Mandamus:

Now comes Murat Masterson, Esq.
and moves the Court that writ issue
as prayed for in petition of applicant
on file herein.

The matter submitted, taken under
advisement by the Court

Silas Tidwell,
Pltf & Appt
vs
William Sedon,
Deft & Respt

Now comes J. T. Alsop, Esq. and asks leave to, and time (five days) to prepare and file brief herein; leave (and time) granted as asked.

And it is ordered by the Court that the order heretofore made submitting this case be set aside

J. M. Pike & Co
Plffs & Respts
vs.
N. V. Cram et als
Defts & Apprs

Argument of case resumed and closed by Clark Churchill, Esq. for Respondents, followed by Benjamin Morgan, Esq. closing argument for Appellants.

And the case being fully argued is submitted, taken under advisement by the Court.

D. K. Houghtelin
Plff & Respt
vs.
N. Ellis, et als
Defts & Apprts

John A. Rush, Esq. of counsel for Respondent making application to the Court for clearance to present case on transcript on file, and without copies, and Clark Churchill, Esq. of counsel for Appellants being present and consenting,

It is ordered that hearing of case may be had without copies or copies of transcript.

J. M. McCandless
Plff & Respt
vs.
John N. Pierce and
James Ward

Defts Appts } This day
January 9th 1879 this cause
coming on for re-hearing, and
respective parties being present
by counsel, argument of case was
opened by Clark Churchill Esq. of
counsel for Appellants.
And further argument of case
was continued until tomorrow,
January 10th 1879, at 10 oclock
A.M., at which time Court adjourned.

Chas. G. W. French
Chief Justice

Court met Friday January 10th 1879,
at 10 oclock A.M., pursuant to
adjournment.)
Present: Hon. Chas G. W. French
Chief Justice,
Hon Chas Sibley } Associate
Hon De Forest Porter } Justices
Murat Masterson, Asst U.S. Atty
Marshal by Deputy,
Clerk by Deputy,

Secretary of Arizona
Deft & Respt
vs.
William Partridge,
Deft & Appt

This cause having
been heretofore argued, submitted and
taken under advisement.
It is this day by the Court ordered
and adjudged that the finding
of the Court below be, and the same
is hereby affirmed.
Chief Justice [illegible]

Supreme Court of Pennsylvania, Eastern District
Porter County

J. H. Porter
Pltff & Respt
vs.
C. L. Barnett
Deft & Respt

Now come the Parties by their respective Counsel and this cause coming on to be heard upon the Record and Proceedings herein, and upon the motion of Clark Churchill, Esq. of Counsel for Appellant, it is ordered that the Appeal herein be and the same is hereby dismissed at the cost of the Appellant.

J. M. McCandless
Pltff & Respt
vs.
John N. Pearson and
Samuel Pearson
Defts & Appts

Argument of Case, presented by Clark Churchill, Esq. for Appellants, followed by John A. Reed, Esq., in further argument of Case.

Case submitted on printed Paper Books.

J. M. McCandless
Pltff & Respt
vs.
John N. Pearson and
Samuel Pearson
Defts & Appts

Argument

This cause coming on to be heard and argued by John A Rawlins Esq. and Benjamin Morgan Esq for Respondent, followed by Clark B. Churchill Esq. closing argument for Appellants.

And the cause being fully argued is submitted, taken under advisement by the Court.

The United States
Appt
vs
John L. Chapman
Deft Respt

On motion of C. H. Warren Esq. of Counsel for Appellant, and Edward S. Salomon Esq. Atty being present and consenting

It is ordered that this cause be submitted on briefs to be filed.

The United States
Appt
vs
Henry Rhodes
Deft Respt

On motion of C. H. Warren Esq. of Counsel for Appellant, and Edward S. Salomon Esq. Atty being present and consenting

It is ordered that this cause be submitted on briefs to be filed.

James Reilly
Appt
vs
C. H. C. Rozell
Deft Respt

This cause

coming on to be heard, and
respective parties being present
by Counsel, John A. Rusk Esq.
appearing for Appellant and
J. Lowell, Esq. for Respondent.
Argument of case was opened by
John A. Rusk, Esq. for Appellant
and further argument of case
was continued. Whereupon
further hearing of cause stood
adjourned to 10 o'clock A.M.
to-morrow.

Charles L. M. French

Court met Saturday January 11th,
1879, at 10 o'clock A.M., pursuant
to adjournment.

Present His Honor Chas. L. M. French
Chief Justice
Hon. DeForest Porter } Associate
Hon. Charles Sibul } Justices
Murat Masterson Asst. Atty
U.S. Marshal and
Clerk thereof present

The United States
vs
Nancy R. Curtis,
Defendant.

This cause
having been submitted on briefs,
and taken under advisement,
is this day by the Court ordered
and adjudged that the judgment
of the Court below be, and the same
is hereby affirmed, and the Court

or Judge below directed to fix the day of execution.

Chief Justice Fuller delivered the opinion of the Court.

Justice Peckham and Justice Holmes dissent.

The United States
Plff & Respt)
)
)
John J. Chapman)
Deft & Appt)

This cause having been submitted on briefs and taken under advisement, it is this day by the Court ordered and adjudged that the judgment of the Circuit Court be, and the same is hereby affirmed, and the Court or Judge below directed to fix the day of execution.

Chief Justice Fuller delivered the opinion, Justice Peckham dissenting.

Territory of Oregon
Plff & Respt)
)
Charles Selle)
Deft & Appt)

This cause having been submitted on briefs and taken under advisement,

It is this day by the Court ordered and adjudged that the judgment of the Court below be, and the same is hereby affirmed, and the Court or Judge below directed to fix the day of execution.

Chief Justice Fuller delivering the opinion, Justice Peckham dissenting and Justice Holmes concurring.

J. M. Pike & Co
Plff & Respts
vs
N. L. Cram et als.
Defts & Apelts

(In Bankruptcy)

Judgment and order of Court below reversed, with directions that the application of Pike & Co, to enforce their pretended lien upon the property of the estate, be denied.

The Court will hereafter give its reasons for this decision in a written opinion.

Chief Justice Strong, delivering decision, Mr Justice Harlan, Mr Justice Potter and Mr Justice Bradley dissenting. and the Respondents then and there duly Excepted.

James Reilly
Plff & Appt
vs
C. H. Parker
Deft & Respt

Hearing ex parte, by D. H. Pillsbury, Esq, appearing for Respondent, followed by John A. Rush, Esq, closing argument for Appellant, and Mr Justice Field, assigned the case in rotation and has since delivered the opinion of the Court.

L. K. Washburn
Plff & Respt
vs
N. Clin et als,
Defts & Apelts

(Friday)
January 11th 1875, this cause
coming on to be heard, and

respectively parties hereto of the second part and John C. Rucker, Esq. Receiver appointed by the Chancellor, and Chancellor, Clerk and Master, of Jefferson County, Alabama, in the above stated cause, and the said parties of the first part having agreed to sell and convey the said property to the said parties of the second part, and the said parties of the second part having agreed to purchase the same, subject to the confirmation of this Court, it is ordered that the said Receiver execute to the said parties of the second part a deed to the said property, upon the said parties of the second part executing their notes for the purchase money, payable to the said Receiver, bearing interest at the rate of 6% per annum from date, and due and payable as follows, to-wit: One note for $1,130.00 payable one year after date, one note for $1,130.00 payable two years after date, one note for $1,130.00 payable three years after date, one note for $1,130.00 payable four years after date, one note for $1,130.00 payable five years after date, one note for $1,130.00 payable six years after date, one note for $1,130.00 payable seven years after date, one note for $1,130.00 payable eight years after date, one note for $1,130.00 payable nine years after date, one note for $1,130.00 payable ten years after date, one note for $1,130.00 payable eleven years after date, one note for $1,130.00 payable twelve years after date, one note for $1,130.00 payable thirteen years after date, one note for $1,130.00 payable fourteen years after date, one note for $1,130.00 payable fifteen years after date, one note for $1,130.00 payable sixteen years after date, one note for $1,130.00 payable seventeen years after date, one note for $1,130.00 payable eighteen years after date, one note for $1,130.00 payable nineteen years after date, one note for $1,130.00 payable twenty years after date, all of said notes bearing interest at the rate of 6% per annum from date, and the said parties of the second part executing a mortgage on the said property to secure the payment of said notes, and the said mortgage being approved by the Court, it is ordered by the Court that the said Receiver execute to the said parties of the second part a deed to the said property

In Council
Register
Ex. Journal
Dec. Term

Whereas the Petition of Thomas Hancock and others praying that a Road may be laid out from the Town of Pittsfield to the Town of Lanesborough, and that Commissioners may be appointed to view the same and report their doings to the next Court of Sessions.

It is ordered that the Prayer of said Petition be granted, and that Messrs. Benjamin Morgan, Mark Cheeverhill and Ebenezer Wells, Esqrs. of Pittsfield, and Hugh Maxwell, Esq. of Lanesborough, be and they are hereby appointed Commissioners to view the Road prayed for in said Petition, and that they or any two of them meet on the first day of June next at the dwelling house of Mr. Thomas Hancock in Pittsfield aforesaid, at ten of the clock in the forenoon, then and there to enter upon the business of their appointment, and after being sworn to the faithful discharge thereof, proceed to lay out said Road, if they shall judge the same necessary and convenient, and make return of their doings therein to the next Court of Sessions to be holden at Pittsfield within and for the County of Berkshire on the first Tuesday of September next.

The Committee of Commissioners of this Town appointed by this Court at January Term 1798, to review the Roads of this Town reported to this Court at this January 1799 Session of this Court.

The Court accepting said Report, and finding that said Road is necessary, but that said Report is defective in not describing the Courses of said Road, and the Distances between the Bounds and Marks set down by the Committee.

It is therefore ordered that Benjamin Morgan, Esq. be desired to call the Committee of said Commissioners together, and that John A. Shurtleff, Esq. be and he is hereby appointed to receive of said Committee, and file in the Clerk's Office a description of the Courses and Distances of said Road, agreeable to the Report of said Committee.

It is further ordered that Benjamin Morgan, Mark Cheeverhill, John A. Shurtleff and Ebenezer Wells, Esqrs. of Pittsfield, and Hugh Maxwell, Esq. of Lanesborough

except to this Court on
Revision of the Rules of the Court
at an early day during the present
January Term, 1879.

Court adjourned until Monday
next, January 13th, 1879, at
10 o'clock A.M.

Charles J. Mc. French
Chief Justice

Court met Monday January 13th,
1879, at 1 o'clock P.M.

Present His Hon. Chas J. F. French,
Chief Justice
Hon Dr Forest Porter } Associate
Hon. Chas Silesh } Justices
Murat Masterson, Asst U.S. Atty
Marshal and Clerk by Deputy,

Margrave Morgan
Jeffs & Rexfords

C. R. Read & Co
Defts Appts

This cause being called for hearing, and respective parties being present by counsel, Thomas Fitch, Esq. of Counsel for Appellants moves that bill of particulars on trial of cause in Court below be supplied by copy of same.
Motion sustained,
And hearing of case continued until tomorrow, January 14th, 1879, at 9 o'clock A.M.,

to which time Court adjourned.

Chas G. W. French
Chief Justice

Court met January 14th 1879, at 9 o'clock A.M., pursuant to adjournment.

Present: Hon: Chas G. W. French,
Chief Justice
Hon: Dedforest Porter } Associate
Hon: Chas Silent } Justices.
Murat Masterson, Asst U.S. attorney
Marshal and Clerk by Deputies.

Hargrave & Morgan,
Pltfs & Respts.

vs.

C. K. Read & Co.
Defts & Rexpts

This cause being called for hearing, Thomas Fitch, Esq. of Counsel of Appellants moved that further hearing of case be postponed until Supreme Court Rule No. 9 be complied with.

Motion entertained, and hearing continued until Thursday next, January 16th 1879, at 9 o'clock A.M. At which time

Court met January 16th, 1879, at 9 o'clock A.M. pursuant to adjournment
Present Hon: C.G.W. Branch
Chief Justice
Hon: Chas Silent, Associate Justice
Murat Masterson, Asst U.S. Attorney
Marshal & Clerk by Deputies
And took a recess until 4 o'clock this P.M. at which time it met with
Present Hon Chas G.W. Branch
Chief Justice
Hon DeForest Porter } Associate
Hon: Chas Silent } Justices
and officers at at recess.

A. Goldman
Plff & Respt

vs

J.M. Bryan
Deft & Apelt

John A. Rush, Esq of Counsel for Respondent moved the Court that the Clerk be ordered to certify to the Clerk of the Third Judicial District, the fact of the dismissal of this Appeal. Benjamin Morgan, Esq for appellant objecting.
The motion taken under advisement.

On motion of Murat Masterson Esq and on presentation of certificate of admission by the Supreme Court of the State of Texas,
J.M. Thornwood was admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court, on his signing the roll, and taking the oath.

In the matter of
the application of
Levi Ruggles
for writ of Certiorari } Clark Churchill, Esq
for applicant presenting application
asked that writ issue.
The matter submitted and taken
under advisement by the Court.

Hargrave & Morgan
Attys & Respts
vs
C. E. Read & Co
Defts & Apptts } This cause
coming on to be heard, argument
of case was opened by Thos Fitch Esq
for Appellants followed by
Messrs Rush & Wells for Res-
pondents, they being followed
by Thos Fitch Esq closing for
Appellants.
And the case being fully argued
was submitted and taken
under advisement.

In the matter of the
Application of
James Reilly for
Writ of Mandamus, } Motion for
writ to issue having been
held under advisement, it
is this day by the Court ordered
that the same be denied.
Chief Justice Strauch rendering
decision Associate Justices Porter
and Silent Concurring

Court adjourned until

Tomorrow, January 17th 1879.
at 1 oclock P. M.
Charles G. W. Franch
Chief Justice

Court met January 17th 1879, at 1 oclock P. M., pursuant to adjournment.

Present Hon. Chas G. W. Franch
Chief Justice
Hon. Chas Silent, Associate Justice
Murat Mackenson Asst U.S. Atty
U.S. Marshal and
Clerk by Deputy.

James Reilly
Plff & Apelt
vs
C. W. C. Rowell
Deft & Respt

It is this day by the Court ordered that this appeal be dismissed
Chief Justice Franch rendering decision, but dissenting.

Silas Tidwell
Plff & Respt.
vs
William Sedow
Deft & Apelt

It is this day by the Court ordered that this appeal be dismissed.
Chief Justice Franch rendering decision, Associate Justice Silent concurring

In the matter of the application of George Blair for Writ of Certiorari } The Court grants order to show cause on the first day of the next term of this Court why Writ should not be allowed, and orders stay of all proceedings until the matter can be reviewed Associate Justice Porter dissented.

Nargrave & Morgan
Pefts & Respts
vs.
C. R. Read & Co
Defts & Appts } This case having been argued and submitted, it is this day by the Court ordered that the judgment of the Court below be, and the same is hereby affirmed

Chief Justice French rendering decision, Associate Justice Silent concurring.

To which ruling of the Court Respondents by counsel then and there duly excepted.

In the matter of the application of Levi Ruggles for Writ of Certiorari } Clare Churchill, Esq for applicant asked leave to withdraw application - leave granted.

190

A. Goldman
Plff & Respt
vs.
J.M. Bryan
Defft & Apelt

Application of Respondent's Counsel for order directing Clerk of this Court to certify proceedings to Clerk of Third Judicial District Court, denied.

The committee of members of this bar appointed to revise the Rules of this Court appeared, and by their Chairman Benjamin Morgan Esq submitted their report

Whereas, Joseph Haugaro, Clerk of the U.S. Supreme Court has by his Deputy, William Wilkerson, rendered to this Court an account of his services and fees at and during the January term, 1879, of said Court with the items thereof, and in open Court in the presence of Marsh Masterson Esq Assistant U.S. Attorney for Arizona, has proved on oath to the satisfaction of the Court, that the services as therein charged have been actually and necessarily performed as therein stated.

And Whereas said charges appear to be just and according to law,

It is hereby ordered that said account amounting to fifty dollars be, and the same is hereby approved

Done in open Court in the presence

of Murat Masterson, Esq. Assistant U.S. Attorney for Arizona on this 17th day of January A.D. 1879.

Whereas, Everett B. Conway, U.S. Attorney for Arizona, by his Assistant, Murat Masterson, Esq. rendered to this Court an account of his services and fees at, and during the January Term, 1879, of said Court with the items thereof, and in open Court has proved on oath to the satisfaction of this Court that the services as therein charged have been actually and necessarily performed as therein stated.

And Whereas said charges appear to be just and according to law

Whereas, C. R. Drake, U.S. Marshal for Arizona has rendered to this Court an account of his services, Court expenses &c, at and during the January term, 1879, of the U.S. Supreme Court, and in the presence of Murat Masterson Esq, Assistant U.S. Attorney, has proved on oath to the satisfaction of the Court that the services as charged, and expenses as therein charged have been necessarily made and incurred for said term as therein stated. And Whereas said charges appear to be just and according to law. It is hereby ordered that said account amounting as follows

In the matter of the Terms of holding Courts in the First and Third Districts of this Territory

Until the further order of the Judges of this Court, the Terms of the said District Courts of this Territory shall be held at the times and places herein after mentioned:

First Judicial District

on the second Monday in February and on the second Monday in September 1879, and thereafter on the second Monday in March and second Monday in September of each year, at Tucson, Pima County.

And on the second Monday in April and on the second Monday in October, at Florence, Pinal County

Third Judicial District

on the first Monday in June and on the first Monday in November at Prescott, Yavapai County

and on the Monday in May and Monday in October at Mineral Park Mohave County.

Charles G. W. French
Chief Justice of Supreme Court of Arizona Territory

The Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona met Monday January 5th, 1880, pursuant to Law.

Present Hon: Chas Silent
Associate Justice,
C.P. Dake Md. Marshal,
Clerk by Deputy.

And took a recess until 1.30 o'clock P.M.

Court met at 1.30 o'clock P.M., with present as before, and adjourned to tomorrow January 6th 1880, at 10 o'clock A.M.

Chief Justice

Court met January 6th, 1880, at 10 o'clock A.M., pursuant to adjournment.

Present: Hon Chas Silent
Associate Justice
U.S. Marshal
Clerk
} By Deputies

And took a recess until 2 o'clock this P.M., at which time it met with

Present Hon: O.G.H. Brunch, Chief Justice
Hon: De Forest Porter } Associate
Hon: Chas Silent } Justices
C.P. Dake, Md. Marshal
R.N. Walker } Deputy
J. Boughton } U.S. Marshals
Clerk by Deputy

And adjourned to tomorrow, January 7th, 1880, at 10 o'clock A.M.

Chief Justice

Court met Wednesday January 7th 1880, at 10 o'clock a.m. pursuant to adjournment.
Present: Hon: C.E.W. French, Chief Justice
Hon: DeForest Porter } Associate
Hon: Chas Silent } Justices
U.S. Marshal and two Deputies
Clerk by Deputy.

In the matter of the
application of N. N. Alexander
to be admitted to practice in this Court } Clark Churchill, Esq. moved the Court that N. N. Alexander be admitted to practice as an attorney and Counselor in this Court. Thereupon Messrs. Clark Churchill, J. P. Hargrave and John A. Rush were appointed by the Court a committee to examine said applicant as to qualifications, and report to this Court at 2 o'clock this P. M.

James Patterson }
vs. } Clark Churchill, Esq.
The Peck Mining Co } moved the Court for an order suspending operation of the rules of Court, and for permission to file transcript herein. Motion being opposed by J. P. Hargrave, Esq. was argued, submitted and taken under advisement.

The Village of Prescott }
vs. } Clark Churchill Esq.
Jackson and Tompkins } of counsel for plaintiff, moved the Court to shorten the time for notice of motion to dismiss appeal. Motion denied Associate Justice Silent dissenting. And now J. P. Hargrave Esq. of counsel for defendants and appellants comes and gives notice of motion for leave to file transcript on appeal within

In the Matter of the
application of Charles Powell
to be admitted to bail
The matter set for hearing at 2 o'clock this P.M.

In the Matter of petition of
Chas B. Rush & E. P. Clark
The petition was submitted without argument for ruling of Court under advisement

Court took a recess until 2 o'clock this P.M.

Court met at 2 o'clock P.M., with present as before.

In the Matter of the
application of N. N. Alexander
to be admitted to practice in this Court
Clark Churchill, J. P. Hargrave and John A. Rush, Esqrs. the committee appointed by the Court to examine N. N. Alexander as to his qualifications and learning in the law, to be admitted to practice in this Court, report that they have performed the duty assigned to them, and find said applicant in every way qualified to be admitted as an Attorney and Counselor of this Court, and recommend his admission accordingly, and file their report.

Whereupon the Court through its Chief Justice, Chas. G. W. French proceeded to examine said applicant in open Court as to his ability and qualifications, and upon such examination the Court finds that he is entitled to such admission, is of good moral character and of lawful age;

It is therefore ordered that said applicant be, and he is hereby, admitted as attorney & Counselor at Law in the

Court, upon taking the oath and signing the attorney's Rdel of this Court.
And it is further ordered by the Court that report of Committee be filed as of January 3rd, 1880.

In the Matter of the
application of Gideon J. Tucker
to be admitted to practice
as an Attorney in this Court

On motion of John Howard, Esq and on presentation of certificate of admission by the Court of Chancery of the State of New York, Gideon J Tucker, Esq. was admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court, upon his signing the attorney's Roll, and taking the oath.

In the Matter of the
Application of Charles Powell
to be admitted to bail

Application argued by Thomas Fitch, Esq. in support of, and by Murat Masterson, Esq. against. Matter submitted.

Court adjourned until tomorrow, January 8th, 1880, at 10 o'clock a.m.

C. G. W. French

Chief Justice

Court met Thursday January 8th, 1880, at 10 o'clk a.m., pursuant to adjournment.

Present: Hon: C.J.W. French, Chief Justice
Hon: DeForest Porter, } Associate
Hon: Chas Silent } Justices
U.S. Marshal and two Deputies
Clerk by Deputy

In the Matter of the
Application of George Blair
for Writ of Certiorari }

Murat Masterson, Esq. for applicant moved that writ issue.
The matter taken under advisement.

James Reilly
vs
C.M. Rowell } In the Matter of application for Writ of Certiorari

On motion of John A. Rush, Esq. it is ordered by the Court that the names of Messrs Rush & Wells be entered of record as of counsel for applicant.

And the matter being called for hearing was argued by John A. Rush, Esq. for applicant, and by C.M. Rowell, Esq. defendant and respondent for himself. Further hearing in matter continued until 2 o'clock this P.M., to which time Court took a recess.

Court met at 2 o'clock this P.M., with parties as before.

James Reilly
vs
C.M. Rowell } Application for Writ of Certiorari

Argument resumed by John A. Rush, Esq. for applicant, closing argument. And the case being fully argued is submitted and taken under advisement.

Wells Fargo & Co.,
Plaintiffs & Respondents
vs
Jackson & Tompkins
Defendants & Appellants
} This case being called for hearing was argued by J. P. Hargrave, Esq. for Appellants. And further argument of case was continued until tomorrow, January 9th 1880, at 10 o'clock A.M.

Court adjourned until tomorrow, January 9th, 1880, at 10 o'clock A.M.

C. J. W. Franch
Chief Justice

Court met January 9th, 1880, at 10 o'clock A.M., pursuant to adjournment.
Present: Hon: C.J. W. Franch
Chief Justice
Hon: DeForest Parker } Associate
Hon: Chas. Silent } Justices
U.S. Marshal by two Deputies,
Clerk by Deputy.

James Patterson
Plaintiff & Appellant
vs
Peck Mining Co
Defendant & Respondent
} Motion by Appellants Counsel to suspend operation of the rules of Court, and to permit transcript on appeal to be filed having been argued and submitted to the Court. And the Court being fully advised in the matter allow the order.
Chief Justice French giving decision

E. A. Fargo & Co
Plaintiffs & Respondents
vs
Jackson & Tompkins,
Defendants & Appellants
} Hearing of case resumed and argued by John A. Rush and Clark Churchill, Esqrs, for Respondents. Further hearing in case continued until 2 o'clock this P.M., to which time Court took a recess.

Court met at 2 o'clock this P.M, with present as before

E. A. Fargo & Co.,
Plaintiffs & Respondents
vs
Jackson & Tompkins
Defendants & Appellants
} Argument of case was resumed. J. P. Hargrave, Esq, for Appellants closing argument. And the case being fully argued was submitted and taken under advisement.

Court adjourned until tomorrow, January 10th, 1880, at 10 o'clock A.M.

C. G. W. French
Chief Justice

Court met Saturday, January 10th, 1880, at 10 o'clock A.M., pursuant to adjournment
Present: Hon: C. G. W. French, Chief Justice
Hon: Dexter Porter } Associate
Hon: Chas Sclents } Justices
U.S. Marshal by two Deputies
Clerk by Deputy.

In the Matter of the Application of Chas Powell to be admitted to bail } This application having been argued and submitted to the

Court, and having been under advisement, it is this day by the Court ordered that the application be denied, and that the prisoner, said Chas Powell be remanded to the custody of the Sheriff of Pima County, Arizona, from which he came Chief Justice Brench rendering decision Associate Justice Porter concurring Associate Justice Silent reserving decision

Territory of Arizona
Ex rel. L. K. Thompson Plff & Respt
vs
W. A. Wenninger et al Defts & Applts

This case being called for hearing was argued by N. N. Alexander, Esq. for Appellants. Further hearing in case continued until 1.30 o'clock this P. M. to which time Court took a recess.

Court met at 1.30 o'clock P. M, with present as before

Territory of Arizona
Ex rel. L. K. Thompson
Plaintiff and Respondent
vs
W. A. Wenninger et al.
Defendants and Appellants } Hearing of case resumed, was argued by C. W. C. Rowell, Esq. for Respondent, closing argument. And the case being fully argued was submitted and taken under advisement

Court adjourned to Monday next, January 12th, 1880, at 10 o'clock A. M.

C. G. W. Bench
Chief Justice

Court met Monday January 12th 1880.
at 10. O'Clock. A.M. pursuant to adjournment
Present Hon C.G. W French
Chief Justice -
Hon D.E. Forrest Porter & Associate
Hon Chas Silent } Justices
U.S. Marshal and two deputies and
Clerk by deputy -

Whereas it appears to us the Chief Justice and Associate Justices of the Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona, that the business now pending in said Court will be expedited by the holding of an adjourned term of said Court at the City of Tucson -
Therefore by virtue of the authority conferred upon us by Section Nineteen Hundred and thirty four of the Revised Statutes of the United States -
It is Ordered that an adjourned term of the Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona be held at the City of Tucson, in the County of Pima, Commencing on Monday January 26th 1880, at the hour of 10. O'clock A.M. of said day -
It is further Ordered that a Copy of this Order be entered by the Clerk upon the Minutes of the Supreme Court -
Made and signed by us this January 12th 1880, and during the Session of the regular January term 1880, of the Supreme Court for said Territory -

Charles G. W French C.J.
Chas Silent A.J.
D.E. Forrest Porter A.J.

In the Matter of the Terms of holding Courts in the several Districts of this Territory.

Until the further Order of the Judges of this Court, the Terms of the several District Courts of this Territory shall be held at the Times and places hereinafter Mentioned.

First Judicial District
On the Second Monday in March and on the Second Monday in September at Tucson Pima County

Second Judicial District
On the Second Monday in March and on the Second Monday in November at Phoenix Maricopa County -

Third Judicial District
On the first Monday in June and on the first Monday in November at Prescott Yavapai County -

Charles G. W. French C. J.
Chas Silent A. J.
D S Forest Porter A. J.

James Patterson
Plff & Appellt
vs.
The Peck Mining Co
Deft & Respt

This Case being called for hearing was argued by Thomas Fitch Esqr for Appellant - and further hearing in case was Continued until 2 oclock this P.M. to which time Court took a recess -

Court met at 2 Oclock this P.M. with present as at recess

Territory of Arizona
Plff & Respt
vs.
Charles Powell
Defdt & Appellt

It is ordered that the above entitled Cause be Continued for hearing to the adjourned term of this Court to be held at the City of Tucson, on Monday Jany 26th 1880.

And the Clerk of this Court is hereby Ordered to transmit Transcript and record of the Case to the Clerk of the Supreme Court at Tucson.

Territory of Arizona
Plff & Respt
vs.
Oliver Boyer
Defdt & Appellt

It is Ordered that the above entitled Cause be Continued for hearing to the adjourned term of this Court to be held at the City of Tucson, on Monday Jany 26th 1880.

And the Clerk of this Court is hereby ordered to transmit Transcript and record of the Case to the Clerk of the Supreme Court at Tucson.

In the Matter of the
Estate of Thomas Roddicks
Deceased.

It is Ordered that the above entitled Cause be Continued for hearing to the adjourned term of this Court to be held at the City of Tucson on Monday Jany 26th 1880.

And the Clerk of this Court is hereby Ordered to transmit Transcript and record of the Case to the Clerk of the Supreme Court at Tucson.

James Patterson
Pltf and Appellt
vs.
The Peck Mining Co
Deft and Respt

} Hearing of Case being resumed - was argued by J. P. Hargous Esqr for Respondent - and by Thomas Fitch Esqr for Appellant - closing argument - and the Case being fully argued - was submitted and taken under advisement.

Court adjourned until tomorrow, tuesday January 13th. 1880 - at 10. O.clock A.M.

C. J. W. French
Chief Justice

Court met Tuesday, January 13th, 1880, at 10 o'clock a.m., pursuant to adjournment.
Present: Hon: C. J. W. French
Chief Justice
Hon: Delforest Porter } Associate
Hon: Chas. Silent } Justices
U.S. Marshal by 2 Deputies
Clerk by Deputy

In the Matter of the
Application of Geo: Blair
for Writ of Certiorari } This matter having been argued and submitted and having been under advisement, it is ordered that the application for Writ be, and the same is hereby dismissed.
There being no record upon which the Court could base any other action
Associate Justice Silent rendering decision.
Chief Justice French and
Associate Justice Porter concurring

The Village of Prescott
Plaintiff and Respondent
vs
Jackson and Lampkins
Defendants and Appellants } Motion having been made to dismiss this Appeal was this day called for hearing, and was argued by Thomas Pitch, Esq. for Respondent, and in support of, and by J.P. Hargrave, Esq. for Appellants and against. And the matter being fully argued was submitted; and the Court being fully advised grants the motion, and orders that this appeal be, and the same is, hereby dismissed.
Associate Justice Silent rendering decision
Chief Justice French and Associate Justice Porter concurring.

In Re. A.V. Cram
a Bankrupt } Respondent moves that the remittitur issue to the Court forthwith
Matter taken under advisement

John A. Rush, Esq. presented the following Preamble and Resolutions and asked that they be spread upon the minutes of the Court

In Memoriam

The members of the Bar of the Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona met at the Court-room of said Court, on the 8th day of January, 1880, when the following Preamble and Resolutions were unanimously adopted by them:

Whereas, It has pleased God to remove by death from the side of our Hon. Chief Justice, C. G. W. French, his estimable companion and wife, Abbie A. French,

Now therefore, be it

Resolved: That we, the members of the Bar of the said Supreme Court, take this occasion to tender to our Worthy Chief Justice, and his bereaved family, our most heartfelt sympathy in this their sorrow and affliction.

Resolved: That these resolutions be presented to said Court, with the request that they be entered on the minutes of said Court, and that the Clerk thereof be directed to furnish to the Hon. C. G. W. French a copy thereof, duly certified under the seal of said Court

John A. Rush,
Chairman

The Hon. Chas. Silent, Associate Justice, then made a few appropriate remarks, after which it was ordered by the Court that the Preamble and Resolutions be entered upon the minutes of the Court

Court met at 2 o'clock this P. M., with present as before.

In Re. W. V. Cram
a Bankrupt

Application of Respondent for remittitur to issue granted; and it is ordered that remittitur issue forth with
Associate Justice Silent rendering decision
Chief Justice French and Associate Justice
Porter concurring

C. A. Hargo & Co
Plaintiffs & Respondents
vs.
Jackson & Tompkins
Defendants & Appellants } This appeal having been argued, submitted and taken under advisement It is ordered by the Court that the action of the Court below be, and the same is, hereby affirmed
Chief Justice French rendering decision
Associate Justice Silent concurring
Associate Justice Porter dissenting

James Patterson
Plaintiff & Appellant
vs.
The Peck Mining Co
Defendant & Respondent } This appeal having been argued, submitted and taken under advisement, and the Court being fully advised in the matter orders that the order of the Court below be, and the same is hereby, affirmed
Chief Justice French rendering decision
Associate Justices Porter and Silent
Concurring

Court adjourned to adjourned term at Tucson Arizona Territory Jan-uary 26th, 1880, at 10 oclock A.M.

C. E. W. Trunck
Chief Justice

Court met pursuant to adjournment at Tucson, Arizona Territory, January 26th, 1880 at 10 oclock am
Present, Hon C.E.W. Trunck
Chief Justice
Hon. Chas. Silent
Associate Justice
Hon E.B. Fonroy
U. S. Dist. Atty
U. S. Marshall by Deputy
J. Nugaas, Clerk

On motion of B.F. Hereford Esq. and on presentation of certificate of admission by the Supreme Court of Califa, J. Standford was admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counsellor in this Court after signing the roll and taking the oath.
On motion of B.F. Hereford Esq. and on presentation of certificate of admission by the the Supreme Court of Califa. Warner Earl was admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counsellor in this Court after signing the roll and taking the oath.
On motion of B.F. Hereford Esq. and on presentation of certificate of admission by the Supreme Court of the U.S. O. M. Smith was admitted to practice as an attorney and Counsellor in this Court after signing the roll and taking the oath.
On motion of B.F. Hereford Esq. and on presentation of certificate of admission by the Supreme Court of Califa. Charles Lindley was admitted to practice as an attorney and Counsellor in this Court after signing the roll and taking the oath.
On motion of B.F. Hereford Esq. and on presentation of certificates of admission by the Supreme Courts of Califa. and Texas, James A. Zabriskie was admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counsellor in this Court after signing the roll and taking the oath.
On motion of B.F. Hereford Esq. and on presentation of certificate of Supreme Court of Califa. A. H. Anderson was

admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counsellor in this Court after signing the roll and taking the oath.

On motion of B.D.Morgan Esq and on presentation of certificate of Supreme Court of California, Eppleton Price was admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counsellor in this Court after signing the roll and taking the oath.

On motion of B.H.Hereford Esq and on presentation of certificate of Supreme Court of Cal. G.W. Spaulding was admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counsellor in this Court after signing the roll and taking the oath

On motion of B.H.Hereford Esq. and on presentation of certificate of admission of Supreme Court of Califa. J.W.Oates was admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counsellor in this Court after signing the roll and taking the oath.

On motion of D.Harley Esq. and on presentation of affidavit of admission of Supreme Court of Indiana. T.W. Stiles was admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counsellor in this Court after signing the roll and taking the oath.

On motion of B.H.Hereford Esq. and on presentation of certificate of admission of Supreme Court of Califa. J.W.Lucas was admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counsellor in this Court after signing the roll and taking the oath.

On motion of B.H.Hereford Esq. and on presentation of certificates of admission of Supreme Courts of Alabama and Colorado James Bull was admitted to practice as an attorney and Counsellor in this Court after signing the roll and taking the oath.

In the matter of the Application of J. Neugass, S. Ainsa, W.J. Osborn, H.C. Baker, Charles D. Stewart and W. A. Hancock to be admitted to practice as Attorneys and Counsellors in this Court

B.H.Hereford Esq and G.H.Otway Esq. move the Court that the applicants be admitted as Attorneys and Counsellors in this Court, upon proper certificates filed in compliance with the Rule of this Court, whereupon the Court ordered that an examination of the applicants will take place at 2 o'clock P.M.

Territory of Arizona } Plff & Resp't.
vs
Charles Powell } Deft & Appellt.

Counsel for respondent suggested a dimi-

of the record as to the testimony of witness A. P. Green, and moved that the transcript be amended accordingly. Court took a recess until 2, o'clock P. M.

Court met at 2 o'clock P.M.

In the matter of the class of applicants to be admitted to practice in this Court.

The Court through its Chief Justice C.G.W. French and Associate Justice Charles Silent proceeded to examine the class of applicants in open Court, and after due examination of the applicants, took the matter under advisement.

Court adjourned until Jany 27th 1880, at 10 o'clock A.M.

C. G. W. French
Chief Justice

Court met pursuant to adjournment January 27th at 10 o'clock A.M.
Present, Hon. C. G. W. French, Chief Justice
" DeForest Porter } A. J.
" Charles Silent }
" E. B. Pomroy, U. S. D. Atty.
U. S. Marshall by Deputy
J. Neugass, Clerk.

Minutes of preceding day read, corrected and approved.

In the matter of the class of applicants to be admitted to practice as Attorneys and Counsellors in this Court.

The application of the class after due examination having been taken under advisement; it is by the Court ordered that the applicants, to wit: J. Neugass, W. P. Osborn, S. Ainsa, Ch. D. Stewart, Wm A. Hancock and A. E. Baker be and they are hereby admitted as Attorneys and Counsellors at Law of this Court upon taking the oath and signing the roll.

In the matter of the petition for a writ of Habeas Corpus forcing Gow } Lyttleton Price Esq. for petitioner.

After hearing the petition by the Court ordered that the same be granted returnable before the Chief Justice and the time for hearing to be fixed by him.

In the matter of the Estate of Thomas Roddick deceased }

Appeal from Probate Court. This case being called for hearing was argued by B. Morgan Esq. for appt. and Hugh Farley Esq for Respt., and the case being fully argued was submitted and taken under advisement.

Territory of Arizona } Respt.
vs
Charles Powell } Applt.

The suggestion of Counsel for Respt. of a diminution of the Record as to the testimony of one witness and motion to amend the transcript accordingly, was reopened for hearing and after being argued by the Court taken under advisement.

Court took a recess until 2 o'clock P. M.

Court met at 2 o'clock P.M.

In the matter of the Estate of Thomas Roddick, deceased }

This matter having been argued, submitted, and having been taken under advisement, it is ordered that the appeal be and the same is hereby dismissed for want of jurisdiction. Opinion unanimous.

Territory of Arizona } Respt.
vs
Oliver Boyer } Applt.

This case was called for hearing, was argued by H. Lucas Esq. for applt, and pending the argument the Court adjourned until Jany 28th at 10 o'clock, A.M.

C. G. W. French
Chief Justice

Court met pursuant to adjournment January 28th at 10 o'clock a.m.

Present. Hon. H.W. French. C.J.
" De Forest Porter }
" Chas. Silent } A.J.
" E.B. Bonroy U.S. Dist Atty.
U.S. Marshall by Deputy
J. Keegan – Clerk

Minutes of preceding day read, corrected and approved.

In the matter of the application of A.B. Summers Esq. to be admitted to practice as Attorney and Counsellor in this Court.

On motion of B.H. Hereford Esq. and upon presentation of a certificate signed by Messrs B. H. Hereford, Hugh Farley recommending his admission, the Court thereupon through its Chief Justice H.W. French proceeded to examine said applicant in open Court, and after such examination, it was ordered that the applicant be and he is hereby admitted as an Attorney and Counsellor in this Court upon taking the proper oath and signing the Roll of Attorneys.

On motion of Benj. Morgan Esq and upon presentation of a certificate of the Supreme Court of Calif'a. ordered that John Coman be and he hereby is admitted as Attorney and Counsellor in this Court upon taking the proper oath and signing the Roll of Attorneys

Territory of Arizona
vs.
Oliver Boyer

Argument resumed by Hugh Farley Esq. for Respt. and pending the argument the Court took a recess until 2 o'clock P.M.

Court met at 2 o'clock P.M.

On motion of B.H. Hereford Esq. and upon presentation of a certificate and license by the Supreme Court of Wisconsin, A.B. Lyghthizer was admitted as an attorney and counsellor of this court upon taking the proper oath and signing the Roll of Attorneys.

Territory of Arizona
vs
Oliver Boyer

Arguments concluded by Hugh Farley Esq. for Respt. and by J. L. Styles Esq for appellant and the case being fully argued was submitted and by the Court taken under advisement.

Territory of Arizona
vs
Charles Powell

The motion to amend the Record, having been heretofore argued and taken under advisement it is by the Court ordered that the motion be and the same is hereby denied.

Court adjourned until Jany 29th, 10 o'clock am

C. G. W. French
Chief Justice.

Court met pursuant to adjournment, January 29th, at 10 o'clock a.m.

Present Hon. C. G. W. French C. J.
" De Forest Porter }
" Charles Silent } A. J.
" E. B. Pomeroy U. S. Dist. Atty.
M. S. Marshall by Deputy
J. Neugass, Clerk.

Minutes of preceding day read, corrected and approved.

Territory of Arizona
vs
Charles Powell

This case was called for hearing and argued by B. Morgan for Appt. and Hugh Farley for Respt. and the case being fully argued, was submitted and by the Court taken under advisement.

Court adjourned till 11 o'clock a.m. Jany 30th 1880.

C. G. W. French
Chief Justice.

Court met pursuant to adjournment January 30, at 11 a.m.
Present Hon C.W. French, Ch. J.
" De Forest Porter }
" Charles Silent } A.J.
" E.B. Bonroy U.S. Dist atty
U.S. Marshall by Deputy
J. Nugent, Clerk

Minutes of preceding meeting read and approved.

In the matter of the application of Charles Powell for a writ of Habeas Corpus.

Motion of Hugh Farley Esq. District atty, of the County of Pima that the costs in the above entitled cause be taxed to the Territory, by the Court taken under advisement

In the matter of Attorneys fees to District Attorney of Pima County for attending the appeals in Criminal cases before this Court.

Motion of the District Attorney of Pima County for allowance attorney fees in attending to the Criminal cases on appeal before this Court, by the Court taken under advisement.

Whereas E.B. Bonroy U.S. District Attorney for has rendered to this Court an account of his services and fees at and during the January term 1880, of said Court, with the items thereof, and has proved on oath to the satisfaction of the Court that the services therein charged have been actually and necessarily performed as therein stated, and whereas said charges appear to be just and legal,

It is hereby ordered that said account amounting to sixty five dollars, be and the same is hereby approved in open Court on this 30th day of January 1879.

Whereas C.A. Davis U.S. Marshall, for Arizona Territory has rendered to this Court an account of his services Court Expenses &c. at and during the January term 1880 of U.S. Supreme for this court, with the items thereof, and in open Court in the presence of E.B. Bonroy Esq. U.S. Attorney for Arizona, hat-

proved on oath to the satisfaction of the court that the services as therein charged and expenses as therein charged have been necessarily made and incurred for said term as therein stated.

And whereas said charges appear to be just and according to law

It is hereby ordered that said account amounting to two hundred and twenty four dollars be and the same is hereby approved, in open court, in the presence of E.B. Pomroy Esq. U. S. Attorney for Arizona, on this 30th day of January 1880.

Whereas J. Neugass Esq Clerk of the U.S. Supreme Court for the Territory of Arizona, has rendered to this court an account of his services and fees, at and during the January term 1880, of said Court, with the items thereof, and in open court in the presence of E.B. Pomroy Esq. U. S. Attorney for Arizona, has proved on oath to the satisfaction of the Court, that the services as therein charged have been actually and necessarily performed as therein stated,

And whereas said charges appear to be just and according to law,

It is hereby ordered that said account amounting to Sixty Six $^{80}/_{100}$ dollars, be and the same is hereby approved.

Done in open Court in the presence of E.B. Pomroy Esq U.S. Attorney for Arizona on this 30th day of January 1880.

Whereas William Wilkerson Esq. Deputy Clerk of the U. S. Supreme Court for the Territory of Arizona, has rendered to this court an account of his services and fees, at and during the January term 1880, of said Court, against the Territory of Arizona, and in open Court has proved to the satisfaction of the Court, that the services as therein charged have been actually and necessarily performed as therein stated.

And whereas said charges appear to be just and according to law

It is hereby ordered that said account amounting to Fifty nine $^{50}/_{100}$ dollars be and the same is hereby approved.

Done in open Court on this 30th day of January 1880.

Court took a recess until 3 o'clock P.M.

Court met at 3 o'clock P.M.

On motion of G. W. Spaulding Esq. and presentation of an affidavit as to his admission and license to the bar

of Wisconsin. J. N Kinley was admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counsellor at Law in this Court after signing the Roll and taking the oath.

Territory of Arizona } Plff & Resp
vs
Oliver Boyer } Def & App

This case having been heretofore argued, submitted and taken under advisement, it is this day adjudged and adjudged that the Judgment and order appealed from of the Court below be and the same are hereby affirmed, and the Court or Judge below, directed to fix the day of Execution of the Judgment.

Chief Justice French rendering decision, Associate Justices Porter & Silent Concurring.

Territory of Arizona
En Rel. S. K. Thompson } Plff & Resp.
vs
W. A. Werninger
et als } Defts & Appts.

This cause having been heretofore argued, submitted and taken under advisement it is this day by the Court ordered and adjudged that the Judgment and order appealed from of the Court below in regard to the executrix Piedad D. Redondo be and the same are hereby reversed and affirmed as to the other Defts. and appts. and that Appts pay Costs of Appeal

Justice Silent rendering decision. Chief Justice French Concurring, and Justice Porter dissenting.

D. K. Haughtalin } Plff & Resp.
vs
N. Ellis
et. al. } Deft & appts.

On motion of Rush & Wells attorneys for Plff. and Resp. and filing petition for rehearing, ordered that a rehearing be granted herein, and Appellant allowed 30 days to file brief with thirty days to Respondent to reply.

In the matter of the Application
of D. B. Rush, Supreme Court Reporter
for a writ of mandamus against
the Territory Auditor for the issuing
of a Territorial warrant

Motion for writ to issue be and

the same is hereby denied.

Justice Silent rendering decision, Justice Porter Concurring. Justice Trench not expressing an opinion.

In the matter of the application of Charles Powell for a writ of Habeas Corpus

Motion to tax the costs of this case against the Territory heretofore taken under adviesement, it is ordered that the same be and hereby is denied

Justice Silent rendering decision. Justice Porter concurring. Chief Justice Trench dissenting.

In the matter of fees to District Attorney of Pima County, for attending appeals in criminal cases before this Court.

The motion heretofore taken under advisement it is ordered that the same be and hereby is denied. Justice Silent rendering decision, Justice Porter Concurring. Chief Justice Trench not expressing any opinion.

Court adjourned without a day.

C. H. W. Trench

Chief Justice.

0220

Tucson, Arizona Territory,
January 30th, 1880.

Everett B. Pomroy having been appointed by the President of the United States to the office of U. S. Attorney for the Territory of Arizona, this day appeared bearing the following

Official Oath

Territory of Arizona }
County of Pima. } s.s.

I, E.B. Pomroy, do solemnly swear that I have never voluntarily borne arms against the United States since I have been a citizen thereof; that I have voluntarily given no aid, countenance, counsel, or encouragement to persons engaged in armed hostility thereto — That I have neither sought nor accepted, nor attempted to exercise the functions of any office whatever under any authority, or pretended authority in hostility to the United States. That I have not yielded a voluntary support to any pretended Government, authority, power, or constitution within the United States, hostile, or inimical thereto. And I do further swear that to the best of my knowledge and ability I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States, against all enemies foreign and domestic. That I will bear true faith, and allegiance to the same. That I take this obligation freely without any mental reservation or purpose of evasion, and that I will well, and faithfull discharge the duties of the office on which I am about to enter.

(Signed) E.B. Pomroy.

Subscribed and sworn to
before me this 30th day of
January A.D. 1880.
(Signed) Charles S. W. French
Chief Justice of
the Supreme Court of the Territory
of Arizona.

Commission of Charles P. W. French as Chief Justice of the Territory of Arizona

Rutherford B. Hayes

President of the United States of America

To all who shall see these Presents. Greeting:

Know Ye: That reposing special trust and confidence in the Wisdom, Uprightness and Learning of Charles P. W. French I have nominated and by and with the advice and consent of the Senate do appoint him to be Chief Justice of Arizona Territory and do authorize and empower him to execute and fulfil the Duties of that Office according to the Constitution and Laws of the said United States and to have and to Hold the said Office with all the Powers, Privileges and Emoluments to the same of right appertaining unto him the said Charles P. W. French for the term of four years from the day of the date hereof, subject to the Conditions prescribed by Law.

In Testimony Whereof I have caused these Letters to be made Patent and the Seal of the United States to be hereunto affixed.

Given under my Hand at the City of Washington the thirteenth day of January in the Year of our Lord One thousand eight hundred and eighty four and of the Independence of the United States of America the One hundred and eighth

R. B. Hayes

By the President

Wm. M. Evarts

Secretary of State

2002

Official oath

Territory of Arizona
County of Pima } ss

I Charles P. H. Fruch do Solemnly Swear, that I will support the Constitution of the United States and the Laws of the Territory of Arizona, that I will bear faith and allegiance Thereto, and Defend them against all enemies whatsoever, and that I will faithfully and impartially discharge the Duties of the Office of Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of Arizona Territory according to the best of my Abilities, So help me God

Charles P. H. Fruch

The foregoing oath was duly taken, Sworn and subscribed to before me a Justice of the Peace of Pima County in the Territory of Arizona duly Commissioned and Qualified Sworn and duly Authorized to Administer oaths by the Laws now in force in said Territory of Arizona by Charles P. H. Fruch at Precinct No 1 and County of Pima our said Territory of Arizona on this 16th Day of February A.D. 1880

Received & filed for Record in my Office this twenty fifth Day of February A.D. 1880
H. Lockwood
Ter. Sec of Territory

Santiago Tiado
Justice of the Peace in and for the County of Pima, Territory of Arizona

Oath prescribed by the act of July 2nd 1862

Territory of Arizona
County of Pima } ss

I Charles P. H. Fruch do Solemnly Swear, that I have never Voluntarily borne Arms against the United States since I have been a Citizen thereof, that I have Voluntarily given no aid, Countenance, Counsel or encouragement to persons Engaged in Armed hostility thereto, that I have neither Sought, nor accepted nor attempted to exercise the functions of any office whatever under any Authority or pretended authority in hostility to the United States that I have yielded no Voluntary support to any pretended Government Authority power or Constitution within the United States hostile or inimical thereto and that I will to the best of my Knowledge and ability, I will support Sup-

defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies foreign & domestic; that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same; that I take this obligation freely without any equivocation Mental reservation or purpose of evasion and that I will well and faithfully discharge the Duties of the Office on which I am about to enter. So help me God

Charles G. W. Finch

Subscribed & sworn to before me this 24th day of February A.D. 1880

Santiago Oñate
Justice of the Peace in & for the County of Doña Ana
Territory of Mexico

Recorded & filed for Record in my Office this twenty fifth day of February A.D. 1880 J. Anderson
Ass. Sec. of Territory

Territory of Mexico
Secretary's Office } ss

I John Anderson, Assistant Secretary of the Territory of Mexico do hereby certify that this is a true and correct Copy of the Oath of Office of Charles G. W. Finch, now on file in my Office

In witness whereof I have hereunto set my hand & affixed the Seal of my Office. Done at Mesilla this twenty sixth day of February A.D. 1880

John E. Anderson
Ass. Sec. of Terr.

The Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona
Met Monday January 3rd 1881. Pursuant to Law.
Present: Hon. C. G. W. French Chief Justice.
Murat Masterson Assistant U. S. Attorney
C. P. Dake. U. S. Marshal
and William Wilkeson Clerk.
and adjourned until tomorrow January 4th 1881, at
10. O' Clock. A. M.

Charles G. W. French
Chief Justice.

Court Met January 4th 1881 at 10. O'clock A. M.
pursuant to adjournment
Present; Hon. C. G. W. French. Chief Justice
C. P. Dake U. S. Marshal.
and Clerk.
and adjourned until tomorrow January 5th 1881.
at 10' O'clock, A. M.

Charles G. W. French
Chief Justice

Court Met Wednesday January 5th 1881. at 10. O'clock
A. M. pursuant to adjournment.
Present; Hon C. G. W. French
Chief Justice
Hon. De Forest Porter
Associate Justice
Murat Masterson
Assistant U. S. Attorney
U. S. Marshal, and Clerk.

In the matter of the application
of Wells H. Bates Esqr to be
Admitted to practice as an Attorney
and Counselor in this Court

On Motion of Murat
Masterson Esqr, and on presentation of a

Certificate of admission by the Supreme Court of the State of New York. the said Wells A. Bates was admitted to practice as an attorney and counselor in this Court after signing the Roll and taking the oath.

In the matter of the application of P. J. Bolan & Wm Wickes to be admitted to practice as Attorneys and Counselors in this Court

John Howard Esq moved the Court that the said applicants be admitted to practice as attorneys and Counselors in this Court, upon the filing of proper Certificates in compliance with the rules of the Court - Whereupon the Court appointed the following named gentlemen - Members of this Bar. - to examine said applicants, and report to this Court at their convenience. Committee - John Howard. B. H. Hereford, A. L. Baker, M. Masterson & Clark Churchill Esqrs.

Frank Livingston
Plff & Respt -
vs.
The Board of Supervisors
of Yavapai County.
Defts & Apts -

On Motion of Clark Churchill Esq it was by the Court ordered that this Cause be placed on the Calendar.

E. A. Fenger & Co
Plffs & Respts -
vs
Jackson & Tomlinson
Defts & Apts -

On Motion of John A. Rush Esq. counsel for Plffs & Respts it is ordered by the Court that Remittitur issue.

Territory of Arizona
Plff & Respt-
vs.
Thomas Harper.
Deft-& Apellt-

Upon motion of B. H. Hereford Esq and on Stipulation filed it is by the Court ordered that hearing of this cause be continued to this adjourned term of this Court.

The Calendar was Called.

Wm B. Hooper et al
Plffs & Respts-
vs
John R. Dall.
Deft-& Apellt-

Upon the written motion of Apellants Counsel filed herein, it is by the Court ordered that this apeal be, and the same is hereby dismissed, at apellants Costs.

E. Weymouth
Plff & Respt-
vs
Samuel Franklin et al
Defts & Apellts-

Set for hearing Friday next- January 7th 1881.

A. Bateman
Plff & Respt-
vs.
L. N. Light
Deft-& Apellt-

No Answer.

Anna Maria Fredericio
Plff & Apellt-
vs.
William A. Hancock.
Deft-& Respt-

Set for hearing Friday Next- January 7th 1881.

Wm Zeckendorf et al
Plff & Respt-
vs.
L. Zeckendorf et al
Defts & Applts-
} No Answer

Catharine Alexander
Plff & Respt-
vs
The Peck Mining Co
Deft-& Applts
} Passed till tomorrow. January 6th 1881.

Edward Field et al
Plffs & Respts-
vs.
M. Gray et al.
Defts & Applts
} No answer.

O. W. Streeter
Plff & Respt-
vs.
Calabasas Land and Mining Co.
Deft-& Applt-
} No Answer.

Territory of Arizona
Ex Rel. L. K. Thompson
Plff & Respt-
vs
W. A. Wenniger et al
Defts & Applts-
} It is by the Court ordered that this cause be placed on the Calendar.

Court adjourned until tomorrow January 6th 1881. at 10. O'clock. A. M.

Charles G. W. French
Clerk Dist Ct

Court met January 6th 1881 at 10.0'Clock. A. M. pursuant to adjournment.

Present; Hon C. L. W. French
Chief Justice
Hon De Forest Porter
Associate Justice.
C. P. Dake U. S. Marshal
Crier & Bailiff. and Clerk.

James Reilly
Plff & Applt-
vs.
C. W. C. Rowell
Deft & Respt-

On motion of John A. Rush Esq. of Counsel for Appellant - it is by this Court ordered that the hearing in this Cause be continued to the adjourned term of this Court.

O. W. Straiter
Plff & Respt-
vs
Calaveras Land & Mining Company
Deft & Applt-

On Motion of John Haynes Esq. and on Stipulation filed, it is by the Court ordered that hearing of this Cause be continued to the adjourned term of this Court.

Edward Field et al
Plffs Respts
vs
M. Gray et al
Defts & Applts

On Stipulation filed it is by the Court ordered that the hearing of this Cause be continued to the adjourned term of this Court.

Frank Livingston
Plff & Respt-
vs.
The Board of Supervisors
of Yavapai County.
Defts & Apelts

Set for hearing at 3. O' clock this P.M.

In the matter of the
Application of John
Haynes to be admitted
to practice as an Attorney
and Counselor in this
Court.

On Motion of Clark Churchill Esqr. and on presentation of affidavit, as to Certificate of admission by the Supreme Court of the States of Ohio, Michigan, and California, it is by the Court ordered that said applicant John Haynes be, and he is admitted to practice as Attorney and Counselor in this Court - on taking the proper oath and signing the Roll of attorneys.

In the matter of the
Application of William
Wickes, to be admitted
to practice as an Attorney
and Counselor in this
Court,

Orin Howard, Murat Masterson, B.H. Hereford, and A. L. Baker Esqrs the Committee appointed by the Court to examine William Wickes, as to his qualifications and learning in the law, to be admitted to practice in this Court, report that they have performed the duty assigned to them, and find said applicant in every way qualified to be admitted as Attorney and Counselor of this Court, and recommend his admission accordingly, and file their report.

Whereupon the Court ~~[illegible]~~

C. S. W. French. Proceeded to examine said applicant in open Court, as to his ability and qualifications, and upon such examination this Court finds that he is entitled to such admission.

It is therefore Ordered that said applicant be, and he is hereby admitted to practice as attorney and Counselor at Law in this Court, upon taking the proper Oath and signing the Attorneys Roll of this Court.

Court took a recess until 3 O'clock this P.M. at which time it met with present as at recess.

Frank Livingston. Plff & Respt
vs
The Board of Supervisors
of Yavapai County Defts & Apts
} Reset for hearing tomorrow. January 7th 1881 at 3. O'clock. P.M.

Territory of Arizona
Plff & Respt
vs
Miguel Epicio
Deft & Apt
} This cause coming on for hearing was argued by Murat Masterson Esq. for Defendant and Appellant, there being no argument offered on the part of Plff & Respt and being fully argued for Appellant — this case was submitted.

Catharine Alexander
Plff & Respt
vs
The Peck Mining Co
Deft & Apt
} Set for hearing on the first day of adjourned term of this Court.

Court adjourned until tomorrow January 7th 1881, at 10. O'clock. A.M.

Charles G. W. French
Chief Justice

Court met Friday January 7th 1881. at 10. O'clock. A.M. pursuant to adjournment.

Present: Hon C. G. W. French
Chief Justice
Hon DeForest Porter
Associate Justice
C. P. Dake. U. S. Marshal,
Crier and Baliff
and Clerk.

Anna Maria Frederick
Pltff & Apelt-
vs
Wm A. Hancock.
Deft & Respt-

On Motion of Counsel for Apellant, it is ordered that Hearing of this Cause be Continued to the adjourned term of this Court, Counsel for Respondent being present and Consenting.

E. Weymouth
Pltff & Respt.
vs
Samuel Franklin
and E. Fleming
Defts & Apelts-

Recess for 3. O'Clock this P.M.

N. K. Haughtalin
Pltff & Respt
vs
N. Ellis
Deft & Apelt-

Judgment of Sanderson.

Court re-affirmed and cause remitted to Court below for further proceedings, with direction to overrule the demurrer to the answer.

Chief Justice French rendering Decision.
Associate Justice Porter, Concurring.

Court took a recess until 3 O'clock this P. M. at which time it met with present as at recess.

Frank K. Livingston
Pltff & Respt

vs.

The Board of Supervisors
of Yavapai County
Deft & Apptt

Re-set for hearing tomorrow, January 8th 1881, at 10. O' clock A. M.

Court adjourned until tomorrow January 8th 1881, at 10. O'clock, A. M.

Charles L. W. French
Chief Justice.

Court met Saturday January 8th, 1881, at 10 O'clock A. M. pursuant to adjournment.

Present: Hon. C. L. W. French.
Chief Justice.
Hon. DeForest Porter
Associate Justice
C. P. Lake. U. S. Marshal
Marcus J. Smith Esq.
Clerk.

Levi Bashford et al
Plffs & Applts
vs.
Warren E. Day et al
Defts & Respts

On motion of L. P. Hargrave Esq. it is ordered that this cause be placed on Calendar, and set for hearing at 3. O'clock this P.M.

Frank Livingston
Plff & Respt
vs.
The Board of Supervisors
of Yavapai County
Defts & Applts

This day January 8th 1881. this cause being called for hearing, is was argued by M. Masterson Esq for Defts & appellant, and by Clark Churchill Esq for Plff & Respt. Further argument Continued until 1:30 O'clock this P.M. to which time Court took a recess.

Court met at 1:30 O'clock this P.M. with present as at recess.

Frank L. Livingston
Plff & Respt
vs.
The Board of Supervisors
of Yavapai County
Defts & Applts

Argument of Case was resumed by Clark Churchill Esq for Plaintiff & Respondent closing Argument - and the Case being fully argued, was submitted with leave to either party to file additional authorities this day.

Territory of Arizona
Ex Rel. L. K. Thompson
Plff & Respt-
vs.
W. A. Werninger
Deft & Applt

On Motion of Clark & Churchill Esqs
Ordered the names of Messrs Churchill and
Masterson to be entered of record as Counsel for
Deft & Appellant, and the cause being called for re=
=hearing was argued by Clark & Churchill Esqs for
Appellant.= No appearance for Respondent.= And
being fully argued for Appellant, the Case was
Submitted.

Levi Bashford et al
Pffs & Apprls-
vs.
Warren E. Day et al
Deft & Respts

Re-set for hearing Monday
next, January 10th 1881: at 10. O'Clock. A. M.

Whereas it appears to us the Chief Justice and
Associate Justice of the Supreme Court of the
Territory of Arizona that the business now pending
in said Court will be expedited by the holding
of an adjourned term of said Court at the
Town of Phoenix.

Wherefore, by virtue of the authority
conferred upon us by Section Nineteen Hundred
and Thirty four of the Revised Statutes of the
United States.

It is Ordered that an adjourned term of the
Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona be
held at the Town of Phoenix in the County of
Maricopa Commencing on Monday April 4th 1881,
at the hour of 10. O'clock, A. M. of said day.

It is further ordered that a Copy of this
order be entered by the Clerk upon the minutes
of this Court

Made and signed by us, this January 8th 1881 and during the Session of the regular January term 1881, of the Supreme Court for said Territory.

C. G. W. French.
Chief Justice
De Forest Porter
Associate Justice

Court adjourned until Monday next, January 10th 1881, at 10. O'clock, A.M.

Charles G. W. French
Chief Justice

Court met Monday January 10th 1881 at 10. O'clock A.M. pursuant to adjournment.

Present: Hon C. G. W. French
Chief Justice
Hon. De Forest Porter
Associate Justice.
C. P. Dake. U. S. Marshal
and Deputy
and Clerk.

In the matter of the Times of Holding Courts in the several Districts of this Territory.

Until the further order of the Judges of this Court the terms of the several District Courts of this Territory shall be held at the times and places hereinafter mentioned.

First Judicial District.
On the second Monday in March and on the second Monday in September at Tucson, Pima County.

Second Judicial District.
On the First-Monday in April
and on the second Monday in October.
at Phoenix, Maricopa County.

Third Judicial District
On the First-Monday in June, and
on the first-Monday in November
at Prescott. Yavapai County.

The termination of said terms of Court, is to be determined by the presiding Judge there of without regard to the occurrence of terms in other Counties of same district.

Charles G. W. French
Chief Justice
De Forest-Porter.
Associate Justice.

It appearing to the Court-that it-is necessary and expedient-that a Court Commissioner for the third Judicial District of Arizona, be appointed, to reside in Apache County in said District, and it-further appearing that Henry E. Lacy residing at Camp Apache in said Apache County is a competent and suitable person to be appointed such Commissioner,

It is therefore ordered that Henry E. Lacy be and he is hereby appointed a Court-Commissioner for the Third Judicial District-of Arizona Territory, to reside in Apache County in said District,- That upon taking the oath and giving the Bond prescribed by law. he be authorized and empowered to discharge all the duties of said office, in the manner prescribed by law. And a certified Copy of this order shall be his warrant, and authority for so acting.

Levi Bashford et al
Plffs & Apfl'ts
vs
Warren E. Day et al
Defts & Respt's

This Cause being called for hearing, was argued by Clark & Churchill Esqr for Plaintiffs & Appellants - and by D. P. Hargrave Esq. for Defendants & Respondents - and being fully argued - the Case was submitted,

15 days time allowed Appellants Counsel to file Briefs - and 5 days time allowed Respondents Counsel to file Briefs in reply.

W. H. Sherman
vs
E. J. Cook.

This matter having been brought before this Court by Stipulation of respective parties, by their Counsel, and being this day called for hearing - was argued by Messrs Rush, Hargrave and Churchill Esqrs for Plaintiff, and by John Haynes Esqr for Defendant - and the Case being fully argued - and the Court being fully advised in the premises, - Orders and adjudges that the Writ heretofore issued herein be and the same is made peremptory, with stay of proceedings granted for the period of five days. -

Chief Justice French rendering Decision,
Associate Justice Porter, Concurring.

Frank Livingston
Plff & Respt
vs
The Board of Supervisors
of Yuba'i county A. J.
Defts & Apptl

This Cause having been heretofore argued and submitted to the Court, and the Court having had the matter under advisement, - and be fully advised in the matter [illegible]

that the judgment, and order, of the Court below be and the same is hereby affirmed.-
Chief Justice French rendering Decision,
Associate Justice Porter Concurring.

Territory of Arizona
Plff & Respt-
vs
Miguel Escio
Deft & Applt

This Cause having been argued and submitted,- It is by the Court Ordered that Decision will be rendered at the adjourned term of this Court to be held at Phoenix Maricopa County A.T. in the Month of April 1881.
In the meantime Execution is ordered Stayed until this Appeal be determined.

In the matter of the application of Joseph C. Perry to be admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court.

On Motion of Murat Masterson Esq, and on presentation of affidavit- as to Certificate of admission by the Supreme Court of the State of New York,- and also by the Third Judicial District Court of Yavapai County Arizona,- It is by the Court ordered that said Applicant Joseph C. Perry, be and he is admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court, on taking the prescribed oaths, and signing the Roll of Attorneys of this Court.

Court adjourned to meet at Phoenix, Maricopa County A.T. on Monday the 4th day of April, 1881, at 10 o'clock A.M. of that day.

Charles G. W. French
Chief Justice

Prescott Arizona Territory
February 18th 1881.

The Honorable William H. Stilwell, having been appointed by the President of the United States, to the Office of Associate Justice of the Supreme Court of Arizona Territory, this day appears bearing the following

Official Oath.

Territory of Arizona }
County of Pima }

I, William H. Stilwell, do solemnly swear that I will support the Constitution of the United States, and the laws of this Territory; that I will true faith and allegiance bear the same, and defend them against all enemies whatsoever, and that I will faithfully and impartially discharge the duties of the Office of Associate Justice of the Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona, according to the best of my abilities, So help me God.

William H. Stilwell.

Subscribed and Sworn to before me this 12th day of February 1881.

Charles H. Myers
Justice of the Peace.

Court met pursuant to adjournment at Phoenix A.T. April 4th 1881. at 10. O'clock A.M.
Present Hon C. J. C. French.
Chief Justice,
Hon DE Forest Porter
Associate Justice, &
Hon W. H. Stilwell
Associate Justice,
E.B. Pomroy US. atty, Clerk and U.S. marshal by deputy

In the Matter of the application of George G. Berry. Esqr to be admitted to practice as Attorney and Counsellor in this Court

On Motion of J. T. Alsop Esqr, and upon presentation of a Certificate of admission by the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada, it is by the Court ordered that the said applicant George G. Berry be, and he hereby is admitted to practice as an attorney and Counsellor in this Court, upon taking the proper oath, and signing the Roll of attorneys,

In the Matter of the application of Henry M. Willis Esqr, to be admitted to practice as attorney and Counsellor in this Court

On Motion of J. T. Alsop Esqr, and upon presentation of a Certificate of the Clerk of the Supreme Court of the State of California, to the fact that said applicant Henry M. Willis had been admitted to practice, as an attorney in all the Courts of the State of California- it is by the Court ordered that the said applicant be and he hereby is admitted to practice as an attorney and Counsellor in this Court, upon taking the proper oath and signing the Roll of attorneys -

In the Matter of the application of Ben Goodrich Esqr to be admitted to practice as attorney and Counsellor in this Court -

On Motions of J. T. Alsop. Esqr, and upon presentation of a Certificate of admission by the Supreme Court of the State of Texas, it is by the Court ordered that the said applicant Ben Goodrich, be and he hereby is admitted to practice as an attorney and

Counsellor in this Court, upon taking the proper oath, and signing the Roll of attorneys.

In the Matter of the application of John D. McCabe Esqr to be admitted to practice as attorney and Counsellor in this Court.

On Motion of J. D. Abbott Esqr and upon presentation of a Certificate of admission by the Supreme Court of the State of Arkansas - It is by the Court Ordered that the said applicant, John D. McCabe, be, and he hereby is admitted to practice as an attorney and Counsellor in this Court upon taking the proper oath, and signing the Roll of attorneys.

In the Matter of the Application of James Reilly Esqr to be admitted to practice as attorney and Counsellor in this Court -

A. C. Baker Esqr Moved the Court that James Reilly Esqr be admitted to practice as an attorney and Counsellor in this Court, Thereupon Messrs A. C. Baker Clark Churchill, E. B. Pomroy and W. A. Hancock (Hezekiah Willis) Esqrs. were appointed by the Court a Committee to examine said applicant as to his qualifications, and report to this Court, at their earliest Convenience -

Charles Fish, James Lyons and T Mulligan -
Plaintiffs & Respondents

vs.

Breon & Spear,
Defts & appellts

On Motion of Clark Churchill Esqr and upon Stipulation filed herein it is Ordered that this Cause be placed on the Calendar -

The Territory of Arizona
Plf & Respt.
vs
Thomas Harper
Dft & appelt

On Motion of E.B. Pomroy Esq of Counsel for plaintiff & Respondent, & Consent of Ben Goodrich Esqr of Counsel for defendant & appellant, in open Court, it is ordered that this Cause be Submitted on Briefs, without argument—

Court took a recess until 1. Oclock this P.M. at which time it Met with present as at Recess—

Catharine Alexander
Plf & Respt
vs.
The Peck Mining Company
Dft & appelt

This Cause being Called for hearing, and the respective parties being present in Court by their Counsel, the Case was argued by J.P. Hargrave Esqr for defendant & appellant— and by Murat Masterson & Thomas Fitch Esqrs. for plaintiff and Respondent— and being fully argued, was Submitted and taken under advisement,

Court adjourned until tomorrow April 5th 1881. at 10. Oclock A.M.

C.G.W. French
Chief Justice

Court met April 5 1881,
at 10 Oclock A.M. pursuant to adjournment.
Present Hon C.G.W. French
Chief Justice—
Hon D.F. Forest Porter
associate Justice
Hon W.H. Stilwell
associate Justice,
Hon E.B. Pomroy U.S. atty—
U.S. Marshal by Deputy
and Clerk—

In the Matter of the application of A.D. Lemon Esqr to be admitted to practice as attorney and Counsellor in this Court.

On Motion of J. T. Alsop Esqr. And upon presentation of Affidavit, as to Certificate of admission by the Supreme Court of the State of Indiana- it is by the Court ordered that the said applicant A. D. Lemon, be, and he hereby is admitted to practice as attorney and Counsellor in this Court on taking the proper oath, and signing the Roll of attorneys.

In the Matter of the application of James Reilly Esqr to be admitted to practice as attorney and Counsellor in this Court.

A. C. Baker, Henry M. Willis, Clark Churchill, E. B. Fonroy and Wm. A. Hancock Esqrs the Committee appointed by the Court to Examine James Reilly Esqr as to his qualifications and learning in the law, report that they have performed the duty assigned to them, and find said applicant in every way qualified to be admitted as an attorney and Counsellor of this Court, and recommend his admission accordingly, and file their Report.

Whereupon the Court, through its Chief Justice E. G. W. Stanch, proceeded to examine said applicant in open Court, as to his qualifications, and upon such Examination the Court finds that he is entitled to such admission.

It is therefore ordered that said applicant be and he is hereby admitted to practice as attorney and Counsellor in this Court upon taking the proper oath and signing the Roll of attorneys.

James Reilly
Plf & Appelt
Vs
C. W. C. Rowell
Dft & Respt

On application for writ of Certiorari

This Cause being Called for hearing, and respective parties appearing in Court, James Reilly Esqr appeared and argued Case for himself, and Henry M. Willis

Esqr appeared. and Argued Case for defendant and Respondent- and being fully argued, the Case was Submitted - and taken under advisement -

Court took a recess until 1, o'clock this P.M. at which time it met with present as at recess -

E Weymouth
Plf & Respt
vs.
Samuel Franklin &
E Fleming
Defts & appelts

This Cause being Called for hearing, and Respective parties appearing in Court by their Counsel the Case was argued by Wm. A Hancock Esq for appellants, and by A.C. Baker Esqr for Respondent, and being fully argued the Case was Submitted and taken under advisement.

A Bateman
Plf & Respt
vs.
C. H. Light
Defts & appelt

This Case being Called for hearing, was argued by E.B. Pomroy Esqr for Respondent - and there being no appearance for appellants, further argument of Case was Continued.

Court adjourned until tomorrow april 6th 1881. at 9. O'clock A.M.

C. G. W. French
Chief Justice,

Court Met April 6th 1881. at 9. o'clock a.m. pursuant to adjournment

Present Hon C. J. C. O. French
Chief Justice,
Hons E. Forest Porter } associate
Hon W. H. Stilwell } Justices
U.S. attorney — U.S. Marshal by
Deputy and Clerk —

Neri F. Osborne petitioner & applicant,
vs
E. P. Clarke Territorial Auditor, of the
Territory of Arizona — Respondent

In the Matter of the application of Neri F. Osborne for alternative writ of Mandamus —

Now Comes Neri F. Osborne by his attorneys A.C. Baker — & Miss Lemon & McCabe Esqrs — and Move the Court that writ issue as prayer for in petition of applicant on file herein —

And the Motion being Opposed by Messrs Fitch and Churchill Esqrs. for Respondent, the argument of Motion was opened by J. D. McCabe Esqr for applicant — followed by Messrs Fitch & Churchill Esqrs for Respondent — further argument Continued until tomorrow April 7th 1881. at 9 30 o'clock a.m.

James Reilly
vs
O. N. C. Rowell —

On application of H. M. Willis Esqr Counsel for Respt — leave granted Respondent to file Supplemental Brief,

A. M. Smith Plf & Respt.
vs
The Golden Era Mining Company
Dft & appelt.

Hearing on Motions — Notices — for hearing this day — Continued until tomorrow April 7th 1881.

Anna Maria Friedrici
Plf & appelt
vs
Wm. A. Hancock
Dft & Respt.

This Case being Called for hearing, and the Respective parties being present, the appellant represented by Counsel, and Respondent being present in person- the Case was argued by Wm Wilks for appellant & by Wm. A. Hancock Esqr & Hon C.A. Tweed for Respondent- and being fully argued, was Submitted and taken under advisement

Court took a recess until 1. o'clock this P.M. at which time it met with present as at recess-

Wm Zeckendorf &
Staab
Plfs & Respts
vs
Zeckendorf &
Albert Stanfield
Dfts & Appelts-

This Case being Called for hearing, and Respondents being Represented by Counsel, Case was argued by E.B. Pomroy Esqr for Respondents- there being no appearance for appellants- further hearing the Case Countinued-

Court adjourned until tomorrow April 7th 1881. at 9 30 O'clock A.M.

C.G.W. Hancock
Chief Justice.

Court met April 7th 1881. at 9 30 O'clock A.M. pursuant to adjournment-

Present Hon C.G.W. Hancock
Chief Justice-
Hon De Forest Porter } Associate
Hon H.H. Stilwell } Justices-
U.S. Attorney - U.S. Marshal
by Deputy and Clerk-

Nesi. H. Osborn- applicant.

E. P. Clark vs Territorial auditor Respt. } Application for alternative writ of Mandamus-

On Motion of J. D. McCabe Esqr- it is ordered that the Name of John Haynes Esqr. be entered of record as of Counsel for applicant- and the respective parties being present by their Counsel, argument on Motion for writ to issue was resumed by Clark Churchill Esqr closing for respondent- he being followed by John Haynes Esqr closing argument for applicant- and being fully argued the Matter was submitted-

Territory of Arizona
Plaintiff and Respondent
vs-
Thomas Harper,
Defendant and appellant } This cause having been submitted on briefs, and taken under advisement by the Court- and the Court having had the same under advisement, and being fully advised- it is this day by the Court ordered and adjudged that the judgment and order of the Court below be, and the same is hereby affirmed- and the Court, or Judge below directed to fix the day of execution- Chief Justice French rendering decision associates Justices Porter and Stilwell Concurring-

Catharine Alexander- Respondent }
vs-
Rock Mining Company- appellant } The respondent moved to dismiss the appeal for the reason that the transcript on appeal did not conform to the provisions of Rule Eight of the Court- that the pleadings, proceeding and Statement are not chronologically arranged in the transcript-

the failure to Comply with said Rule, an Examination of the Record will be obstructed -

Motion Submitted and taken under advisement -

The respondent Suggested a diminution of the record as follows -

The Matters set out in the Transcript, Commencing in folio 88. page 33 and from thence Continuing over and Comprising all Matters printed on pages 34, 35, 36, 37, 38 and all of page 39 down to the words "Entry of appearance" also the Matter set out in the transcript in folios 108 and 109 - also the Matter set out in folios 110. to 126. inclusive, also the Matter set out in folio 507 and in and from the Commencement of folio 508, down to and including the words. Charles Silent Associate Justice"

That all these Matters forms No part of said Statement on Motion for New trial as the Same was allowed and Settled by the Court below and as the Same Now remains on file in the Office of the Clerk of the Court below -

And in Support of said Motion the respondent produced in Court a Certificate of the Clerk of the District Court of the Third Judicial District of the Territory of Arizona in and for the County of Yavapai, Certifying that the Matters above Suggested, are not Contained in, nor do they form a part of said Statement on Motions for New trial as the Same was allowed and Settled by the Court below and as the Same now remains on file in the Office of the Clerk of the lower Court -

Motion Submitted and taken under advisement,

Edward Fields et al - Plfs & Respts.
vs
M Gray et al - Defts and appelts } by Consent of respective parties by their Counsel - hearing Continued until tomorrow April 8th 1881. - Motion to be heard and disposed of -

O. N. Streeter - Plf & Respt
vs
Calabasas Land and Mining Company } Dft & appellt

by Consent of Respective parties, by their Counsel, hearing Continued until to Morrow april 8th 1881.- then to be heard and disposed of -

A. M. Smith Plf & Respt
vs
Golden Era Mining Company } Dfts and appellt -

Clark Churchill Esqr Counsel for respondent Moved that this cause be placed on the Calendar and that the appeal be dismissed, and the Motion being opposed by J. P. Hargrave Esqr. was argued by J. P. Hargrave Esqr for appellant and against, and by Clark Churchill Esqr in support of - further argument on Motion, and argument of Case set for 2 o'clock this P.M.

B. M. Jacobs
vs
Albert Steinfield

Ben Goodrich Esqr moves to dismiss this appeal, on grounds stated in motion filed - argument on Motion to be hereafter heard

Territory of Arizona
Ex Rel L. K. Thompson - plf & Respt
vs
M. A. Meninger et al dft & appellt } Henry M. Willis

Esqr appeared and argued Case for respondent - closing Case - and being fully argued the Case Was Submitted and taken under advisement -

Court took a Recess until 1 o'clock this P.M. at which time it met with presentas at recess -

Wm. Eckendorf and T. J. Staab plfs & respts,
vs
R. Eckendorf & A. Stanfield dfts & appellts.

Argument of Case Resumed - argued by Hon Charles Silent, appearing for appellant, and closing argument - and being fully argued the Case was submitted and taken Under advisement -

A. Bateman plf & Respt,
vs
C. H. Light dft & appellt

Argument of Case resumed - argued by Hon Charles Silent, appearing for appellant and closing argument, and being fully argued the Case was submitted and taken Under advisement -

A. M. Smith plf & Respt
vs
The Golden Era Mining Co dft & appellt

Argument on motion to place Cause on Calendar and dismiss appeal resumed - Clark Churchill Esqr supporting Motion and J. P. Hargrave Esqr opposing - and the argument Motion being Closed - Argument of Case was opened by J. P. Hargrave Esqr for appellant, - and by Clark Churchill, Esqr, Closing for Respondent - followed by J. P. Hargrave Esqr - Closing Case - and being fully argued, the said Motion and Case were submitted and taken under advisement,

Charles Fish, James Lyons and T. Mulligan, Plaintiffs and Respondents
vs
Brown and Spear, defendants & appellants

On Motion of Clark Churchill

and filing Stipulation; It is Ordered that the above entitled Cause be placed upon the Calendar, that the appeal in said Cause be and the same is hereby dismissed at the Costs of the appellants.

Court adjourned until tomorrow April 8th 1881: at 9. O'clock a.m.

C. J. W. French
Chief Justice

Court met April 8th 1881. at 9. O'clock a.m. pursuant to adjournment.

Present Hon C. J. W. French.
Chief Justice,
Hon De Forest Porter and } Associate
Hon W. H. Stillwell } Justices,
Assistant U.S. attorney - U.S.
Marshal by Deputy and Clerk,

Territory of Arizona
plaintiff and Respondent
vs
Miguel Elicio.
Defendant and appellant

This Cause having been fully argued for defendant and appellant, at the regular January term, 1881. of this Court - and there having been no argument offered for plaintiff and Respondent, the Cause was submitted and taken under advisement by the Court, and having had the same under advisement - and being fully advised, it is this day by the Court Ordered - and adjudged that the Judgment and Order of the Court below be, and the same is hereby affirmed, and the Court, or Judge below directed to fix the day of Execution -

Chief Justice French rendering decision,
associate Justice Porter ~~and Stillwell~~ Concurring.

O M. Jacobs Plff & Respt
vs
Albert Steinfeld, Dfft & appellt

Ben Goodrich Esqr Counsel for Respt asked leave of Court, to withdraw Motion for dismissal of this appeal- leave granted by Court, and Motion for dismissal withdrawn -

Edward Field etal Plffs & Respts
vs
M Gray etal Dfts & appellts

This Cause being called for hearing, and the respective parties being present by Counsel, W Earll, Esqr, Counsel for Respts, Moved to Correct, or amend transcript on file in accordance with Stipulation on file - and there being No objections made by Counsel for appellants - it is therefore Ordered that transcript may be Corrected in accordance with said Stipulation -

Argument of Case was opened by Ben Goodrich Esqr - appearing as Counsel for appellants, followed by W. Earll Esqr for Respondents - he being followed by Geo. G. Berry Esqr. Closing argument for appellants -

further argument of Case Continued until Monday next april 11th 1881.

Court took a recess until 1.30 Oclock this P.M. at which time it met, with present, as at recess -

O. M. Stretor Plff & Respt
vs
Calaveras Land and Mining Company Dfts & appellts

This Cause being called for hearing, and respective parties being present by Counsel, Argument of Case, was opened by John Haynes Esqr Counsel for appellants, followed by W. Earll Esqr Counsel for Respondents, he being followed by John Haynes Esqr. Closing argument for appellant -

further Argument of Case Continued until Monday next April 11th 1881 -

Court adjourned until tomorrow April 9th 1881. at 10. Oclock A.M.

C. G. W. French
Chief Justice.

Court met April 9th 1881. at 10. Oclock A.M. pursuant to adjournment,

Present Hon C. G. W. French
Chief Justice,
Hon De Forest Porter } associate
Hon C. H. Stilwell } Justices.
Assistant U.S. attorney. U.S.
Marshal by Deputy and Clerk,

L Zeckendorf Vs Appellants
vs
J. Munguia etal Respondents

This Cause being called for hearing, and the respective parties appearing by Counsel- W Earll Esqr appearing for appellants, and waiving the filing of points and authorities for Respondents- the Case was argued by W Earll Esqr for appellants and by J. A. Fabrisckie Esqr for Respondents- and being fully argued was submitted and taken under advisement-

Whereas C. P. Dake, United States Marshal, has rendered to this Court an account of his disbursements for Miscellaneous expenses incurred at and previous to the January term 1881. held at Prescott and Phoenix of the United States Supreme Court, with the Vouchers and items thereof, and in presence of Murat Masterson. Esqr Asst United States Attorney, has proved, on Oath, to the Satisfaction of the Court, that the Services therein Charged have been actually and necessarily performed as therein Stated, and that the disbursements Charged have been fully paid in lawful Money; and Whereas said Charges appear to be just and according to law-

It is hereby Ordered that the said account, amounting to Sixty four dollars. be and the same is hereby approved.

Whereas, C. P. Dake, United States Marshal, has rendered to the Court an account of his expenses for Serving process and attending the Sessions of this Court, and also his Commissions on disbursements as allowed by law, and other accounts embraced in fees for marshals, incurred at and previous to the January term 1881. held at Prescott & Phoenix of the United States Supreme Court, with the vouchers and items thereof, and in presence of Murat Masterson Esqr asst, United States attorney, has proved, on Oath, to the Satisfaction of the Court, that the Services therein Charged have been actually and Necessarily performed as therein Stated, and that the disbursements Charged have been actually paid in lawful Money; and Whereas Said Charges appear to be just and according to law -

It is hereby Ordered that Said account, amounting to Seventy dollars, be and the Same is hereby approved

Whereas. Murat Masterson. assistant attorney of the United States for Arizona. has rendered to this Court an account of his fees at the terme of Said Court held at Prescott A.T. also at the adjourned term thereof held at Phoenix A.T. and in open Court has proved on oath to the Satisfaction of the Court, that the Services as therein Charged have been actually and Necessarily performed as therein Stated - and Whereas Said Charges appear to be just and according to law,

It is hereby ordered that the Said account Amounting to the Sum of Ninety four dollars be and the Same is hereby approved;

Done in open Court in the presence of Murat Masterson U.S. attorney for Arizona Territory.

Court adjourned until Monday next April 11th 1881. at 9. Oclock a.m.

C. G. W. Jones
Chief Justice -

Court met April 11th 1881. at 9. Oclock ams pursuant to adjournment

Present Hon C. J. M. Fuller Chief Justice,
Hon De Forest Porter and } associate
Hon W. N. Stilwell } Justices.
U.S. Marshal by Deputy and Clerk

Edward Field etal plfs & Respts
vs.
M Gray etal dfts & appellts } Argument of case

was resumed and argued by Smith Esqr for Respondents, Closing argument and being fully argued, the Case was submitted and taken under advisement.

O. W. Streeter Plf & Respt
vs
Calabasas Land and Mining Company
dfts & appellts } Argument of Case

was resumed and argued by W Earll Esqr for Respondent, Closing argument and being fully argued. the Case was submitted and taken under advisement.

In the Matter of the applications of Alexander Campbell Esqr. to be admitted to practice as Attorney and Counsellor in this Court } On Motion of

W Earll Esqr, and upon presentation of a certificate of admission by the Supreme Court of the State of California, it is by the Court ordered that the said applicant Alexander Campbell be, and he is hereby admitted to practice as an attorney and Counsellor in this Court, upon taking the proper Oath and Signing the Roll of attorneys -

Robert H. Paul
Contestant & appellee
vs
Charles A. Shibell
Contestee & appellant

This Cause being Called for hearing, and respective parties being present by Counsel, Contestant and appellee by Counsel moved the Court to dismiss this appeal- Motion argued by Alexander Campbell Esqr. for appellee and in support of- further argument on motion Continued until 1. Oclock this P.M. to which time Court took a recess-

Court met at 1. Oclock P.M. with present
Hon C.G.W. French
Chief Justice,
Hon W.H. Stilwell
Associate Justice-
and officers as at adjournment- and took a recess until 6. Oclock this P.M.

Court met at 6. Oclock P.M. with Present- Hon C.G. W. French
Chief Justice
Hon DE Forest Porter } associte
Hon W.H. Stilwell } Justices.
and officers as at Recess,

Robert H. Paul
Contestant & appellee
vs
Charles A Shibell
Contestee & appellant

Motion to dismiss appeal argued by Hon Charles Dilent and James A Fabriskie against, and by Alexander Campbell Esq. in support of- and being fully argued was submitted and taken under adviserment-

Court adjourned until tomorrow april 12th 1881 at 10. Oclock - A.M.

C.G.W French
Chief Justice

Court met April 12th 1881, at 10. Oclock
A.M. pursuant to adjournment.
Present Hon C. G. W. French.
Chief Justice.
Hon DE Forest Porter and } associate
Hon W. H. Stilwell } Justices.
U.S. Marshal by deputy and
Clerk -

E Weymouth Plff Respt
vs
Samuel Franklin and
Fleming Franklin Defts & appellts

This Cause having been argued and submitted, and the Court having had the same under advisement, and being fully advised. It is this day by the Court Ordered and adjudged. that the judgment of the Court below be, and the same is hereby affirmed.

Chief Justice French rendering decision, associate Justices Porter and Stilwell Concurring.

A. Bateman Plff & Respt
vs
C. N. Light Deft & Appellt

This cause having been argued and submitted, and the Court having had the same under advisement and being fully advised - it is this day by the Court Ordered and adjudged that the judgment and order of the Court below, appealed from be and the same is hereby affirmed -

Chief Justice French rendering decision, associate Justices Porter and Stilwell Concurring.

And now Hon Charles Silent on behalf of Morgan & Price attorneys for appellant, gave Notice of intention to apply for writ of Error -

Anna Maria Fredricio
Plf & appelt
vs
Wm A. Hancock
Dfdt & appelt

This Cause having been argued and submitted, and the Court having had the Same under advisement, and being fully advised, it is this day by the Court ordered and adjudged, that the judgment of the Court below be, and the Same is hereby affirmed-

Chief Justice French rendering decision, associate Justices Porter and Stilwell Concurring

Wm Zeckendorf & J. Staab
Plfs & Respts
vs.
L Zeckendorf & A Stanfield
Dfts & appelts

This Cause having been argued and Submitted, and the Court having had the Same under advisement- and being fully advised, it is this day by the Court Ordered and adjudged, that the judgment and Orders of the Court below be, and the Same are hereby affirmed, and damages awarded in the Sum of one hundred dollars.

Chief Justice French Rendering decision, associate Justices Porter and Stilwell Concurring-

And now upon application of Hon Chas Silent, on behalf of appelts- it is by the Court ordered that Remittitur be, and the Same is hereby Stayed for the period of twenty five (25) days from the date hereof- Ordered that the amt of one hundred dollars damages be reduced to fifty dollars-

Edward Field etal Plfs & Respts
vs
M Gray etal Dfts & appelts

This Cause having been argued and Submitted, and the Court having had the Same under advisement- and being fully advised, it is this day by the Court ordered and adjudged, that

the Judgment and order of the Court below appealed from be and the Same is hereby affirmed-
Chief Justice French rendering decision-
Associate Justices Porter and Stilwell Concurring-
And Now upon application of M. Earll Esqr. for Respts. it is by the Court ordered that Remittitur issue forthwith-

O.N. Streeter Plf & Respt
vs
Calabasas Land and Mining Company
Dft & appelt

This Cause having been argued and submitted, and the Court having had the Same under advisement- and being fully advised- it is this day by the Court ordered and adjudged that the order granting new trial appealed from, be and the Same is hereby affirmed,
Chief Justice French Rendering decision- Associate Justices Porter and Stilwell Concurring-

Neri F. Osborne applicant
vs
E. J. Clark Territorial Auditor
Respondent

In the Matter of the Applications for alternative writ of Mandamus.
This matter having been argued and submitted, and the Court having had the Same under advisement, and being fully advised, it is this day by the Court Ordered and adjudged that the application for writ be, and the Same is hereby denied, and application dismissed-
Chief Justice French Rendering decision- associate Justices Stilwell Concurring - and associate Justice Porter dissenting-

Court took a recess until 3.O.clock this P.M. at which time it met with present as at recess-

James Railey
Plf & Applt
vs
C. W. C. Rowell
Dfh & Respt

This Cause having been heard on a writ of Certiorari - and having been fully argued and Submitted - and the Court having had the Same Under advisement, and being fully advised - it is this day by the Court Ordered and adjudged, that the proceedings of the District Court Subsequent to the entry of Judgment in Justice Court, be and the Same are Set aside and declared Void, said District Court having No Jurisdiction therein, and the plaintiff is allowed to proceed on his Judgment in the Justice Court

Chief Justice Hench Rendering decision - associate Justices Porter and Stilwell Concurring.

Catharine Alexander Plf & Respt
vs
The Pecto Mining Company -
Dfh & Applh

The above entitled Cause having been argued and Submitted for decision and the Court having had the Same under advisement - Now on this 17th day of April A.D. 1881, the Court having fully Considered Said Cause and being fully advised in the premises - It is ordered adjudged and decreed by this Court that the Judgment in favor of plaintiff and the order denying defendant a New trial be and the Same are hereby reversed, Vacated and Set aside, and the Cause Remanded to the Court below for New trial and further proceedings -

Chief Justice Hench rendering decision, associate Justices Porter and Stilwell Concurring

A. M. Smith Plf & Respt
vs
Golden Era Mining Company
Dfts & appelts

This Cause having been argued and submitted, and the Court having had the Same under advisement, and being fully advised, it is this day by the Court ordered and adjudged that the judgment of the Court below be, and the Same is hereby affirmed -

Chief Justice French rendering decision - associate Justice Porter Concurring - and associate Justice Stilwell dissenting

Territory of Arizona Ex Rel
L. K. Thompson Plf & Respt.
vs.
W. A. Werninger et al
Dfts & appelts

This Cause having been fully argued and submitted, and the Court having had the Same Under advisement, and being fully advised - it is this day by the Court Ordered and adjudged, that the Judgment of the Court below be and the Same is hereby Reversed, and the Case Remanded to the Court below for New trial -

Decision by associate Justices Porter and Stilwell - Chief Justice French expressing no opinion

Robert H. Paul
Contestant & Respt
vs.
Charles A. Shibell
Contestee & appelt

On motion of Earll & Smith & Campbell & Robinson of Counsel for the Respondent R. H. Paul, to dismiss the appeal in this Cause on the grounds of want of Jurisdiction in this Court to hear and determine the appeal, and after hearing Counsel for

parties respectively, and the motion having been fully heard and Considered by the Court, it is Ordered and adjudged that the appeal in this Cause be and the Same hereby is dismissed on the ground that this Court has no jurisdiction of the Matter there being in the Opinion of a Majority of this Court. No appeal by law from the proceedings of the Court below in this Case.

And it is further Ordered that the Remittitur issue forthwith-

Mr associate Justice Stilwell Rendering decision.

Mr associate Justice Porter Concurring -

Mr Chief Justice French Expressing no opinion -

all Stay of proceedings denied-

Court adjourned until tomorrow april 13th 1881. at 10. Oclock A.M.

Chas G. W. French
Chief Justice

Court met april 13th 1881. at 10. Oclock A.M. pursuant to adjournment-

Present Hon C G. W. French
Chief Justice-
Hon D.E. Finch Porter and } Associate
Hon W.H. Stilwell .. } Justices.
U.S. Marshal by deputy and Clerk-

Eckendorf Nos appelts }
vs
Mungia etal Respts }

This cause having been fully Argued and Submitted- and the Court having had the Same under advisement- and being fully advised, it is this day by the Court Ordered and adjudged that the order of the Court below granting new trial be reverses, and that the judgment of the Court below be, and the Same is hereby affirmed-

Decision rendered by Mr associate Justice Stilwell, Mr associate Justice Porter Concurring - Mr Chief Justice French dissenting = it is ordered that the rule limiting Cos. to 1. ins. ments to each be abrogated-

accounts against the Territory were presented, Examined by the Court, approved and ordered paid out of the Territorial general fund, as follows. to wit; account in favor of Yavapai County. for Services of Janitor, fuel furnished and use of Court Room for January term 1881. of the Supreme Court 7 days @ $5.00 per day - $35.00

L. H. Orme. Sheriff of Maricopa County A.T. for Services attending on Supreme Court at Phoenix A.T. at Special April term 1881. 9. days as Crier @ $5.00 per day - $45.00

Court took a recess until 2. O'clock this P.M. at which time it met with present

Hon C.G.W. French -
Chief Justice
Hon D.F. Forsh Porter
associate Justice and
Officers as at recess -

And adjourned without day -

Charles G. W. French
Chief Justice

The Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona Met Monday January 2nd 1882. at 10. oclock am. pursuant to Law.

Present Hon C. G. W. French Chief Justice
Hon W. H. Stilwell Associate Justice.
Murat Masterson assistant U.S. attorney,
C. P. Dake U.S. Marshal - by C. A. Dake
deputy - and William Wilkerson Clerk.

and adjourned until tomorrow January 3rd 1882. at 10. O'clock a.m.

Chief Justice.

Court Met Tuesday January 3rd 1882.
at 10. O'clock a.m. pursuant to adjournment.

Present Hon C. G. W. French, Chief Justice,
Hon W. H. Stilwell, associate Justice.
assistant U.S. attorney -
U.S. Marshal by deputy and
clerk.

In the Matter of the application of William H. McGrew. to be admitted to practice as an attorney and Counselor in this Court

On Motion of J. P. Hargrave Esqr and presentation of affidavit as to Certificate of admission by the Courts of Kentucky and by the Supreme Court of the State of California -

It is by the Court ordered that said applicant William H. McGrew, be, and he is admitted to practice as an attorney and Counselor in this Court, on taking the proper oath and signing the Roll of attorneys -

Territory of Arizona
Plf & Respt
vs
John W. Berry
Dft & Appelt
Set for hearing at 2. o'clock this P.M.

Territory of Arizona
Plf & Respt
vs
Alfredo Brown
Dft & Appelt
Set for hearing at 2. Oclock this P.M.

E. A. Dike
Plf & Respt
vs
W. H. Southerland
Dft & Appelt.
Set for hearing tomorrow January 4th 1882.

James Burnett
as assignee Plf & Respt
vs
Daniel H Ming et als
Dfts & Appelts.
Passed

Daniel Johnson et al
Plfs & Respts
" " vs
John McLaughlin et al
Dfts & Respts.
Passed

Court took a recess until 2. O.clock this P.M.

Court met at 2 O'clock P.M. with
Present. Hon C.G.W. French Chief Justice,
Hon D.S. Forest Porter } Associate Justices,
& W.H. Stilwell -
and officers as at Recess —

Territory of Arizona
Plff & Respt
vs
John H. Berry
Deft & Appellt

This Case being Called for hearing - and respective Counsel being present J.P. Hargrave & C.B. Rush Esqrs representing respondent and Murat Masterson Esqr representing Appellant -

J.P. Hargrave Esqr Moved the Court to Strik out all the record following the Judgment Roll, which Comprises that portion of the record purporting to Contain a Statement of the testimony - Motion argued by J.P. Hargrave Esqr in Support of, and by Murat Masterson Esqr against - and being fully argued, the Motion was by the Court sustained, and the Judgment, and order of the Court below denying Motion for a New trial affirmed - and the Court, or Judge, below directed to fix the day of Execution -

Associate Justice Stilwell, rendering Decision - Chief Justice French, and Associate Justice Porter Concurring -

ordered that Remittitur issue forthwith

Court adjourned until tomorrow January 4th 1882. at 10. O'clock a.m.

Charles G.W. French
Chief Justice

Court Met Wednesday January 4th 1882
at 10. O-clock am, pursuant adjournment-
Present Hon L.G. W. French
Chief Justice
" Hon DE Forest Porter } associate
Hon W.N. Stilwell } Justices.
Assistant U.S. attorney-
U.S. Marshal by deputy and
Clerk.

E.A. Sage
Plff & Respt
vs
W.H. Southerland
Dfdt & appelt

hearing Continued to adjourned term by Consent of respective parties"

E Reynolds
Plff & Respt
-vs-
Samuel Franklin &
Fleming Franklin
Deft & appelt

Petition for rehearing
denied,

Catharine Alexander
Plff & Respt
vs
The Peck Mining Company
Deft & appelt

Petition for re-hearing
denied,

Amos M. Smith
Plf & Respt
vs
Golden Era Mining Co
Dft & Appelt

Petition for re-hearing granted.

and Now Comes Clark Churchill Esqr and Moved the Court that the following words which are printed on page 2 in paragraph II of appellants petition for rehaving in the above entitled Cause, Namely;

"That said Certificate of Service was purposely and designedly Omitted from the transcript for the purpose of preventing the Court from Examining and passing upon the question of plaintiffs right to Maintain this action," be Stricken out and Expunged from the said petition and the files of this Court on the grounds;

First, That said words are Scurrilous. undignified and Contrary to the rules of decorum which should guide and govern the practice and Manners of this high Court and its officers.

Second That said words are Entirely redundant and immaterial to the Cause, which Motion having been argued and opposed by J. P. Hargrave. Esqr Counsel for appellant, was Submitted to the Court for decision, and the Court thereupon granted said Motion, and ordered that said words be and the same are hereby Expunged from and Stricken out of said petition and the files of this Court.

And Now the Case being Called for rehearing was argued by J. P. Hargrave Esqr for appellant followed by Clark Churchill Esqr for Respondent. further argument Continued until tomorrow January 5th 1887, at 10 Oclock A.M.

Territory of Arizona
Plf & Respt
vs
Alfredo Brown
Deft & appelt
} hearing continued to adjourned term, by consent of respective counsel.

Court adjourned until tomorrow January 5th 1882. at 10. o'clock a.m.

Chief Justice

Court Met Thursday January 5th 1882. at 10. O'clock a.m. pursuant to adjournment Present Hon C.G.W. French Chief Justice, Hon D.C. Forest Porter, and } associate Hon W.H. Stutwell } Justices Assistant U.S. Attorney — U.S. Marshal by Deputy — Clerk,

In Eckendorf and
Aldrich Stebinfield, Plfs
vs
Jesus Maria Mungia et al
Ocipula Mungia — Defts
} petition for re-hearing denied —

Catharine Alexander
Plf & Respt
vs
The Pres. Mining Company
Deft & appellt
} It is by the Court Ordered that Remittitur issue forthwith —

Levi Bashford et al
Appelts
vs
Warren E. Day et al
Respts

This Cause having been argued and submitted for Consideration and decision - and the Court having had the Same under advisement, and having duly Considered the Same, and being fully advised, - It is this day by the Court, Ordered and adjudged, that the Judgment of the Court below be and the Same is hereby affirmed -

Chief Justice French rendering decision. Associate Justice Porter Concurring. and associate Justice Stilwell expressing no opinion -

Amos M Smith
Plf & Respt
vs
Golden Era Mining Co
Dft & appelt.

Respective parties being present in Court by Counsel - argument of Case Was resumed by Leland Churchill Esqr for Respondent, followed by J. P. Hargrave Esqr for Appellant, Closing the Argument, and being fully Argued - the Case was Submitted with leave to respective parties to file authorities in ten (10) days -

It is hereby Ordered that records of Cases to be heard at the adjourned term of this Court, be filed with the Deputy Clerk - to be hereafter appointed - at Tucson, on or before the 6th day of February 1882.

Territory of Arizona
Plaintiff and Respondent
vs
Alfredo Brown
Defendant and Appellant

On motion of Clark Churchill Esq in behalf of the Defendant and appellant in the above entitled Cause, and upon the transcripts on file and it appearing that an appeal has been taken from a judgment of death therein;

It is Ordered that the Execution of said Judgment be and the same is hereby Stayed and suspended until the said appeal is heard and determined, and the Sheriff of Maricopa County Arizona is hereby directed to suspend the Execution of said Judgment until the said appeal shall have been heard and determined.

In the Matter of the Times of holding Courts in the several Districts of this Territory

Until the further order of the Judges of this Court the terms of the several District Courts of this Territory shall be held at the times and places hereinafter Mentioned.

First Judicial District
On the Second Monday in March and on the Second Monday in September at Tucson Pima County.

Second Judicial District
on the First Monday in April and on the Second Monday in October at Phoenix Maricopa County.

Third Judicial District.
On the First Monday in June and on the First Monday in November at Prescott Yavapai County.

The termination of said terms of Court, is to be determined by the presiding Judge thereof, without regard to the occurrence of terms in other Counties of said District.

C. G. W. French
Chief Justice
DE Forest Porter
Associate Justice
W. H. Stilwell
Associate Justice

Whereas it appears to us, the Chief Justice and associate Justices of the Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona, that the business now pending in said Court, will be expedited by the holding of an adjourned term of said Court, at the City of Tucson A.T.

Therefore by virtue of the authority conferred upon us, by Section Nineteen hundred and thirty four of the Revised Statutes of the United States -

It is Ordered that an adjourned term of the Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona be held at the City of Tucson, in the County of Pima, Arizona Territory. Commencing Monday February 13th 1882, at the hour of 10. o'clock a.m. of said day.

It is further ordered that a Copy of this Order be entered by the Clerk upon the Minutes of the Supreme Court -

Made and Signed by us this January 5th 1882. and during the Session of the Regular January term 1882, of the Supreme Court for said Territory

C. G. W. French C. J.
DE. Forest Porter A. J.
W. H. Stilwell A. J.

Whereas. an account of William Wilkerson Clerk of the U.S. Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona for official Services as therein Stated (rendered as Deputy Clerk). having been Submitted by the assistant U.S. attorney of this Court. for approval. and it appearing to the Satisfaction of the Court. by the Oath of the said Clerk. upon the account. that the Services have been actually and Necessarily performed as therein Stated. and the Charges appearing to be just and according to Law-

It is hereby Ordered that said account amounting to One hundred and fifty ($150.00) Dollars. be and the Same is hereby approved-

Done in open Court. in the presence of Murat Masterson Esqr. assistant U.S. attorney. on this 5th day of January A.D. 1882.

Whereas. an account of William Wilkerson. Clerk of the U.S. Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona. for official Services as therein Stated. having been Submitted by the assistant U.S. attorney of this Court. for approval. and it appearing to the Satisfaction of the Court. by the Oath of said Clerk upon the account. that the Services have been actually and Necessarily performed as therein Stated. and the Charges appearing to be just and according to Law-

It is hereby Ordered that said account amounting to One hundred and Nineteen dollars ($119 00/100) be and the Same is hereby approved-

Done in Open Court. in the presence of Murat Masterson Esqr. assistant U.S. attorney on this 5th day of January A.D. 1882.

Wm Zeckendorf and Staab
plfs & Respts
vs.
L. Zeckendorf and Albert Steinfield
Defendants & Appellants } Petition

for re-hearing denied ———

Court adjourned, to meet at Tucson, Pima County A.T. on Monday the 13th day of February 1882. at 10. O'clock A.M. of that day.

Chief Justice.

Court met pursuant to adjournment at Jackson A.D. Monday February 13th A.D. 1882. at 10. Oclock A.M.

Present:

Hon. C.G.W. Enoch — Chief Justice
Hon. E. Foote Fontaine — Associate Justices
Hon. W.H. Holmes
E.B. Pearson — U.S. Dist Atty
J.W. Coombs — U.S. Deputy Marshal
G.A. Glenn — Deputy Clerk
R.H. Paul — County Sheriff

In the matter of H.L. Smith Esq
application to practice as an
Attorney at this Bar.

On motion of Judge Holmes, and on presentation of his Certificate of admission to the Supreme Court of the State of Mississippi it was ordered, that upon taking the proper oath, said applicant be admitted, as an Attorney and Counsellor at Law in this Court.

In the matter of W.H. Calvert Esq
application to practice as an Attorney
at this Bar.

On motion of Hugh Farley Esq and on presentation of his Certificate of admission to the Supreme Courts of the States of California and Nevada, it was ordered that upon taking the oath as prescribed by Code, said applicant be admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counsellor at this Court.

In the matter of C.C. Stephens Esq
application to practice as an Attorney
in this Court.

On motion of Charles Allen Esq and upon presentation of his Certificate of admission to the Supreme Court of the State of California it was ordered that

upon the Taking the proper oath and applicants be admitted to practice as Attorney and Counsellor at Law, in this Court.

Upon application, the Court appointed Messrs Truman Ball, Hugh Farley, John Hayes N.C. Dacon and J.W. Fabrickius a Committee to examine all applicants for admission to practice as Attorneys and Counsellors at Law, took such certificates, and report at this Earliest convenience.

E. A. Lake
Plff & Respt.
vs
W. H. Courtland
Defdt & Appelt.

Upon application N. L. Routh Esq was substituted as Attorney of record for Defdt & Appelt in place of G H Terry Esq Messrs Lewis McCabe & Morgan Clark & Stevens, for Plff & Respt in place of W. H. French.

The Criminal and Civil Calendars were called and the Attorney divisions were made. Vide f. 1.

Territory of Arizona
Plff & Respt.
vs
Alfred Brown
Deft & Appelt.

The hearing of this cause was set for Tuesday, this date,

Tenicy of Arizona
Plff & Respt
vs
B. T. D. Ryle
Deft & Appellt
} The hearing of this
15. cause was set for Wednesday February
15th at 10 o'Clock A.M.

David P. Wheeler Etal
Plffs & Appellts
vs
15 Edward Field Etal
Deft & Respt
} Upon application
Messrs Earll & Veeder were entered as Associate
Counsel of record for Deft & Respt. and
the hearing of the cause was set for Monday
Feby 15th at 2 o'Clock P.M.

E. T. Ryle
Plff & Respt
vs
W. F. Counterlaus
Deft & Appellt
} The hearing of this
cause was set for Tuesday Feby 14th
at 10 o'Clock A.M.

Lewis Bennett Assignee
Plff & Respt
vs
David H. Mieg et al
Deft & Appellt
} The hearing of
this Cause was set for Thursday
February 16th at 10 o'Clock A.M.

David Johnson Etal
Plff & Respt

vs

John M. Laughlin Etal
Defts & Apfelt

} In the matter of this cause coms on for hearing Friy 14th at 2 Oclock P.M.

James Reidy
Plff & Apfelt

vs

County of Yuma
Deft & Respt

} In the matter of this cause coms on for hearing Friy 16th at 10 Oclock A.M.

Territory of Arizona ex rel
relation of John M. Miller
Plff & Apfelt

vs

Lyttleton Price
Deft & Respt

} In the matter of this cause coms on for hearing Friy 16th at 10 Oclock A.M.

The Grand Canal Co.
Plff & Respt

vs

Charles Cisney
Deft & Apfelt

} In the matter of this cause coms on for hearing Friy 16th at 10 Oclock A.M.

Peter Maunder
Pff & Appth
vs
L. P. Gabriel
Deft & Respt

This Cause came on for Hearing, upon the
Pff's Motion & Affidavit for Publication of
Notice of the Pendency of this Action, and upon
Certificate of the Clerk thereof, it appearing that the
Defendant herein, is not a Resident of this
State.

C. M. Bullard et al
vs Pff & Appth
J. W. V. Gardner et al
Deft & Respts

This Cause coming on for Hearing upon the Motion of John Jaynes Esq, and upon Certificate of the Clerk thereof, it appearing that the Defendants herein, are not Residents of this State.

Territory of Arizona
Pff & Respt
vs
Alfredo Brown
Deft & Appelt.

This Cause coming on regularly for Hearing at 2 o'clock P.M. A. W. Fenton Esq appearing for Respondent, and Messrs Berger appearing for Appellant. After argument of the Counsel by the respective Counsel the Cause was Submitted and taken under advisement.

On Motion of A. W. Fenton Esq

It is Ordered that Thomas Hayden Esq be admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counsellor at Law in this Court.

On Motion of A. W. Fenton Esq

It is Ordered that Thomas Hayden Esq be admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counsellor at Law in this Court.

On Motion of Charles Elliott Esq and upon affidavit of Application, it appearing that upon passing the usual Examination prescribed by Law said Applicant be admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counsellor at Law in this

On the motion of James
W. Davis Esq an applicant
to practice at this Bar.

On motion of Judge
Elliott, and upon presentation of his
certificate of admission to practice in
the Supreme Courts of the State of New
Jersey, it was ordered that upon taking
the proper oath he be admitted to
practice as an Attorney and Counsellor
at Law, in this Court.

In pursuance of the Court Act to provide for which to hold this Court has been provided by the Legislature together with the attendance such Clerks and Bailiffs, the Sheriff of this County - R.D.Paul is hereby directed to provide such room, attendance, fuel lights and stationery and that the expense thereof be paid out of the Territorial Treasury, pursuant to the provisions of section Five Chapter Forty five Compiled Laws of the Legislature of Arizona -

Court adjourned until Tuesday February 14th at 10 o'clock A.M.

Attest
L.A. Claud
Deputy Clerk

C. F. W. Hooker
Chief Justice

Court met pursuant to adjournment
Tuesday February 14th at 10 o'clock A.M.
A.D. 1882.

Present
Hon. C.C. Hutchinson - Chief Justice
Hon. De Forest Porter - Esq
Hon. W.H. Stillwell - Associate Justices
E.B. Penney
J.W. Conrad
R.D. Pratt
G.W. Clinch
U.S. Marshal
U.S. Deputy Marshal
Sheriff
Deputy Clerk

Minutes of February 13th read
and approved.

On the motion of W.A. Smith Esq
admission as an Attorney at
this Bar.

On motion of C.C. Hutchinson
Esq. and upon affidavit filed, it was
ordered that upon taking the proper oath
said applicant be admitted to practice
as an Attorney and Counselor at Law
in this Court.

On the motion of E.D. Strong Esq
an applicant for admission at
this Bar.

Upon motion of E.B. Penney Esq
and upon affidavit of applicant filed,
it was ordered that upon taking the proper
oath said applicant be admitted to
practice as an Attorney and Counselor
at Law, in this Court

E.A. Burke
Clk & Recpt

W.H. Chamberlain
Dept Clk.

This Ca

Cause coming regularly for hearing
C. C. Stephens Esq representing the
Plff & Respt and Horace L. Leverett Esq
representing the Defts and Respts
After argument of the Cause
the same was submitted and taken
under advisement

James Burnett Assignee
Plff & Respt
vs
Daniel D. King
Deft & Respt
and
This Cause came
on regularly for hearing
Joseph Hartley Esq appear-
ing for Plff & Respt and Benj: Morgan
Esq for Deft & Respt
After partial argument
by the respective Counsel the Cause
was continued until Wednesday Feby
15th at 10 o'clock A.M

Court adjourned until Wednesday
February 15th at 10 o'clock A.M

Attest
Geo. A. Lamm
Deputy Clerk

Charles G. D. Stewart
Chief Justice

Court met pursuant to adjournment Wednesday February 15th at 9 o'clock A.M. A.D. 1882.

Present.

Hon. C.G.W French — Chief Justice
Hon. D.E French Esqr
Hon. Edw. Fulwood — Associate Justices
E.B. Penney — U.S. Dist Atty
H.E. Connell — U.S. Deputy Marshal
R.S. Paul — County Plaintiff
H.H. Clune — Deputy Clerk

Minutes of February 14th read and approved.

James Burnett — assignee. Plff & Respt
vs
David H. Ming — Deft & Appellt

Counsel for the respective parties being in attendance — the matter coming on for the consideration of the Court upon the Return to the Writ of Certiorari issued herein and the Argument of the Counsel aforesaid, it is ordered by the Court that the decision of the Court below be affirmed.

David Johnson Etal — Plffs & Respts
vs
John McLaughlin Etal — Defts & Appellts

This Cause coming on regularly for hearing before the Court upon the Return to the Writ of Certiorari issued herein and the Argument of Counsel aforesaid, it is ordered by the Court that the decision of the Court below be affirmed.

Counsel were heard in their arguments one hour, to which hour the Chief Justice directed. After argument by the respective Counsel the cause was submitted and taken under advisement.

Territory of Arizona
Plff & Respt
vs.
B.W. Pyle
Deft & Appellt

This cause came on for hearing Alex Campbell Esq appearing for Deft & Appellt, the Territory and Respt not being represented. The argument on behalf of Deft & Appellt was had and submitted, and the further argument of the cause on the part of Plff Respt was continued until Feby 16th

Court adjourned until Thursday February 16th at 10 o'clock A.M.

Attest Geo. C. Chase
Deputy Clerk

Charles G. Wilson
Chief Justice.

Court met Pursuant to adjournment
Thursday February 16th at 10 o'Clock
A.M. A.D. 1882.

Present

Hon. C.G.W. French — Chief Justice.
Hon. A.E. Horrell Porter — Assistant Justice
Hon. W.H.H. Stockwell — Assistant Justice
E.J.B. Pinney — U.S. Clerk & Atty
J.W. Evans — U.S. Deputy Marshal
R.H. Paul — County Sheriff
J.M. Clum — Deputy Clerk

Minutes of February 15th read and approved.

B.M. Jacobs — Plff & Appt
vs
Albert Steinfeld — Deft & Respt

and

A.M. Hutton — Plff & Respt
vs
Matt Mahony — Defr & Appt

The questions herein are as to the Certificates of the Clerk of the Court below, after presentation were submitted and taken under advisement.

David J. McGee et al
Plffs & Appellants.
vs
Edward Field et al
Defts & Respts.

This Cause coming on for hearing, James Reilly Esq appearing for Plaintiffs and F. M. Quist Esq appearing for Defendants. Whereupon the argument of the Counsel, the Cause was submitted and taken under advisement.

James Reilly
Plff & Appellt
vs
The County of Yuma
Deft & Respt.

This Cause came on regularly for hearing James Reilly Esq appearing for Plaintiff and W. M. Alexander Esq for Defendant. Whereupon argument, the Cause was submitted and taken under advisement.

Territory of Arizona
Plff & Respt.
vs
R. W. Pyle
Deft & Appellt.

This Cause coming on for hearing from the Court, and there being no further argument, the Cause was submitted and taken under advisement.

In Entry of Appeal of the
relation of John H. Miller
Aff. & Appellt.
vs
Lyttleton Price
Defdt. & Respt.

Filed Decem 22nd
on regularly for Hearing
J. R. Courtland Esq
representing the Aff & Appellt and
Lyttleton Price Esq. appearing for the
Defdt & Respt

After the argument of the
Counsel the Cause and ~~argument~~ ~~and~~
~~hearing~~ Adjourned was Taken Under
Advisement.

In Grand Canal Co
Plff & Respt.
vs
Charles Crimmin
Defdt & Appellt.

Filed Decem 22nd on
Regularly for Hearing
A. C. Baker Esq
appearing for Defdt & Appellt, and
Rogers Locke & Kennedy for Plff &
Respt.

After ~~argument~~ argument of
the Counsel ~~the Cause~~ Cause
Submitted Decision reserved.

In the Matter of
Chalmers Scott Esq
Attorney at Law
Ally at Law

On motion of
C Littleton Esq and upon affidavit
filed, it was ordered that upon
subscribing the proper oath of

prescribed by law, such applicant be admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counsellor at Law in this Court.

Court adjourned until Friday May. 17th at 10 o'clock A.M.

Attest

L. L. Lewis

Deputy Clerk

E. G. Dr. Secrest

Chief Justice.

Court met pursuant to adjournment
Friday July 14th at 10 o'clock A.M.
A.D. 1882.

Present.

Hon. C.G.W. Veach - Chief Justice
Hon. A.C. Ferris - Associate
Hon. L.P.B. Stilwell - Justices
C.B. Pomeroy
J.W. Cozad
R.H. Paul
J.A. Clum

U.S. Dist. Atty
U.S. Deputy Marshal
County Sheriff
Deputy Clerk

Minutes of February 16th
read and approved.

The Committee heretofore appointed by the Court to Examine Applicants, not made on certificates, for admission to practice at this Bar, having made their report through their Chairman Judge Charles Silent, and recommending that the Court Examine said Applicant in Open Court, it was ordered that said Examination be set for July 18th at 10 o'clock A.M.

In Grand Canal Co.
Plff & Respt
vs
Charles Crismon
Deft & Appellt

The respective Counsel being in attendance; After the Conclusion of the Argument the Cause was submitted and by the Court Taken under advisement.

Pedro Hernandez
Plff & Appelt.

J. P. Gabriel
Deft & Respt.

This cause
came on regularly for hearing
John Haynes Esq
appearing for Appelt. and Joseph
Hartley Esq for Respt.
After argument was
had the cause was submitted and
taken under advisement

Court adjourned until Saturday
February 18th at 10 o'clock A.M.

Attest. F. A. Auer
Deputy Clerk

Charles E. W. Pennock
Chief Justice

Court met pursuant to adjournment Saturday Feby 18th at 10 o'clock A.M. A.D. 1882.

Present

Hon. C.G.O. Brunch Chief Justice
Hon. A.E. Frost Pobin. Esq Associate Justice
Hon. W.F. Steward Associate Justice
E.B. Ramsey U.S. District Atty
J.V. Cipps U.S. Deputy Marshal
R.L. Paul County Sheriff
L.A. Clum Deputy Clerk

Minutes of February term read and approved.

Amos M. Leach
Plff & Respt.
vs
Golden Eagle Mining Co.
Deft & Appelt.

This cause having been heretofore argued and submitted and by the Court taken under advisement, and being fully considered it is now ordered and adjudged that the judgment and order of the Court below be and the same is hereby affirmed.

Chief Justice Brunch rendering the decision, Associate Justice Pobin concurring and Associate Justice Steward dissenting.

Wm Zeckendorf & Z. Abbott
Plff & Respt.
vs
L. Zeckendorf & Albert Chipped
Deft & Appelt

for rehearing is denied, and
prohibition ordered forthwith.

Territory of Arizona
Plff & Respt.
vs.
R. V. Pyle
Deft & Appellt.

This Cause having been heretofore argued and submitted and after due deliberation by the Court, it is hereby ordered and adjudged that the judgment of the Court below be and the same is hereby reversed; that the objections to the indictment be and hereby are sustained; that said indictment is hereby set aside; and that the defendant go hence without day.

Chief Justice Fenck rendering the decision Associate Justices Porter & Rulevel concurring.

Territory of Arizona
Plff & Respt.
vs.
Alfred Brown.
Deft & Appellt.

This Cause having been fully argued and submitted, and after full consideration thereof by the Court, it is ordered adjudged, that the judgment & order of the Court below be and the same hereby is affirmed, and the Judge order a day for the Execution herein.

Chief Justice Fenck rendering the decision and Associate Justices Porter & Rulevel concurring.

E. T. Taylor
Plff & Respt.
vs.
W. W. Southworth
Deft & Appellt.

This Cause coming on to be heard upon the Transcript of the Record and the arguments of Counsel for the respective parties, and the Court having taken time to consider thereof, and now this day delivering its opinion, It is considered by the Court that the Judgment of the Court below be affirmed with costs.

Chief Justice French delivering the opinion, Associate Justices Porter & Stillwell concurring.

James Reilly
Plff & Appellt.
vs.
County of Shasta
Deft & Respt.

This Cause coming on to be heard upon the Transcript of the Record and the arguments of Counsel for the respective parties, and having been submitted, and fully considered by the Court it was ordered and adjudged that the Appeal herein be and the same is hereby dismissed.

Chief Justice French delivering the opinion Associate Justices Porter and Stillwell concurring.

Daniel Johnson Et al
Plffs & Respts
vs.
John McLaughlin et al
Defts & Appellts

This Cause having been submitted and considered on the Transcript of the Record and Briefs of Counsel and after due consideration by the Court it is ordered and adjudged that the Judgment and Order of the Court below be and hereby is re-

the Cause remanded to the Court below for a New Trial.

Chief Justice French rendering the decision sworn Justices Porter and Blewett Concurring.

B. M. Jacobs
Plff & Appt.
vs
Albert Stumpf
Deft & Respt.

This matter having been presented submitted and considered it is ordered that the Motion herein be and hereby is overruled and the Appeal dismissed.

Chief Justice French rendering the decision sworn Justices Porter and Blewett concurring.

O. M. Sadler
Plff & Respt
vs
Mark Maloney
Deft & Appellt.

On this matter being fully presented and due consideration by the Court it is ordered that the Motion herein be sustained and the Appeal dismissed.

Chief Justice French, rendering the decision, sworn Justices Porter & Blewett concurring.

After filing the Report of the Committee appointed to Examine applicants for admission to practice in this Court, and after an Examination in open Court by Hoyt Wicks and Gregg Cusack the Court being fully satisfied as to the qualifications of said applicants, it was ordered that Wm. Sadler

the proper oath as prescribed by Law, said applicants be admitted to practice as Attorneys and Counsellors at Law in this Court.

In the matter of the application of Lucius P. Marsh Esq to practice in this Bar.

Upon motion of John Sawyer Esq and upon affidavit filed it was ordered that upon the proper oath, said applicant be admitted to practice as Attorney and Counsellor at Law in this Court.

In the matter of the application of Jno Van Voorhies Esq to practice at this Bar.

On motion of Alex. Campbell Esq and on presentation of his certificate of admission to the Supreme Court of the State of California, it was ordered that upon the proper oath, said applicant be admitted to practice as Attorney and Counsellor at Law in this Court.

Court adjourned until Monday February 6th at 3 o'clock P.M.

Attest.

E. D. A. Clute
Deputy Clerk

Chas. G. W. Frink
Chief Justice

Court met pursuant to adjournment
Monday February 20th at 3 o'clock P.M.
A.D. 1882.

Present

Hon. C.S.D. Franklin — Chief Justice
Hon. W.F. Gilmore — Associate Justice
E.B. Peavey — U.S. Dist. Atty
J.W. Tomo — U.S. Deputy Marshal
R.W. Paul
G.A. Clute

Court adjourned until Saturday
Feby 25th at 3 o'clock P.M.

Attest
T.A. Clute
Clerk

Chief Justice

Court met pursuant to adjournment
Saturday February 25th at 9 o'clock
A.M. - A.D. 1882.

Present -

Hon. C.G.C. Struck — Chief Justice
Hon. T.S.S. Stuart — Associate Justice
E.S. Conway — U.S. Dist. Atty.
D.W. Cooper — U.S. Deputy Marshal
A.W. Paul — County Sheriff
G.C. Dunn — Deputy Clerk

David Johnson Etal
vs Plffs Respts
vs
John McLaughlin Etal
Defts and Applts

Upon application by Earll Steele for respondents, it is hereby ordered that a stay of proceedings be and the same hereby is granted for the period of twenty days from the 25th day of Feby 1882

E.K. Sage
vs Respts
W.H. Chesterton
Applt & Respt.

Upon application of the Respondent Court ordered for twenty additional days.

Alexis A. Wheeler Etal
vs Applts & Respts
Edward Hill Etal
Respt Respts.

This Appeal

been heretofore argued and submitted, and after due consideration by the Court, it is ordered and adjudged that the order of the Court below be and the same hereby is affirmed.

Chief Justice Fraser reading the decision Associate Justice Stilwell concurring and Associate Justice Porter dissenting.

James Burnett Appellee
Plff & Respt
vs
Hamet Minz et al
Deft & Appellt.

This cause having been argued, submitted and duly deliberated, it is by the Court ordered, that the judgment and order of the Court below be and the same hereby is affirmed.

Chief Justice Fraser rendering the decision Associate Justice Stilwell concurred and Associate Justice Porter dissenting.

Upon application by Atty for Appellant a stay of proceedings were ordered for forty additional days

In the matter of W.C. Woodside Esq
Application as an Atty at Law Bar

The petition of William Van Tassel Esq. and after examination in open Court of the satisfaction of the Court as to the qualification of said applicant, it was ordered that upon the proper oath as prescribed by Law, and signing the roll of Attorneys and Counsellors the admission to practice as an Attorney and Counsellor at Law in this Court

Whereas; Robt Paul, Janitor in attendance in Court, has rendered his account for services upon said, at the adjourned Term 1882, in open Court in the presence of the Dist Atty, and has proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the services therein charged have been actually and necessarily performed and were proper to be performed and appear to be just and according to Law: It is hereby ordered that said account amounting to the sum of Eighteen dollars be and the same hereby is approved

Whereas; E.B. Penney Esq U.S. Dist Atty. has rendered his account for services and fees for the adjourned Term 1882, and has proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the services therein charged have been actually performed and appear to be just and legal; It is therefore ordered that said account amounting to the sum of Forty dollars be and the same hereby is approved

Whereas; Geo. Cloud Deputy Clerk of the Supreme Court of the County of Stevens has rendered an account of his Official services and fees at and during the adjourned Term A.D. 1882, in open Court and in the presence of the U.S. Dist Atty, E.B. Penney Esq, and has proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the services therein charged have been actually and necessarily performed and appear to be just and according to Law; It is therefore ordered that said account amounting to the sum of Forty dollars be and the same hereby is approved

Whereas; J.W. Lowe Deputy United States Marshal has rendered to the Court an account of his expenses for serving process and attending the sessions of this Court and his Commission in this behalf is to allowed by Law and for his account rendered for services performed at and during the adjourned Term 1882 of the United States Supreme Court for the District of Oregon held at the Court House and City of Portland in the presence of E.B. Penney U.S. Atty has proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the services therein charged have been

Clerks Costs and Charges Incurred & actually paid in lawful Money and Human Hair Charges Taxed to be just and according to Law—

It is Thereby ordered that the said Account Amounting to Twenty Six dollars be and the same is hereby Approved.

And by the Court ordered that Marat Masterson Esqr and Jo. Hereby is appointed Official Reporter according to Section 3. page 53. Acts of A.D. 1879. and it further ordered that the Sureties on the Bond of Ochoa U.S. Marshal be and they are hereby discharged—

Court adjourned until 10 o'clock a.m. tomorrow

Attest F.A. Owen
Deputy

C. G. W. French
Chief Justice

In the Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona

The Grand Canal Co Plff & Respt
vs
Charles Crismon Deft & Appellt } This cause having been argued and submitted, and the Justices of the said Supreme Court having had the same under advisement and being fully advised, it is this day by a Majority of the Justices of said Supreme Court, to wit, French Chief Justice and Porter Associate Justice, ordered and adjudged that the judgment and order appealed from be & the same is hereby affirmed—

Decision by French Chief Justice—Porter associate Justice concurring—and Stiles Associate Justice dissenting.
Stay of proceedings till further order—

French C. J.

The Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona. Met Monday January 1st 1882. at 10. O'clock a.m. pursuant to Law.

Present.

Hon C.G.W. French Chief Justice
Hon D. H. Pinney associate Justice
W.S. McPheeters assistant U.S. attorney,
J.W. Evans and F. S. Ingals
Deputy U.S. Marshals, and
William Wilkerson, Clerk.

And adjourned until tomorrow, Tuesday January 2nd 1883. at 10. O'clock a.m.

C. G. W. French
Chief Justice.

Court met. Tuesday January 2nd 1883. at 10. O'clock a.m. pursuant to adjournment,

Present

Hon C. G. W. French Chief Justice,
Hon D. H. Pinney associate Justice
W. S. McPheeters assist U.S. attorney.
J. W. Evans U.S. Deputy Marshal,
and Clerk.

In the Matter of the application of W.S. McPheeters Esqr to be admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court

On motion of J. P. Hargrave Esqr, and on presentation of a license from the Supreme Court of the State of California, and on proof of good Moral character. It is hereby Ordered the W.S. McPheeters Esq, be and he is hereby admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court, and in all the Courts of the Territory of Arizona and that a license issue to him accordingly upon his taking the Oath required by law. and signing the Roll of attorneys.

In the Matter of the application of Caleb Dorsey Esqr to be admitted to practice as an attorney and Counselor in this Court

On Motion of Clark Churchill Esqr. and on presentation of a license from the Supreme Court of the State of California, and on proof of good Moral Character It is Hereby Ordered that Caleb Dorsey Esqr, be and he is hereby admitted to practice as an attorney and Counselor in this Court, and in all the Courts of the Territory of Arizona, and that a license issue to him Accordingly upon his taking the Oath required by law, and Signing the Roll of attorneys.

In the Matter of the application of H. P. Dann Esqr to be admitted to practice as an attorney and Counselor in this Court —

On Motion of Clerk Churchill Esqr, and on presentation of a license from the Supreme Court of the State of California, and on proof of good Moral Character It is hereby Ordered that that H. P. Dann Esqr be and he is hereby admitted to practice as an attorney and Counselor in this Court, and in all the Courts of the Territory of Arizona, and that a license issue to him Accordingly upon his taking the Oath required by law, and Signing the Roll of attorneys

In the Matter of the application of William Groves Esqr to be admitted to practice as an attorney and Counselor in this Court —

On Motion of

A. C. Baker Esqr. and on presentation of a license from the Supreme Court of the State of California, and on proof of good moral character, It is hereby ordered that, William Graves Esqr. be and he is hereby admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court, and in all the Courts of the Territory of Arizona, and that a license issue to him accordingly, upon his taking the Oath and Signing the Roll of attorneys.

In the Matter of the application of Joseph N. Robinson to be admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court

The said applicant appearing in Court, and by J. P. Hargrave Esqr making application to be admitted to practice in this court, and it appearing to the Court that the said applicant has filed the proper and necessary certificate entitleing him to Examination as such applicant. It is therefore Ordered by the Court, that J. P. Hargrave, John A. Rush and D. P. Dunn Esqrs. Members of this Bar, be and they are hereby appointed a Committee to Examine said applicant as to his qualifications and learning in the law, and to make and report to this Court the result of their Examination at their Earliest Convenience.

Maria Lopez de Lopez
pltf & Respt
vs
The Central Arizona Mining Company - Defts appellt

Set for hearing tomorrow January 3rd. 1882. at 3 O'Clock P.M.

Bradshaw Milling Company appelt
vs
Abram S. Hewitt Respt

Let for hearing tomorrow January 3rd 1883.

Territory of Arizona Respt
vs
John Gage appelt

Case placed on Calendar on Motion of Clark Churchill Esqr and let for hearing tomorrow January 3rd 1883.

Territory of Arizona Respt
vs
Charles Ewing Appelt

Case placed on Calendar on motion of Clark Churchill Esqr and let for hearing tomorrow January 3rd 1883.

Territory of Arizona Respt
vs
Lewis McGinnis appelt

Case placed on Calendar on motion of Clark Churchill, Esqr and let for hearing tomorrow January 3rd 1883.

Territory of Arizona - Respt
vs
Hiram B. Potter appelt

Case placed on Calendar on motion of Clark Churchill Esqr and let for hearing tomorrow January 3rd 1883.

In the Matter of the application of Joseph G. Waldrip for a writ of Habeas Corpus.

A. C. Baker Esqr moved for permission to file petition for a writ of Habeas Corpus in this matter - permission granted, petition filed and argued by the said A. C. Baker Esqr.

Court adjourned until tomarrow January 3rd 1883 at 10 o'clock am.

C. G. W. French
Chief Justice

Court Met Wednesday January 3rd 1883. at 10. o'clock A.M. pursuant to adjournment -

Present
Hon C. G. W. French Chief Justice
Hon D. H. Pinney Associate Justice
W. D. McPheeters assist US attorney
U. S. Marshal by deputies
J. W. Evans & H. S. Engal -
and Clerk.

Territory of Arizona
Respt
vs
John Gage
appelt

This Cause being called for hearing, Messrs Churchill & Dunn Esqrs appearing for appellant - the Case was argued by F. P. Dunn Esqr for appellant - there being no argument offered on part of respondent - the case was submitted and taken under advisement -

Territory of Arizona
Respt
vs
Charles Ewing Respt

This Cause being called for hearing Messrs Churchill & Dunn Esqrs of C...

as Counsel for appellant, the Case was argued by D. P. Dunn Esqr for appellant = there being no argument on part of the Respondent = the Case was submitted and taken under advisement.

Territory of Arizona
Respt
vs
Lewis McGinnis
appelt

This Cause being Called for hearing, Messrs Churchill and Dunn Esqrs appearing as Counsel for appellant. = No Counsel appearing for Respt = the case was submitted on briefs and taken under advisement.

Cty Ct Gila Co.
Sept 11 - 14 1883

Court adjourned until tomorrow Thursday January 4th 1883. at 9. O. clock A.M.

D. G. W. French
Chief Justice

Court Met Thursday January 4th 1883. at 9. O. clock A.M. pursuant to adjournment.

Present -
Hon D. G. W. French, Chief Justice
Hon D. F. Pinney Associate Justice
W. D. McPheeters assist U. S. attorney,
U. S. Marshal by Deputies J. W. Evans & F. S. Ingals - and
Clerk -

Territory of Arizona
Respt
vs
Hiram B. Potter
appelt

On Motion of Clark Churchill Esqr. it is Ordered that this Cause be stricken from the Calendar, the same having been placed thereon by Motion of the said Clark Churchill Esqr by mistake.

Maria Lopez. de Lopez
Plf r Respt
vs.
The Central Arizona Mining
Company
deft r appelt

This Cause Came on regularly for hearing. A C. Baker Esqr Counsel appearing for plaintiff and respondent. Caleb Dorsey Esqr. Counsel appearing for defendant and appellant- the Case was fully argued by respective Counsel, and submitted to the Court, with leave to both parties to file additional Authorities in two (2) days-

Court took a recess until 3. O'clock this P.M.

Court Met at 3. O'clock this P.M. with Present as at recess- also present
W. W. Hoover.
associate. Justice.

The Bradshaw Milling
Company appelt
vs
Abram B. Hewitt
Respt

This Cause came on Regularly for hearing. Messers Churchill and Dunn Esqrs Counsel appearing for appellant, and Messrs Hargrave & McGrew Esqrs. Counsel appearing for respondent, the opening argument by H P. Dunn Esqr. for appelt was heard - further hearing in the Case Continued until tomorrow January 5th 1883. at 10. O'clock A.M.

George Lount Plf r Respt
vs
Linda H. Lount deft r appelt

Set for hearing tomorrow January 5th 1883.

M. W. Benson
Plff & Respt
vs.
J. H. Foreman etal
Defts & appellts
} Set for hearing tomorrow January 5th 1883.

add 10 - 5 14 85

Court adjourned until tomorrow Friday January 5th 1883. at 10. O. clock A.M.

C. G. W. French
Chief Justice

Court Met Friday January 5th 1883. at 10. O. clock A.M. pursuant to adjournment

Present.
Hon C. G. W. French - Chief Justice,
Hon D. H. Pinney } associate Justices -
Hon W. W. Hoover }
W. S. McPheters assist U.S. Attorney
U.S. Marshal by Deputy
Atty Clerk -

In the Matter of the Application of Joseph W. Robinson to be admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court

} J. P. Hargrave, John A. Rush and T. P. Dunn Esqrs. the Committee appointed by the Court to Examine the said applicant as to his qualifications and learning in the law, to be admitted "to practice" in this Court " Report that they have performed the duty assigned to them, and find said applicant qualified to be admitted as an Attorney and Counselor of this Court, and recommend his admission accordingly, and file their report -

Whereupon the Court through its Chief Justice and Each of its associate Justices proceed to Examine said applicant in

open Court as to his qualifications

~~Territory of Arizona~~
J. B. Southard
Plff & Respt
vs
Edward Field Dft & appelt } No 247.

J. B. Southard Plff & Respt
vs
Edward Field Dft & appelt } No. 309.

J. B. Southard Plff & Respt
vs
Edward Field Dft & appelt } No. 339.

J. B. Southard, Plff & Respt
vs
Edward Field, Dft & appelt } No. 381

Clark
Churchill Esqr. Counsel for appellant Moved the Court that the last four Cases. numbered respectively (in Dist Court) 247- 309-339 & 381- be set for hearing at some day during the present term of this Court, and that said Cases be heard at the present term of this Court. Motion denied

The Bradshaw Milling Company
appellant
vs
Abram S. Hewitt
Respt.

Argument of Case resumed
Was argued by W. H. McGrew & J. P. Hargrave Esqrs. for Respondent, and by J. P. Dunn Esqr for appellant. Cause [illegible]

And being fully argued the Case was submitted and taken under advisement,

M M. Brennen Plff & Respt
vs
J. A. Foreman, et al Defts & appelts

This Cause came on regularly for hearing A. C. Baker Esqr appearing for appellant, and William Graves Esqr. Counsel for Respondent, the Case was fully argued by respective Counsel, submitted and taken under advisement -

George Lount Plff & Respt
vs
Linda A. Lount, Deft & Appelt

This Cause Came on regularly for hearing, W. D. McPheters Esqr Counsel appearing for appellant and Charles B. Rush Esqr Counsel appearing respondent - argument of the Case was opened by W. D. McPheters Esqr for appellant - further argument Continued until tomorrow January 6th 1883.

Court adjourned until tomorrow Saturday January 6th 1883. at 10. O'clock A.M.

Chief Justice,

Court Met Saturday January 6th 1883. at 10. O'clock A.M. pursuant to adjournment

Present
Hon. A. G. R. French, Chief Justice,
Hon D. H. Pinney and } Associate Justices -
Hon H. M. Hoover
W. D. McPheters assist U.S. attorney
U.S. Marshal by J. M. Evans
& S. Ingals deputies -
And Clerk -

James Reilly
Plff & Respt
vs.
J. S. Clanx. M Gray
and R Gray - Defts & appellts

On Motion of Ed. W. Wells Esqr. and on presentation of the proper Notice and Certificate it is ordered that this appeal be, and the same is hereby dismissed -

George Lount Plff & Respt.
vs.
Linda H. Lount Deft & appellt

Argument being resumed, the case was argued by C. B. Rush Esqr. for Respondent and by W. S. McPheters Esqr. for appellant - and being fully argued, was submitted and taken under advisement -

The Tombstone Mill and Mining Company - Appellt
vs.
The Way Up Mining Company
& J. S. Clanx Respts.

And Now this 6th day of January A.D. 1883. On Motion of the appellants Attorney it is ordered that the Rule to show cause why an Injunction should not be granted by this Court pending this appeal be Continued until the 23rd day of February Next at Tucson, and that in the Mean while the restraining Order made by Mr Justice Pinney at Chambers be Continued in force until the hearing of said Rule to show Cause or the further order of the Court.

Court adjourned until Monday Next January 8th 1883. at 9 o'clock A.M.

C. H. W. French
Chief Justice

Court Met Monday January 8th 1883.
at 2. O clock Pm. pursuant to Adjournment.

Present
Hon C. G. W. French - Chief Justice.
Hon D. H. Pinney } associate
Hon W. W. Hoover } Justices.
W. S. McPhetters assist U.S. attorney.
U.S. Marshal by deputy.
And Clerk -

Daniel Johnson etal
Respts
vs
John McLaughlin etal
appelts

Re-hearing granted,

In the Matter of the application
of Joseph G. Waldrip for a
Writ of Habeas Corpus -

In this Matter, let the Writ issue, returnable on the 23rd day of February 1883, at Tucson in this Territory - Let the Writ require that the officer in Charge of the petitioner embrace in his Return to the Writ, a Certified Copy of the record of the trial of the petitioner, and all matters therewith Connected and appearing of record in the District Court of the 3rd Judicial District of the Territory of Arizona in and for the County of Mojave - Such writ will omit to Command the production of the body of the petitioner, and will provide directly that the petitioner Remain in Confinement in the Territorial Prison at Yuma until this Matter be fully terminated

Territory of Arizona
Respt
vs
John Gage appelt

It is ordered that the appellant produce and file in this Court, a certified Copy of the Evidence introduced at the original trial thereof before the 23rd day of February 1883. and that the clerk serve a Copy hereof upon his attorney -

In the Matter of the times and places of holding Courts in the several Districts of this Territory

Until the further Order of the Judges of this Court, the terms of the several district Courts of this Territory shall be held at the times and places hereinafter Mentioned

First Judicial district
On the Second Monday in March and on the Second Monday in November at Tucson, Pima County -

Second Judicial district -
On the First Monday in April and on the Second Monday in October at Phoenix Maricopa County.

Third Judicial District.
On the First Monday in June and on the First Monday in November at Prescott Yavapai County -

The termination of said terms of Court, is to be determined by the presiding Judge thereof, without regard to the Occurrence of terms in other Counties of Same District -

C. G. W. French Chief Justice
Wilson W. Hoover associate Justice
D. H. Pin[illegible]

Rule 38. On appeals from a Justices to a District Court where the same is dismissed without a trial, in Criminal Cases, the Clerk shal Receive One dollar for filing the papers on appeal and a One dollar for entering the Order and Judgment of dismissal -

In investigations before a grand jury of any Criminal Charge, where an indictment is not found, the Clerk of the District Court, shall receive the sum of two dollars for all services rendered by him therein, Except as hereinafter stated -

In the foregoing Cases. the clerk shall in addition Receive one dollar for Examining Swearing and approving Sureties on any bail bond.

Whereas it appears to us, the Chief Justice and associate Justices of the Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona, that the business now pending in said Court, will be Expedited by the holding of an adjourned term of said Court, at the City of Tucson Arizona.

Therefore by Virtue of the Authority Conferred upon us by Section Nineteen hundred and thirty four of the Revised Statutes of the United States.

It is Ordered that an adjourned term of the Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona, be held at the City of Tucson, in the County of Pima Arizona Territory, Commencing February 23rd 1883. at the hour of 10. oclock a.m. of said day -

It is further Ordered that a Copy of this Order be entered by the Clerk upon the Minutes of the Supreme Court;

Made and Signed by us this January Eighth (8th) AD 1883. and adjourning the session of the regular January term 1883. of the Supreme Court.

for said Territory at Prescott.
C. G. W. Freiich C.J.
Wilson W. Hoover A.J.
D. H. Pinney A.J.

It is Ordered that the clerk deliver to the President of the Council and Speaker of the assembly a Copy of the order for an adjourned term of the Supreme Court at Tucson, and Call their attention to the fact that there is no provision for the Necessary & proper Expenses of holding the same

Robert Williams,
A Lawson & Robert. S. Wright
Plaintiffs
vs
Patrick Connelly
Defendant

On Motion of J. W. Davis Esqr. and on presentation of the proper Notice and Certificate, it is ordered that this appeal be, and the same is hereby dismissed.

It is ordered that one week before the Commencement of the Ensuing adjourned term, the Clerk Shall place on the Calendar all Causes in which transcripts Shall have been theretofore filed.

Whereas, an account of William Wilkerson, Clerk of the Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona, for official services as therein stated, having been submitted by the assistant U.S. Attorney of this Court, for approval, and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court, by the oath of the said Clerk, upon the account, that the services have been actually and necessarily performed as therein stated, and the charges appearing to be just and according to law

It is hereby Ordered that the said account amounting to Sixty ($60.00) Dollars be and the same is hereby approved -

Done - in Open Court in the presence of W. S. McPheeters Esq., assistant U. S. attorney on this 8th day of January A.D. 1883.

Charles G. W. French
Chief Justice Supreme Court
Arizona -
D. H. Pinney A. J.
Wilson M. Hoover - associate Justice.

Court adjourned to meet at Tucson Pima County A.T. on the 23rd day of February 1883. at 10. Oclock a.m. of that day -

C. G. W. French
Chief Justice.

Court met pursuant to adjournment at noon. on Friday February 25th A.D. 1853. at 10: oclock A.M.

Present

Hon. C.G.D. Finch Chief Justice
Hon - A.T. Finney and
Hon J.W. Terry Associate Justices
L.A. Jafrotte U.S. Dist Atty
J. Sidgel U.S. Marshal
W.J. Rand Sheriff
G.A. Close Deputy Clerk

In the matter of L.F. Louis Esqr
applicant to practice as an
Atty at this Bar.

Upon motion of J.W. Smith Esq and affidavit filed, it was ordered that upon taking the oath as prescribed by Law, said applicant be admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counsellor at this Bar

In the matter of J.C. Dibble Esq
to practice as an Atty. at this
Bar.

Upon motion of J.W. Smith Esq and affidavit filed, it was ordered that upon taking the oath, said applicant be admitted to practice as an Atty and Counsellor at this Court

In the matter of J.A. Andrews Esq
to practice as an Atty at this
Bar.

Upon motion of J.W. Smith Esq and affidavit filed it was ordered that upon taking the oath prescribed by Law said applicant be admitted to practice as an Atty and Counsellor at this Court

In the matter of Webster Street Esq an
applicant to practice at this Bar.

Upon motion of J.W. Smith Esq and presentation of Certificate of his admission to the Supreme Court of

ordered that upon proving the same to be proved by Card, Esq. applicant be admitted to practice as an Atty. and Counselor at the Bar.

In the matter of Maynard Esq
to practice at the Bar.

Upon motion of C. C. Stephens Esq and proof presentation of Certificate of admission to the Supreme Court of California, it was ordered, that upon taking the proper oath applicant be admitted to practice as an Atty. and Counselor at this Court.

In the matter of Thos Mitchell Esq
to practice at the Bar.

Upon motion of John Haynes Esq and upon affidavit filed, it was ordered that upon taking the oath as prescribed by law, said applicant be admitted to practice as an Atty. and Counselor at this Court.

In the matter of R. T. Hargrave Esq
to practice as an Atty. at the Bar.

Upon motion of C. C. Stephens Esq and upon presentation of Certificates of admission to the Supreme Courts of California and Nevada it was ordered, that upon taking the proper oath as prescribed by law said applicant be admitted to practice as an Atty. and Counselor at this Court.

The Calendar was called, and the following dispositions made, viz:

The Grand Canal Co. (Effected)
vs.
D. Curtis, Curran
Defendants.

The Stipulation of the parties

Mayflower Mining Company
Eff Eq Appeal
vs
Head Center Cons. Min Company
Aff Eq Appeal. } Cross

Appeal ordered Rentiers per Ass
Term

J. B. Saunders
Aff Eq Appeal
vs
Edward Hills
Aff Eq Appeal. }

J. B. Saunders
Aff Eq Appeal
vs
Edward Hills
Aff Eq Appeal. }

J. B. Saunders
Aff Eq Appeal
vs
Edward Hills
Aff Eq Appeal. } Cross

J. B. Saunders
Aff Eq Appeal
vs
Edward Hills
Aff Eq Appeal. }

Upon application of Attorneys for Rentiers, as Appellate Counsel, in behalf of Aff Appellants Respectful in each of the above Entitled Causes for Transcripts.

Lawrence E. Clark
Aff Appellant
vs
James Reilly
Aff Appellant. } Upon Application

Cause for Respondents, were allowed to argue Cause, without filing printed Briefs and case heard for today.

The Court upon its own motion, appointed, and it is hereby ordered, that Joseph L. Wheeler become Bailiff in attendance on this Court.

Chas F. Stine et al
Plffs & Appels.
vs.
Thos. J. Jeffords
Deft & Respt

Chas F. Stine et al
Plffs & Appels
vs.
Sidney R. Deering et al
Defts & Respts

Daniel Johnson et al
Plffs & Respts
vs.
John McLaughlin et al
Defts & Respts.

John Gage
Plff & Appel
vs
Territory of Arizona
Deft & Respt.

Chas Tandy
Plff & Appel
vs.
Territory of Arizona
Deft & Respt

Lewis McGinnis
Plff & Appel
vs
Territory of Arizona
Deft & Respt.

Cause ordered heard for today.

Comstock Mill Mining Company
Plaintiff Appellt
vs.
The Ray Use Mining Company
Defts & Respts

This Cause Came on to be heard on motion to show Cause. Upon application for additional Counsel, Messrs Earl Smith & Stephens were entered of record on the behalf of Respts.

~~Motion Upon Preliminary Objections~~

The preliminary objections on Motion to show Cause after argument was submitted & taken under advisement.

L. Jaccard et al
Plff & Respts
vs.
F. W. Gierisch et al
Defts & Appellt.

This Cause being announced ready, the same was continued until Saturday Feb. 24th

In the matter of Counsel Thos Mitchell Esq
in Contempt of Court.

Upon Motion of Assistant Justice W. A. Stevens, ~~Attorney~~ a fine of Forty dollars was imposed upon said Thos Mitchell Esq, for Contempt of Court.

Upon application Of Thos Mitchell Esq was granted leave to withdraw from the files of this Court, certain files upon motion for his admission to practice in this Court.

Court adjourned until Saturday Feb 24th to 10 o'clock A.M.

Chas G. W. French
Chief Justice

Attest
L. A. Kerr
Clerk

Court met Pursuant to adjournment
Saturday February 24th at 10 o'Clock
A.M. A.D. 1883.

Present
Hon. C. G. D. French - Chief Justice
Hon. D. A. Maury and
Hon. Gilson Wilson Associate Justice
J. J. Jacobs U.S. Dist. Attorney
F. W. Gilbert U.S. Marshal
C. T. Paul Interpreter
J. A. Ainsa Deputy Clerk

John Guyce Plff & Appellee
vs.
Territory of Arizona Deft & Respt

Chas Ewing Plff & Appellee
vs.
Territory of Arizona Deft & Respt

Lewis McGinnis Plff & Appellee
vs.
Territory of Arizona Deft & Respt

Upon Causes Came on To be heard on Petition of Respondents Attorneys Streak & Fry Appearing for Appellee and Appellee Price Esq for Respt

Upon said argument the said Causes were submitted and taken under advisement.

Miriam B. Patton
Plff & Respt
vs
Territory of Arizona
Respt & Appellt

This cause came on for hearing - Appellee Price Esq appearing on behalf of Respt - The appellant not being represented - The cause was argued on the part of respondent, submitted and taken under advisement

In Grand Canal Co
Plff & Respt
vs
Charles A Vivian
Deft & Appellt

This cause coming regularly for hearing - Upon application Judge Porter was entered of record as associate Counsel on behalf of Respondent Messrs Sweet & Kinney representing the Respt and A C Baker Esq the Appellt

After argument was had, the cause was submitted and taken under advisement

James L. Dawson
Plff & Respt
vs
George Vail
Deft & Appellt

Upon application of Counsel for Respt this cause was ordered to be placed on the Calendar

W. J. McCheeney Esq appearing for Respt the appellt not being represented. After argument on behalf of Respt the cause was submitted and taken under advisement

Appellt was allowed 20 days in which to file briefs and Respt allowed five days thereafter in which to reply

L. Fleckenstein Dep
Rudolph
F. Co, Cal
Respdt.

The hearing upon this
cause was continued until Feb 20th.

Court adjourned until Monday
February 20th at 10: Oclock A.M.

Attest
W. W. Clunie
Deputy

Chester G. A. Franck
Chief Justice

Court Met Pursuant to adjournment Monday February 26th A.D. 1883. At 10 o'Clock A.M.

Present

Hon. C. L. S. French - Chief Justice
Hon. D. A. Finney assd Justice
Hon. Tilleon W. Thomas Associate Justice
J. A. Gilpatrick U.S. Dist. Attorney
J. L. Tidball U.S. Marshal
R. L. Page Sheriff
T. A. Clum Deputy Clerks

In the Matter of Geo R Williams Esq
to practice at this Bar.

Upon motion of Atty. Campbell Esq and presentation of his Certificate of admission to the Supreme Court of Nebraska, it was ordered that upon taking the oath of office prescribed by Law, said applicant be admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor at this Bar.

In the matter of James S. Patterson Esq
to practice at this Bar.

Upon motion of Atty. Campbell Esq and presentation of Certificate of his admission to the Supreme Court of the United States, it was ordered, that upon taking the oath of office he be admitted to practice as an Atty. and Counselor at this Court.

A. Jeckendorf et al
Plff & Respt
vs
T. F. Cimarron et al
Defts & Appellants

This Cause coming on regularly for hearing. Messrs Ewell Smith & Stapleton counsel for Respts and Messrs Hayne and Ellis counsel for Appellants. After arguments of Counsel on both sides submitted ...

L. B. Southard Appt & Respt
vs.
Edward Field Dept & Respt

L. B. Southard Appt & Respt
vs.
Edward Field Dept & Respt

L. B. Southard Appt & Respt
vs.
Edward Field Dept & Respt

L. B. Southard Appt & Respt
vs.
Edward Field Dept & Respt

This cause came on regularly to be heard. L. B. Southard Esq appearing for Respt and J. Anderson Esq for Appelt. Respt moves for affirmation of Judgment below, after argument Cause submitted and taken under advisement

Court adjourns until Tuesday February 24th at 10 o'clock A.M.

Attest
C. C. Clay
Deputy

Charles G. N. Hamed
Chief Justice

Court met pursuant to adjournment Tuesday February 27th. A.D. 1883. at 10 o'clock A.M.

Present

Hon. C. G. O. Brunch - Chief Justice
Hon. A. A. Finney and
Hon. Gilson O. Hayes Associate Justices
L. A. Gaudinski U.S. Dist Atty.
J. A. Tidball U.S. Marshal
J. H. Lane Deputy Clerk

Southern Pacific R.R. Co.
Plff & Respt

vs.

L. A. True Tax Collector of Maricopa Co.
Deft & Appellt

Upon application of T. Farley Esq was entered of record as associate Counsel on behalf of Appellt and upon motion and by consent of Counsel It is ordered that the cause be submitted on printed briefs and that the Appellt have two days within which to file additional Points and authorities if so desired.

In the matter of Joseph Hardisty on petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus.

A. Baldwin Esq appearing for petitioner the people not being represented. After argument of Counsel the cause was submitted and by the Court taken under advisement.

Southern Pacific R.R. Co
Plff & Applt
vs.
Tax Collector
Deft & Respt.

This cause coming regularly on to be heard. Messrs. Hayes & Mitchell Counsel for Applt and Messrs Davis Dibble & Smith Counsel for Respt

Appellee's motion for continuance after argument was overruled and Ewing Roderick ruling Counsel for Appellee excepting and leave tendered and read their Bill of Exception to said order refusing a continuance cause for Right objected to the opening of the cause. The preliminary objection by respondent to Plaintiff on appeal after argument was submitted and taken under advisement.

A partial argument of the cause upon its merits was had and the cause continued until Feb. 28th.

Court adjourned until Wednesday February 28th at 9 o'clock A.M.

Attest

L.V. Dunn
Deputy.

Chapman G. McKeever
Chief Justice.

Court met pursuant to adjournment Wednesday February 25th. A.D. 1883. at 9 o'clock A.M.

Present

Hon. C. G. B French Chief Justice
Hon. D. V. Murray and
Hon. Gilbert A. Hamm Associate Justices
J. C. Lapinski U.S. Dist. Atty.
J. W. Kilbreth U.S. Marshal
J. N. Dunn Deputy Clerk

Lawrence M. M. Co.
Pltff & Appt.

vs.

Pray Wyse M. Co.
Deft & Respt.

A Jury in the cause coming had and the cause continued until Feb. 26th.

Court adjourned until Thursday ~~Feb 26th~~ at 9 A.M.

Attest
J. N. Dunn
Deputy

Charles G. B. French
Chief Justice

Court met pursuant to adjournment Thursday March 15th 1883 at 9 o'clock A.M.

Present

Hon. C. G. O. Finch — Chief Justice
Hon. A. A. Stuart and
Hon. Aileen A. Hamm — Associate Justices
J. P. Zabriskie — U.S. Dist. Atty.
J. M. Liddell — U.S. Marshal
T. A. Allen — Deputy Clerk

Henry Williams — Appt & Respt.
vs.
J. Muncy Bailey — Dept & Respt.
} Upon Application

This cause was submitted in accordance with stipulation filed. And Appellt. given ten days to reply to Respts briefs when filed.

Louisiana Mich & M. Co. — Appt & Respt
vs.
Maj Wm & M. Co — Dept & Respt
} Cause for the respective parties being present. A final argument of the cause was had and the cause submitted and taken under advisement.

Upon application Respt were given 10 days to file additional brief and Appellt 10 days thereafter to reply thereto.

Maurice F. Clark — Appt & Respt
vs.
Liam Reilly — Dept & Respt
} This cause came

regularly for Hearing. James Kirby Esq appearing for Appelt. And James A Lewis Esq for Respt. After argument of the cause the same was submitted and taken under advisement. Respt. was allowed 5 days to file additional briefs and Appelt 5 days to reply—

Court adjourned until Friday Morning March 2nd A.D. 1883. At 10 o'clock A.M.

Attest. L. F. Clum
Deputy

Charles G. B. Fitch
Chief Justice

Court met pursuant to adjournment Friday March 2d A.D. 1883. at 10. Oclock A.M.

Present

Hon. C. G. O. Lynch Chief Justice
Hon. D. A. Finney and
Hon. Giles A. Hunn Associate Justices
J. L. Robinson U. S. Dist Atty
F. G. Gilbert U.S. Marshal
F. A. Clum Deputy Clerk

In the Matter of J. C. Sides Esq
to practice in this Court

Upon Motion of C. R. Lovell Esq and upon presentation of Certificate of his admission to practice in the Supreme Court of Illinois it was ordered that upon taking the oath prescribed by law said Applicant be admitted to practice as an Atty and Counselor at this Bar.

George Leavitt
Respt

vs

Linda A. Leavitt
Appellt

This cause having been heretofore argued and submitted and being fully considered, it is now ordered and adjudged that the Judgment and decree appealed from be and the same is hereby affirmed.

Chief Justice Lynch rendering the decision. Associate Justices Finney & Hunn concurring.

Bradshaw Mill'g Co.
Appellant
vs
Aram J. Stewart Respt.

In this matter heretofore argued, submitted and fully considered, it is ordered and adjudged that the Order of the Dist. Judge and Order of the Dist Court appealed from be and the same are hereby affirmed.

Chief Justice French rendering the decision. Associate Justices Quinn and Sharon concurring.

M. M. Brumley
Respt.
vs
J. N. Freeman et al
Appts.

This matter having been heretofore argued, submitted & fully considered it is hereby ordered and adjudged that the judgment Decree and Order be and the same are reversed, and the cause is remanded for further proceedings.

Chief Justice French rendering the decision Associate Justices Quinn and Sharon concurring.

S. B. Southard
Respt.
vs
Edward Field
Appt.

Four Cases
Nos 247-309-339 & 387.

This cause having been heretofore argued, submitted & considered it is hereby ordered and adjudged that the judgments and Orders appealed from be and the same are affirmed.

Chief Justice French rendering the decision. Associate Justices Quinn and Sharon Concurring.

In the matter of Isaac Layne
for Writ of Certiorari —

Alf. Campbell Esq appearing
for Petitioner and Munro Smith Esq for Geo
Martin the Contestant. After the argument of
the Cause the same was submitted and by
the Court taken under advisement. It being agreed
by Counsel of respective parties that affidavits which motion for a Bill of Exceptions to be read and the accompanying stipulation be treated as a return to such writ.

Chas F. Stine et als
Plff & Appelt.
vs
Sidney R. DeLong et als
Deft & Respt

This Cause came on
regularly for hearing. J.B. Lambert Esq
appearing for Appt's and C.C. Stephens Esq
for Respts.

Service of Briefs herein was
acknowledged in open Court by the
respective parties — and it was agreed
that the Briefs filed by appelt. in Stine et al
vs. Dilgard should stand as Appelt. Briefs
in Stine et als. vs. Jeffords et als.

Appelt. preliminary motions to dismiss appeal;
to strike from transcript affidavits of Stine
Atwell & Gorge and Notice of substitution
of Attorneys, after presentation were submitted
and taken under advisement.

Partial argument of the
Cause was had and the Cause continued
until March 3rd A.D. 1883.

Court adjourned until Saturday March 3rd
at 10 o'Clock A.M.

Attest
J. A. Clum Dep. Clk.

Charles G. M. French
Clk. I.T.

Court met pursuant to adjournment
Saturday March 3rd A.D. 1883. Present
H.H.C.

Present

Hon. C.J.O. Fraser — Chief Justice
Hon. D.A. Finney — Asst
Hon. Wilson Anderson — Assoc. Justice
J.J. Johnston — H.T. Dist. Atty.
J.A. Tidwell — H.T. Marshal
J.F. Lowe — Deputy Clerk

In the matter of E.O. Riley Esq.
to practice in this Court.

Upon presentation of the matter by J.A. Anderson Esq the Court appointed Messrs Anderson Smith & Osborn a Committee to examine said applicant, and said Committee having reported favorably, and after examination in open Court, and the Court being satisfied as to the qualification of said applicant, it was ordered, that upon taking the oath prescribed by law, said applicant be admitted to practice as an Atty and Counselor at this Bar.

In the matter of M.J. Walsh and Thos D. Satterwhite to practice in this Court.

Upon motion of C.C. Stephens Esq the Court appointed Messrs Stephens Gregg and Campbell a Committee to examine said applicants, and said Committee having reported favorably, and after examination in open Court and the Court being satisfied as to the qualifications of said applicants, it was ordered, that upon taking the oaths prescribed by law, said applicants M.J. Walsh and Thos D. Satterwhite be admitted to practice as Attorneys and Counselors at this Bar.

Chas F. Stine et als
Appellants
vs
Thos J. Jeffords
Respt

Chas F. Stine et als
Appellants
vs
Sidney R. Dotson et al
Respts

The respective counsel being present in Court - After final argument the cause was submitted and the same taken under advisement.

Daniel Johnson et al
Respts.
vs.
John McLaughlin et al.
Appellants

This cause came on regularly for hearing - F. M. Smith Esq appearing for Respts - the Appellants not being represented - After argument upon the cause on behalf of Respondents the same was submitted & taken under advisement.

Court adjourned until Monday March 5th at 2 o'clock P.M.

Attest
Ed. C. Dunn
Deputy

Chas L. McNeely
Chief Justice

Court met Pursuant to adjournment
Monday March 5th at 2 o'Clock P.M
A.D. 1883.

Present

Hon. C. G. W. French Chief Justice
Hon. [illegible] Judge
Hon William C. Thorn Associate Justice
J. [illegible] U.S. Dist Atty.
J. C. Lidtke U.S. Marshal
T. A. Clum Deputy Clerk

There being no business before the Court the same adjourned until Tuesday March 6th at 2 o'Clock P.M

Attest T. A. Clum
Deputy

Charles G. W. French
Chief Justice

Court met pursuant to adjournment Tuesday March 6th A.D. 1883 at 3 o'clock P.M.

Present

Hon. C. G. O'Brien Chief Justice
Hon. D. N. Linney and
Hon. Wilson C. Vosburgh Associate Justices
H. J. Fabricius U. S. Dist. Atty.
J. L. Siebrecht U. S. Marshal
J. A. Clum Clerk

Minutes of Feb 23, 24, 26, 27, 28 & March 1, 2, 3, 5th were read, corrected (Page 336) and approved.

Lemmon M'f'g Co. Appellant

vs

Way & Co. Respt.

Upon application and by consent Respt. was allowed 30 days from date to file additional brief and Appellt 30 days thereafter in which to reply.

J. B. Saunders Respt.

vs

Edward Hiles Appellt.

Jam Can, Nos 247. 309-339. & 381, On application made on behalf of Respt by O.C. Skinner Esq. Plaintiff's case for want of cause dismissed and dismissed.

In the matter of Joseph O. Robinson to practice in this Court.

On motion Hanning been theretofore argued and submitted and duly considered by the Court, it is now ordered and adjudged that the judgment and order of the Court below, be and the same are hereby affirmed.

Chief Justice Granville reading the decision - Associate Justices Dunn and Cameron concurring.

John Goff
Appellant
vs
Territory of Arizona
Respt.

Chas. Ennis
Appellant
vs
Territory of Arizona
Respt.

Louis McInnis
Appellant
vs
Territory of Arizona
Respt.

Cons.

Abram B Taber
Appellant
vs
Territory of Arizona
Respt

This Cause coming
on to be further heard and taken under advisement
it is now ordered and adjudged, that the
judgment and order in the Court below, be
and the same are hereby reversed and
cause remanded for a new Trial.
Chief Justice French rendering
the decision, Associate Justice Sloan
and Kibbey concurring=

In the matter of Joseph Healdrip
on petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus.
This matter having been heretofore
argued, submitted and fully considered it is ordered
and adjudged, that the application for the writ
under the writ heard the same is hereby denied
and that the prisoner be remanded=
Chief Justice French rendering
the decision. Associate Justice Sloan and
Kibbey concurring=

L. Jacobowsky Atty
Respt
vs
T. O. Sloan Atty
Appellt

This cause coming
on to be further heard submitted and fully considered
it is ordered and adjudged, that the order
appealed from be and the same is hereby
reversed, with leave to respondent to amend
affidavit=
Chief Justice French rendering
the decision. Associate Justice Sloan concurring
Associate Justice Kibbey dissenting=

Whereas, Jas. S. Tibbetts Marshal of the United States for the District of Arizona has rendered to this Court an account of his Miscellaneous Services and Fees and Expenses from the January Term 1883 of Prescott of the Supreme Court of said Territory and items thereof and in favor of James A. Fabrizio Esq United States Atty for services rendered to the satisfaction of the Court that the services and disbursements charged have been actually and necessarily performed as such and that the disbursements charged have been fully paid in lawful money and vouchers and charges appearing to be just and according to law, It is hereby ordered that the said account amounting to Two Hundred and Seventy Dollars be and the same is hereby approved.

Whereas, Jas. V. Tibbetts Marshal of the United States for the Dist of Arizona has rendered to this Court account of his Fees and Expenses from and previous to the January Term 1883 of Prescott of the Supreme Court of said Territory and items thereof and in favor of James A. Fabrizio Esq United States Atty for services rendered to the satisfaction of the Court that the services and disbursements charged have been actually and necessarily performed as such and that the disbursements charged have been fully paid in lawful money and vouchers and charges appearing to be just and according to law, It is hereby ordered that the said account amounting to Two Hundred and Seventy Dollars be and the same is hereby approved.

Whereas James A. Fabrizio Atty of the U.S. for the Dist of Arizona has rendering an account of his fees and services from the January term 1883 at Prescott and adjourning from thence, of the Supreme Court of said Territory and the items thereof, has given oath to the satisfaction of the Court that the services and disbursements have been actually and necessarily performed and that the disbursements charges appear just and according to Law, it is hereby ordered that the said account amounting to Two Hundred and eighty Dollars, be and the same is hereby approved.

It Thomas, William Wilkinson Clerk Supreme Court of the Territory of Dejour Two Hundred and itemized account of his services in attendance thereon, in presence of the U.S. Dist Atty. and it appearing that the same were actually and necessarily performed according to law, Thomas said Charges appear to be just: It is hereby ordered that the said account amounting to the sum of One Hundred and Nine Dollars be and the same are hereby Approved.

C. G. W. French
Chief Justice

Court adjourned each day.

Attest J. A. Clum
Deputy

C. G. W. French
Chief Justice

The Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona met Monday January 7th 1884. at 10. O'clock a.m. pursuant to Law

Present Hon E.W. French Chief Justice
Hon A.W. Sheldon associate Justice
C.W. Wells assist U.S. attorney -
U.S. Marshal by deputy and
William Wilkerson Clerk -

In the Matter of the application of William D. Hagledine to be admitted to practice as attorney and Counselor in this Court

On motion of John A. Rush, Esq. and on presentation of Certificate of admission at and by the Supreme Court of the Territory of New Mexico, it is by the Court ordered that the said applicant William D. Hagledine be and he is hereby admitted to practice as an attorney and Counselor in this Court upon taking the proper oath and signing the Roll of Attorneys

John A. Rush, Esq. Vouching for the good moral character of the said applicant

In the Matter of the application of J. J. Hawkins to be admitted to practice as attorney and Counselor in this Court -

on motion of John A. Rush, Esq. and on presentation of affidavit as to his admission at and by the higer Courts of the State of Missouri, It is by the Court Ordered that the said applicant J. J. Hawkins be and he is hereby admitted to practice as an attorney and Counselor in this Court upon taking the proper Oath and signing the Roll of Attorneys

John A. Rush Esq vouching for the good Moral Character of the said applicant -

In the Matter of the
application of
Eugene T. S. Pannenberg
to be admitted to practice as
attorney and Counselor in
this Court —

On Motion of W. H. McGrew, Esqr.
and on presentation of Certificate of admission
at and by the higher Courts of the State of
Ohio, It is by the Court ordered that the
said applicant Eugen T. S. Pannenberg
be and he hereby is admitted to practice as
attorney and Counselor in this Court,
upon taking the proper Oath and
Signing the Roll of attorneys —
W. H. McGrew and E. W. Wells, Esqrs.
Vouching for the good Moral character
of the said applicant —

In the matter of the
application of
J. C. Herndon, Esqr, to be
admitted to practice as
attorney and Counselor,
in this Court —

On Motion of Charles B. Rush,
Esqr, and on presentation of Certificate of
admission at and by the higher Courts of
the State of Missouri, It is by the Court
ordered that the said applicant J. C.
Herndon, be and he hereby is admitted
to practice as attorney and Counselor
in this Court upon taking the proper oath
and Signing the Roll of attorneys —
Charles B. Rush, Esqr, Vouching for the
good Moral Character of said applicant —

Territory of Arizona
Plff & Respt
vs,
Vicente Casis
Defdt & Appelt

On his motion, it is

Ordered that the Name of Clark Churchill, Esqr. Attorney General, be entered of Record as Counsel for plaintiff &c-

Territory of Arizona
plff & Respt
vs
Joseph Casey
deft & Respt

It is by the Court ordered that the Name of Clark Churchill, Esqr, Attorney General, be Entered of record, as Counsel for plaintiff and Respondent

Territory of Arizona
plff & Respt.
vs
George Moore and
Thomas Gordon
defts & appelts

It is by the Court Ordered that the Name of Clark Churchill, Esqr, Atty Genl be Entered of Record as Counsel for plaintiff and respondent-

Tramquillity Mining Company
plff & Respt
vs
Head Center Cons Mining Co
deft & appelt

It is Ordered by the Court that this Cause be and the same is Continued for the term-

W N. Tweed appelt.
vs
Samuel A. Lowe et al
Respts.

Name of John Howard, Esqr. entered of record as of Counsel for Respondents-
Case Set for hearing January 8th 1884.

C O. Miles etals
Plfs & Respts
vs
D W McCallan etals.
defts & appelts

On Motion of F. M. Smith, Esqr, It is ordered that the Name of said F. M. Smith be substituted and entered of record as attorney for appellants, in place of J. W. Davis, Esq.

Now the Case being Called for hearing, was argued by F. M. Smith Esqr for appellants - No appearance for respondents - and being fully argued on the part of appellants the Case was submitted -

Centennial Silver Mining Company
Plf & appelt
vs
B F. Rogers Sheriff etc
Respt

On Motion of Clark Churchill Esqr, It is ordered that the firm name of Churchill & Downs be added as Counsel for Respt -

D P. Nichols Respt
vs
Lewis Riley etals, appelts

Set for hearing Wednesday Next January 9th 1884.

Territory of Arizona
Plf & Respt
vs.
K K. Kennedy
Deft & appelt

Case placed on Calendar on Motion of Alexander Campbell Esq - and set for hearing Wednesday Next January 9th 1884 - It is ordered that the

Name of Clark Churchill, Esqr ~~Counsel~~ Attorney General be entered of record as Counsel for plf & Respt-

John Muldoon et als
Plfs & appellts
vs.
O. F. Place et als
Defts & Respts

On Motion of Messrs Rush & Herndon Counsel for appellants. It is Ordered that this Cause be placed on the Calendar- Messrs Churchill & Downey Counsel for respts Consenting - Set for hearing Monday next January 14th 1884.

The following Cases were called and passed-

David Denham plf & Respt
vs
Marcus Kate dft & appelt
and
The Atlantic & Pacific R. R. Co. plf & appelt
vs
Jacob Neukle, Sheriff & Tax Collector dft & Respt

Passed

Court adjourned until tomorrow January 8th 1884 at 10. O. clock A.M.
Charles H. W. French
Chief Justice

Court Met Tuesday January 8th 1884
at 10. O. Clock A.M. pursuant to adjournment.

Present
Hon C.G. W. French. Chief Justice.
Hon D. H. Pinney } Associate Justices -
Hon A. W. Sheldon }
Assist U.S. Attorney - U.S. Marshal
By Deputy and Clerk -

Wm. M. Buffum
Plff & Respt
vs
Thomas M. Alexander &
Catharine Alexander
Defts & Appellts

On Motion of F. P. Dunn Esqr Counsel for Respt, and on presentation of proper Notice and Certificate, it is by the Court ordered that this appeal be, and the same is hereby dismissed -

Urban J. Stewart
Plff & appellt.
vs.
John McDonald et ab
Defts & Respts

on Motion of F. P. Dunn Esqr Counsel for Respts, and on presentation of proper Notice and Certificate it is by the Court ordered that this appeal be, and the same is hereby dismissed -

Edwin D. Ward - Plff & appellt.
vs
John McDonald et al. Defts & Respts

on Motion of F. P. Dunn Esqr. Counsel for Respts, and on presentation of proper Notice and Certificate

it is by the Court Ordered that this appeal be, and the same is hereby dismissed.

Wm. N. Tweed plf & appelt,
vs
Samuel A. Lowe etals Dfts & Respts

This Cause being Called for hearing, was argued by F. M. Smith Esqr. for appelts - John Hawson Esqr. appearing for Respt, but made no argument - Five (5) days time given appelts to file briefs if they so desire - Case Submitted.

Territory of Arizona plf & Respt
vs
George Moore and
Thomas Gordon, Dfts & appelts

This Cause being Called for hearing, was argued by Clark Churchill Esqr, attorney General, for plf & Respt - No Counsel appearing for dfts & appelt -

The Court having fully Considered the same and being fully advised in the premises it is by the Court ordered and adjudged, that the judgment and order appealed from be and they are hereby affirmed -

Chief Justice French rendering decision, associate Justice Sheldon Concurring - associate Justice Pinney Expressing no opinion -

C. D. Miles etals plfs & Respts
vs
D. W. McCallon etals dfts & Appelts

This Cause having been argued and submitted, and the Court having Considered the same and being fully advised in the premises orders as follows -

In the above entitled Cause it is ordered and adjudged That the findings be vacated and set aside and the judgment and decree be and the same are Reversed, and the said Cause be and the same is remanded for trial of the ~~issues~~ issues therein.

Chief Justice French rendering decision, associate Justice Sheldon. Concurring — associate Justice Pinney expressing no opinion

The Centennial Silver Mining Co
appelt
vs
B. F. Pascoe Sheriff etc
Respt

This Cause being called for hearing was argued by Chas Churchill Esqr. attorney general for respt. No appearance for appelt — Case Submitted —

Court adjourned until tomorrow January 9 th 1884 at 10. O'clock a.m.

Charles G. W. French
Chief Justice

Court Met Wednesday January 9th 1884 at 9. O'clock am, pursuant to adjournment.

Present

Hon C. G. W. French, Chief Justice.
Hon D. H. Pinney } associate Justices —
Hon A. W. Sheldon }

Assist Us, Attorney - Us, Marshal by Deputy — and Clerk —

The United States of America pltf Respt
vs
Elisha Givens deft appelt

on motion of John A. Rush Esqr Counsel for appelt. It is Ordered that this Cause be placed on the Calendar, E.W. Wells, assist US. attorney being present and Consenting. Case set for hearing Friday Sept January 11th 1884.

D. P. Nichols plft Respt
vs
Lewis Riley et al deft appelts

This Cause being called for hearing. it was argued by F. P. Dunn Esqr for appelts. and by C. B. Rush Esqr for Respt and being fully argued was submitted and taken under Advisement -

Territory of Arizona plft Respt
vs
Vicente Lucio. deft appelt

This Cause being Called for hearing was argued by Clark Churchill, Esqr, attorney General, for plft. No Counsel appearing for appelt - and being fully argued for plft Respt - the Cause was submitted and taken under advisement.

Territory of Arizona plft Respt
vs
Joseph Casey. deft appelt

This Cause being Called for hearing it was partially argued by Clark Churchill. Esqr. attorney General for plft Respt -

further argument in case continued to and until tomorrow January 10th 1884;

Alex Graydon et al
plfs & Respts
vs
John H. Eaton et al
defts & appelts

on Motion of Clark Churchill Esq. it is Ordered that this Cause be placed on the Calendar for hearing Wednesday next January 16th 1884.

Court adjourned until tomorrow January 10th 1884 at 10. O'clock a.m.

Charles G. W. French
Chief Justice.

Court met Thursday January 10th 1884. at 10. O. clock A.m. pursuant to adjournment.

Present
Hon Chas G. W. French. Chief Justice
Hon D. H. Pinney } associate Justices.
Hon A. W. Sheldon }
Assist U.S. attorney, U.S. Marshal
By Deputy and Clerk.

Territory of Arizona plf & Respt
vs
George W. Walker - deft & appelt

on Motion of W. H. McGrew Esq. it is ordered that this Cause be placed on the Calendar - and the same set for hearing Monday next January 14th 1884.

Thomas S. O. Brien plff & appelt.
vs
E. J. Smith et al defts & Respts

upon Motion of E. W. Wells Esq
Counsel for Respts, and on presentation of proper Notice and Certificate, it is by the Court ordered that this appeal be, and the same is hereby dismissed—

Now said Counsel for Respts moved the Court to allow damages to said Respts as provided in Sec 347, Chap 48 Compiled Laws—

This (latter) Motion taken under advisement—

David Dunham plff & Respt.
vs
Marcus Katz deft & appelt

It is by the Court ordered that this Case be and the same is submitted on briefs, in accordance with Stipulation filed herein—

Territory of Arizona plff & Respt.
vs
Joseph Casey deft & appelt

Argument of Case resumed and closed by Attorney General Churchill for Respt—

Case submitted and taken under advisement.

Court adjourned until tomorrow January 11th 1884 at 10. o'clock A.M.

Charles G. W. French
Chief Justice

Court Met Friday January 11th 1884.
at 10. Oclock A.M. pursuant to adjournment.
Present
Hon R.F. W. French Chief Justice,
Hon D.H. Pinney } associate Justices.
Hon A.W. Sheldon }
Assist US. attorney - US. Marshal
By Deputy - Clerk and Sheriff -

The United States of America
plf & Respt.
vs
Elisha Givens - deft & appelt

This cause being
Called for hearing - it was argued by E.W. Wells
Esq for Respt, and by John A. Rush, Esqr.
for appellant - and being fully argued, was
Submitted and taken under advisement -

The Atlantic & Pacific R.R. Co
Plf & appelt,
vs
Jacob Henkle Sheriff & Ex officio
Tax Collector of Yavapai County Ar,
Deft & Respt

W.C. Hazledine, Esqr,
Counsel for appelt, Moves to dismiss this appeal,
in accordance with agreement of respective
Counsel.
Motion granted and appeal dismissed

Territory of Arizona. Plf & Respt.
vs
K.K. Kennedy Deft & appelt
Case re-set for tomorrow
January 12th 1884.

Court adjourned - until tomorrow January 12th 1884
at 10. O.clock A.M.

Chief Justice

Court. Met Saturday January 12th 1884 at 10. Oclock a.m. pursuant to adjournment.

Present

Hon le G. W. French - Chief Justice.
Hon D.H. Pinney and } associate Justices.
Hon A.W. Sheldon }
assistant U.S. attorney - U.S. Marshal
By Deputy & Clerk.

Territory of Arizona
plaintiff & Respondent
vs
Joseph Lesley
defendant and appellant

This Cause having been argued and submitted and the Court having had the same under advisement, and being fully advised in the premises, it is this day by the Court, Ordered and adjudged, that the judgment and Order of the Court below, appealed from, be, and the same are hereby affirmed - and the Court, or Judge below, directed to fix the day of Execution -

Chief Justice French rendering decision, concurring and associate Justice Pinney, and Sheldon, ~~Concurring~~ Expressing no opinion -

Territory of Arizona
Plf & Respt
vs
George W. Walker
Defts & Appelt

Attorney General Churchill, now comes and enters his appearance as Counsel for Respondent -

Territory of Arizona
Plf & Respt
vs
K K. Kennedy
Defts & Appelt.

This cause being called for

hearing. It was argued by Attorney General Churchill for respondent, and by T. M. Smith and Alexander Campbell Esqrs. for appellants, and being fully argued, was submitted and taken under advisement-

Court adjourned until Monday next. January 14th 1884. at 10. O'clock a.m.

Charles G. W. French
Chief Justice

Court met Monday January 14th 1884 at 10. O'clock AM. pursuant to adjournment-

Present

Hon C. G. W. French - Chief Justice
Hon A. M. Sheldon and } associate Justices -
Hon D. H. Pinney

assist U.S. Attorney - Un. Marshal
By Deputy - Clerk -

John Muldoon et al
Plfs & appelts
vs
O. F. Place et al
Defts & Respts

} This cause being called for hearing. it was argued by Carlos B. Rush Esqr. for appellants. and by Clark Churchill Esqr for Respts - and being fully argued was submitted and taken under advisement

Court adjourned until tomorrow January 15th 1884. at 10 o'clock a.m.

Charles G. W. French
Chief Justice

Court met Tuesday January 15th 1884.
at 10. O clock a.m. pursuant to adjournment.
Present
Hon. E. G. W. French Chief Justice
Hon D.H. Pinney and } Associate Justices
Hon A.W. Sheldon }
assistant U.S. attorney, U.S. Marshal
By Deputy - and clerk -

E.F. Rogers Plff & appelt
vs
Luther Wilson and
John A. McCorackan Defts & Respts } Upon Motion of
E.W. Wells Esqr Counsel for Respts, and
on presentation of proper certificate and
Notice, it is by the Court ordered that this
appeal be and the same is hereby dismissed.

In the Matter of the application
of C.S. Fargnar Esqr to be
admitted to practice as an
attorney and Counselor in
this Court } On motion of Clark
Churchill Esqr and on presentation of
Certificate of admission to practice at
and by the Supreme Court of the State
of California, It is by the Court ordered
that the said applicant C.S. Fargnar
Esqr, be and he is hereby admitted to
practice as an attorney and Counselor in
this Court, on taking the proper oath and
Signing the Roll of attorneys - clar 10
Churchill Esqr Vouching for the good moral character of the applicant

Territory of Arizona Plff & Respt }
vs
George W. Walker Deft & appelt }
This cause
being Called for hearing, W.H. McGrew Esqr
Counsel for appellant. Now suggests to

the Court a diminution of the record and asked to be permitted to withdraw the same for the purpose of supplying such diminution, and it was so ordered and Record withdrawn -

And the record being subsequently returned. Supplies corrected &c
argument of Case being proceeded with. Was argued by attorney General Churchill for Respondent and by W. H. McGrew Esqr, for appellant, and being fully argued was submitted and taken under advisement

Centennial Silver Mining Co
plff & appellt
vs
B. F. Pascoe Sheriff etc
Defdt & Respt

C. D. Fargwar Esqr, Counsel for appellant Moved that the order heretofore Made and entered submitting this Case, be Set aside and Case opened for Argument on part of appellant,
and Clark Churchill, Esqr, Counsel for Respondent being present and Consenting, it was So Ordered - Case was then Argued by said Counsel C. D. Fargwar for appellant, and further Argued by Clark Churchill Esqr for Respondent, and being fully argued by Respective Counsel the Case was Re-Submitted -

Court adjourned until tomorrow January 16th 1884, at 10. O. clock A.M.

Charles G. W. French
Chief Justice.

Court met Wednesday January 16th 1884. at 10. o'clock a.m. pursuant to adjournment.

Present

Hon C.G.W. French Chief Justice.
Hon D.H. Pinney and } associate Justices
Hon A.W. Sheldon }
assistant U.S. attorney, U.S. Marshal
By deputy, and Clerk -

Territory of Arizona Plaintiff and Respondent
vs
George H. Walker Defendant and appellant

This Cause having been argued and submitted - and the Court having had the same under advisement, and being fully advised in the premises, it is this day by the Court ordered adjudged and decreed, that the judgment and Order appealed from be and the same is hereby affirmed -

Associate Justice Sheldon rendering decision, Chief Justice French and associate Justice Pinney Concurring

William M. Tweed appelt
vs
Samuel A. Lowe etal Respts

This cause having been argued and submitted, and the Court having had the same under advisement, and being fully advised in the premises, it is this day by the Court ordered, adjudged and decreed, that the judgment and order appealed from, be and the same is hereby affirmed -

Associate Justice Sheldon rendering decision, Chief Justice French and associate Justice Pinney Concurring -

David Dunham Alf & Respt
vs
Marcus Katz - Deft & appelt } This Cause having been argued and submitted and the Court having had the same under advisement and being fully advised in the premises, it is this day by the Court Ordered adjudged and decreed, that the judgment ~~of the~~ and orders of the Court below be and the same are hereby reversed, and the Cause remanded for a New trial -

Associate Justice Sheldon Rendering decision, Chief Justice French and associate Justice Pinney Concurring -

The Centennial Silver Mining Company
Appelt
vs
B F. Pascoe. Sheriff etc
Respt } This Cause having been argued and submitted, and the Court having had the same under advisement, and being fully advised in the premises, it is this day by the Court ordered, adjudged and decreed, that the judgment and order appealed from be, and the same are hereby affirmed

Associate Justice Sheldon rendering decision, Chief Justice French concurring and associate Justice Pinney Concurring

Old Cases -

Henry D. Williams Respt
vs
J. Murray Bailey appelt } This Cause having been submitted, and the Court having had the same under advisement and being fully advised in the premises, it is this

day by the Court, Ordered, adjudged and decreed that the judgment and order appealed from be and the same are hereby affirmed—
associate Justice Pinney rendering decision, Chief Justice French Concurring— associate Justice Sheldon Expressing No-opinion—

Daniel Johnson etal Plfs & Respts
vs
John McLaughlin etal— Defts & Appellts

This Cause having been submitted, and the Court having had the same Under advisement, and being fully advised in the premises, it is this day by the Court, ordered adjudged and decreed that the judgment and order appealed from be, and the same are hereby Reversed, and the Court below directed to enter judgment on the findings for appellants—
Associate Justice Pinney rendering decision, Chief Justice French Concurring, associate Justice Sheldon, expressing No opinion—

Thomas L. Dawson, Plf & Respt
vs.
George Laid Deft & appelt

This Cause having been submitted, and the Court having had the same under advisement, and being fully advised in the premises, it is this day by the Court Ordered, adjudged and decreed that the judgment and Order appealed from, be, and they are hereby affirmed.
Associate Justice Pinney rendering decision— Chief Justice French Concurring, associate Justice Sheldon, expressing No opinion—.

In the Matter of the application of Laymon J. Baskin to be admitted to practice as an attorney and Counselor in this Court.

On Motion of Clark Churchill Esqr. and on presentation of Clerks Certificate of admission at and by the Supreme Court of the State of New York, It is by the Court Ordered that the said applicant Laymon J. Baskin, be and he is hereby admitted to practice as an attorney and Counselor in this Court, on taking the proper oath and Signing the Roll of Attorneys. Clark Churchill Esqr. Vouching for the good Moral Character of the said applicant.

Joseph. P. Hargrave and
Benjamin Morgan
Plaintiffs & Respondents
vs
Coteworth P. Head and
William S. Head defendants
and appellants

Whereas, it appears by the mandate of the Supreme Court of the United States this day filed herein; that the judgment of this Court rendered herein on the 17th day of January 1879. affirming the judgment of the District Court of the Third Judicial District in and for the County of Yavapai, theretofore rendered and entered in said Cause has been and was heretofore to wit at the October term 1881. of said Supreme Court of the United States upon prosecution of a writ of error therefrom by said defendants and appellants herein reversed with costs, and said cause Remanded to this Court with directions to this Court to award a new trial of said action.

Now Therefore on Motion of Clark Churchill Esq of Counsel

for the Defendants and Appellants herein
It is by the Court. Ordered adjudged and
decreed that the judgment of the District
Court of the Third Judicial District in and
for the County of Yavapai Territory of
Arizona in the above entitled action be
and the same is hereby reversed and that
said Cause be and the same is hereby
remanded to the said Third District Court
for a New trial thereof.

And it is further ordered, adjudged
and decreed that the said defendants
and appellants Coteworth P. Head and
Wm. S. Head have and recover of and from
Joseph P. Hargrave and Benjamin
Morgan the Plaintiffs and Respondents
herein the sum of Sixty five $\frac{20}{100}$ ($65-20)
dollars, being the Costs upon prosecution
of the writ of error herein, and that they
have and recover their Costs of appeal
herein and that for all of said Costs they
have execution therefor.

Done in Open Court this 16th day of
January 1884.

Alex Graydon etal Respts
vs
J. H. Eaton etal — appelts

This cause being
Called for hearing. It was argued by
C. S. Farquar Esqr Counsel for appelts and
by Clark Churchill Esqr for Respts. and
being fully argued was submitted with
leave to file briefs in forty (40) days
from the date hereof—

Court adjourned until tomorrow January
17th 1884 at 10 O. clock A.M.

Charles G. M. French
Chief Justice

Court Met Thursday January 17th 1884.
at 10. O'clock A.M. pursuant to adjournment.
Present
Hon C. G. W. French Chief Justice
Hon D.H. Pinney and } associate Justices.
Hon A.W. Sheldon }
assistant U.S. attorney. U.S. Marshal
By Deputy - and Clerk -

The Tombstone Mill & Mining Co
Respondents
vs
Way Up Mining Co. & J.S. Clark
Appellants - } Appeal from order refusing defts Cost Bill -

Thomas Mitchell Esqr. Counsel for Respondents. Moved to place this Cause on the Calendar, Alexander Campbell Esqr Counsel Representing appellants, being present and Consenting on the Condition that Apps elts be allowed forty (40) days in which to file Briefs - and said Counsel for Respondents now Consenting, It is ordered that this cause be placed on the Calendar. and submitted, with leave to each party to file Briefs within forty days from the date hereof -

Levi Bashford and
Warren A. Bashford
Plfs & Respts
vs
George D. Kendall.
appellant

Clark Churchill, Esqr of Counsel for Respondent moves that this Cause be placed on the Calendar, and it was so Ordered -
Now Respective Counsel Consenting. it is Ordered that this Cause be and the same is Submitted on briefs to be filed as follows -
1st brief of appellant to be filed in thirty (30) days from the date hereof -
2nd brief of Respondents in reply to be filed in Thirty (30) days thereafter.

3rd brief of appellant in response to respondents brief to be filed in ten (10) days thereafter

Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona.

Know ye, That we the Justices of the Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona, specially Confiding in the learning, integrity and ability of F. P. Dann Esq. I have and hereby do appoint him the said F. P. Dann Territorial Reporter of the said Supreme Court, as Successor to himself in pursuance to the Laws of said Territory.

The said F. P. Dann, as such Territorial Reporter, to have and receive all the emoluments and benefits provided by Law.

In Witness Whereof, we have hereunto set our hands this 17th day of January A.D. 1884, and have caused this appointment to be entered as an order of said Court.

C. J. W. French C.J.
D. A. Pinney J.
A. W. Sheldon J.

The Grand Canal Co
Plf & Respt
vs
Charles Crismon
Defft & Appelt

This Cause having been argued and submitted and the Court having had the same under advisement, and being fully advised in the premises. It is this day by the Court ordered, adjudged and decreed. that the judgment and order appealed from be, and the same are hereby affirmed.

Associate Justice Sheldon announcing decision, Chief Justice French Concurring, Associate Justice Pinney dissenting

The United States of America
plf & Respt
vs
Elisha Gwinn
deft & appelt

This Cause having been argued and Submitted, and the Court having had the Same under advisement, and being fully advised in the premises, It is this day by the Court Ordered, adjudged and decreed that this appeal be and the Same is dismissed, and that the judgment and Order appealed from be and the Same are hereby affirmed - and the Court or Judge below directed to Carry the judgment into effect,

Associate Justice Sheldon announcing decision, Chief Justice French Concurring - associate Justice Pinney dissenting -

The Southern Pacific
Rail Road Co plf & Respt
vs
L. H. Orme. Tax Collector
of Maricopa County deft & appelt

This Cause having been Submitted and the Court having had the Same under advisement, and being fully advised in the premises, It is this day by the Court Ordered adjudged and decreed That the judgment and Order appealed from be, and the Same are affirmed as to that portion of the decree Making perpetual the injunction, as to the road and Realty - and that, that portion of the Decree modifying and dissolving the Injunction as to the Rolling Stock and personal property, be, and the Same is hereby reversed, and the injunction Made perpetual as to the whole of Said Rail Road property, personal and real for the year 1881. and Court below is

directed to enter decree in accordance with this decision -

Chief Justice French announcing decision
associate Justice Sheldon Concurring -
associate Justice Pinney expressing No opinion

Territory of Arizona
plf & Respt
vs
Vicente Casio
deft & Appelt

This cause having been argued and submitted, and the Court having had the same under advisement, and being fully advised in the premises, it is this day by the Court, Ordered adjudged and decreed that the judgment and order of the Court below appealed from, be and the same are hereby affirmed, and the Court or Judge below directed to Carry the judgment into effect.

Chief Justice French announcing decision,
associate Justice Pinney Concurring -
associate Justice Sheldon expressing no opinion

Tombstone Mill & Mining Company
Appelt
vs
The Way Up Mining Company
& J. S. Clark Respts

It is by the Court Ordered and adjudged that the Petition on file herein for a re-hearing of this Cause be and the same is hereby denied -

associate Justice Pinney announcing decision
Chief Justice French Concurring, associate
Justice Sheldon expressing No opinion -

Thomas S. O'Brien
plf & applt
vs
C. J. Smith et al
defts & Respts

This cause having been submitted upon presentation of proper Certificate and Notice for dismissal, with application for damages and the Court having had the same under advisement, and being fully advised in the premises, it is by the Court ordered and adjudged that this appeal be and the same is hereby dismissed without damages - and that remittitur issue forthwith.

Associate Justice Finney announcing decision, Chief Justice French and associate Justice Sheldon Concurring -

Whereas, Jacob Henkle Sheriff of Yavapai County A.T. having Rendered to this Court an account for Stationery furnished for the January term of this Court 1884 - with the items thereof -

and it appearing to the Court that said account is reasonable and just, & in accordance with law It is hereby Ordered that said account amounting to the Sum of twenty seven 25/100 ($27.25) Dollars be and the same is approved.

And it is further Ordered that said account be paid by Territorial Warrant, to be drawn by Territorial Auditor, in favor of said Jacob Henkle, on the Territorial General fund for said Sum of $27.25 -

On Motion of F. P. Dunn Esqr. the following rule was amended

Rule II, Subdivision 9 of the Rules of the Supreme Court, is amended to read as follows.

"9 Besides the Original, there shall be filed ten Copies of the transcript, points and authorities, Statement of facts, also of printed briefs if any, which Copies shall be distributed by the Clerk in the following Manner: Two to each of the Justices of the Supreme Court and to the "Territorial Reporter" of the Supreme Court, one to the Librarian of the Territorial Library and one to the Clerk of the Court from which the appeal is taken".

The above shall be in force on and after thirty days from its adoption and publication

Adopted and published and declared to be a Subdivision of a Rule of the Supreme Court of Arizona this 17th day of January 1884.

In the Matter of the times and places of holding Courts in the several Districts of this Territory

Until the further Order of the Judges of this Court, the terms of the several District Courts of this Territory shall be held at the times and places hereinafter mentioned.

First Judicial District
on the Second Monday in March and on the Second Monday in September at Tucson Pima County.

Second Judicial District.
on the ~~First~~ Monday in April
and on the Second Monday in
October at Phoenix, Maricopa
County-

Third Judicial District.
on the ~~First~~ Monday in June
and on the ~~First~~ Monday in
November at Prescott
Yavapai County

The termination of said terms of Court,
is to be determined by the presiding Judge
thereof, without regard to the occurrence
of terms in other Counties of same
District.

Prescott A.T.
January 17th 1884

Charles G. W. ~~French~~ French.
Chief Justice
D.H. Pinney
Associate Justice
A.W. Sheldon
Associate Justice

Whereas Jas. L. Tidball Marshal of the
United States for the District of Arizona,
has rendered to this Court an account of
his Miscellaneous expenses at and previous to
the January term 1884 at Prescott, of the
Supreme Court, with the Vouchers and items
thereof, and in presence of E.W. Wells Esqr
asst United States attorney, has proved on
oath, to the Satisfaction of the Court, that the
items mentioned therein have been actually
and Necessarily incurred as stated, and
that the disbursements Charged have been
fully paid in lawful Money; and
Whereas said Charges appearing to be just
and according to law, it is hereby ordered
that the said account amounting to

Twenty dollars, be and the same is hereby approved.

Whereas Jan L. Tidball Marshal of the United States for the District of Arizona, has rendered to this Court an account of his fees and expenses at and previous to the January term 1884, at Prescott, of the Supreme Court, with the Vouchers and items thereof, and in presence of E.W. Wells, Esqr. asst United States Attorney, has proved on oath, to the Satisfaction of the Court, that the Services and travel therein charged have been actually and necessarily performed as therein stated, and that the disbursements charged have been fully paid in lawful Money; and Whereas, said Charges appearing to be just and according to law, it is hereby ordered that the said account, amounting to one hundred dollars be and the same is hereby approved

Whereas, Jan L. Tidball, Marshal of the United States for the District of Arizona, has rendered to this Court an account of his fees and expenses at and ~~during~~ previous to the January term, 1884, at Prescott, of the Supreme Court, with the Vouchers and items thereof, and in presence of E.W. Wells Esq asst United States Attorney, has proved on oath, to the Satisfaction of the Court, that the Services and travel therein charged have been actually and necessarily performed as therein stated, and that the disbursements charged have been fully paid in lawful Money; and Whereas, said Charges appearing to be just and according to law, it is hereby ordered that the said account amounting to one hundred dollars and Eighty cents, be and the same is hereby approved -

Whereas, Zan L. Tidball United States Marshal, has rendered to this Court an account of his disbursements for Miscellaneous Expenses incurred at and previous to the January term 1884, at Prescott, of the Supreme Court, with the vouchers and items thereof, and in presence of E.W. Wells. Esqr, asst United States attorney, has proved, on oath, to the Satisfaction of the Court that the Services therein Charged have been actually and Necessarily performed as therein stated, and that the disbursements Charged have been fully paid in lawful Money; and Whereas, said Charges appear to be just and according to law;

It is hereby ordered that said account amounting to Twenty dollars be and the same is hereby approved

Whereas, an account of William Wilkerson, Clerk of the Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona, for official Services as therein stated, having been submitted by the assistant United States attorney of this Court for approval, and it appearing to the Satisfaction of the Court, by the oath of the said Clerk upon the account that the Services have been actually and necessarily performed as therein stated, and the charges appearing to be just and according to law,

It is hereby Ordered that the said account amounting to one hundred dollars ($100,00) be and the same is hereby approved—

done in open Court in the presence of E.W. Wells, Esqr, assistant United States attorney on this 17th day of January A.D. 1884.

Whereas; Ed. W. Wells assistant attorney of the United States, for the Territory of Arizona has rendered to this Court an account of his fees at the term of said Supreme Court held at Prescott A.T. Commencing on the 7th day of January 1884 and ending on the 17th day of January 1884. with the items thereof, and in open court testifies that the services therein charged have been actually and necessarily performed as therein stated.

And Whereas, said charges appear to be just and according to law,

It is hereby Ordered that said account amounting to the sum of One hundred dollars, be and the same is hereby approved.

Done in open Court in the presence of E.W. Wells, assistant U.S. attorney for Arizona Territory this 17th day of January 1884.

Court adjourned for the term.

Charles G. W. French
Chief Justice of
Supreme Court

Territory of Arizona }
County of Yavapai } ss.

I. Summer Howard do Solemnly Swear that I will Support the Constitution of the United States an the Laws of the Territory of Arizona; that I will true faith and allegiance bear to the same and defend them against all Enemies whatsoever; And that I will faithfully and impartially discharge the duties of the Office of Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona, according to the best of my abilities, & so help me God

Summer Howard

Taken Sworn and Subscribed
to before me this 20th day of
May A.D. 1884

H. A. Tritle
Governor of Arizona Territory.

Oath

I- Summer Howard do Solemnly Swear that I have never Voluntarily borne Arms against the United States since I have been a citizen thereof; that I have Voluntarily given No aid, Countenance, Counsel or Encouragement to persons Engaged in armed hostility thereto, that I have neither sought Nor accepted Nor attempted to Exercise the functions of any Office whatever under any authority or pretended authority in hostility to the United States, that I have not yielded a Voluntary Support to any pretended Government authority, power or Constitution within the United States hostile or inimical thereto, and I do further Swear that to the best of My Knowledge and ability I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all Enemies foreign and domestic and that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same; that I take this obligation

freely without any Mental Reservation
or purpose of Evasion, and that I will well and
faithfully discharge the duties of the Office
on which I am about to enter. So help
me God.

Sumner Howard

Taken Subscribed and
Sworn to before me
this 20th day of May
A.D. 1884.

H. A. Tuttle
Governor of the Territory of Arizona.

The Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona met Monday January 5th 1885. at 10 Oclock A.M. pursuant to law.

Present Hon. Edward Howard Chief Justice
Hon. D. A. Finney Associate Justice
Ed. W. Wells U.S. Attorney
United States Marshal by Deputy
William Wilcoxon Clerk by Deputy
Sheriff and Crier

It is hereby ordered that J. R. Pyke be and he is appointed Crier for this Court for and during the present term. And the said J. R. Pyke being present was duly sworn as such Crier—

In the Matter of the application of F. A. Tittle to be admitted to practice as an attorney and Counselor in this Court—

upon Motion of Clark Churchill Esq. and Statement of said Clark Churchill in reference to Certificate of admission of said applicant at and by the Court of Common pleas of the State of Pennsylvania. It is ordered that the said applicant be and he is hereby admitted to practice as an attorney and Counselor in this Court on taking the proper oath and signing the Roll of Attorneys and that the Clerk issue to him the Necessary license therefor.

In the Matter of the
application of
O. M. Sanford Esqr to
be admitted to practice
as an attorney and
Counselor at this
Court—

Upon. Motion of Clark Churchill
Esqr, and on presentation of affidavit
as to admission of said applicant at Law
by the Supreme Court of the State of
Kansas— it is hereby Ordered that
the said applicant O. M. Sanford be
and he is admitted to practice as
an attorney and Counselor at this
Court, on taking the proper oath and
signing the roll of attorneys— and
that the clerk issue to him the necessary
license therefor—

and it is further ordered that the said
applicant procure and file with the
Clerk of this Court certified Copy of
Certificate of admission to said
Supreme Court of the State of Kansas,
within 30 days from the date hereof—

In the Matter of the
application of
Charles R. Gray, Esqr
to be admitted to
practice as an attorney
and Counselor in
this Court

Upon Motion of J. P. Hargrove
Esqr, and on presentation of Certificate of
admission to and by the Supreme Court
of the State of California and
presentation of Certificate of Clerk of
Superior Court as to good Moral
Character &c— It is ordered that the
said applicant Chas R. Gray be and
he is admitted to practice as an
attorney and Counselor in this Court,
on taking the proper oath and signing

the roll of attorneys, and that the clerk issue to him the necessary license therefor—

In the Matter of the application of L. H. Eggers Esq. to be admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court

Upon Motion of J. C. Hendon Esq. and on presentation affidavit as to admission of the said applicant by the Supreme Court of the State of New York— It is ordered that the said applicant L. H. Eggers be and he is hereby admitted to practice as an attorney and Counselor in this Court on taking the proper oath and signing the roll of attorneys and that the clerk issue to him the necessary license therefor—

In the Matter of the application of John B. Milner Esq. to be admitted to practice as an attorney and Counselor in this Court—

Upon Motion of J. C. Hendon Esq. and presentation of affidavit as to admission of said applicant by the Supreme Court of the Territory of Utah— it is ordered that said applicant John B. Milner be and he is admitted practice as an attorney and Counselor in this court on taking the proper oath and signing the roll of attorneys and that the clerk issue to him the necessary license therefor—

And it is further ordered that said applicant procure and file with the clerk of this court certified copy of certificate of admission of said Supreme

of the Territory of Utah within Thirty (30) days from the date hereof.

Anson H Smith Pltf & Appelt
vs
Mohave County
Deft & Respt

Now comes Clark Churchill Attorney General of the Territory of Arizona and enters appearance for and on behalf of ~~Plaintiff~~ Respondent.

Upon Motion of Sam Taylor Esq it is Ordered brief filed herein on part of appellants be rendered.

Territory of Arizona
Pltf & Respt
vs
~~Frederick~~ J. Glover
Deft & ~~Appelt~~

Now Comes Clark Churchill Attorney General of the Territory of Arizona and enters appearance for and on behalf of ~~Plaintiff~~ and respondent.

Territory of Arizona
Pltf & Respt
vs
James Munroe
Deft & Appelt

Now Comes Clark Churchill Attorney General of the Territory of Arizona and enters his appearance for and on behalf of ~~Plaintiff~~ and respondent.

And Now upon Motion of J. J. Hawkins Esq it is ordered that the name of Sam Taylor be

entered of record as of Counsel for
defendant & appellant

Upon Motion of Clerk Churchill Esqr
It is ordered that the following
Causes be placed on the Calendon-

D. P. Nichols Respt
vs
Lewis Riley et al appellants

Alexander Graydon et al Respts
vs
John H. Eaton et al appelts

John Muldoon et al appelts
vs
Orin H. Place et al Respts

Levi Bashford Respt
vs
Geo. D. Kendall appelt

Upon Motion of John A. Rush Esqr it is ordered that this Cause be place on the Calendon -

Now upon
it is ordered that the reporter of the District Court of the 3rd Judicial District of the Territory of Arizona Yavapai County furnish from his notes Copy of the Judges Charge in the Cause of the Territory of Arizona against James Munroe, tried in Dist'd District Court

Court adjourned until Thursday January 6th 1865 at 10 Oclock A.M.

Chief Justice
Associate Justice
Associate Justice

Court met Tuesday January 6th 1880
at 10 Oclock A.M. pursuant to adjournment.

Present Hon. Sumner Howard Chief Justice
Hon. D.A. Penny Associate Justice
Hon W.F. Fitzgerald Associate Justice
Jno L. Tidball Esq U.S. Marshal
Ed W Wells Esqr Assistant U.S. Attorney
William Wilkerson Clerk by Deputy
Sheriff, Crier and Bailiff.

In the matter of the application
of J.F. Bostwick to be admitted
to practice as an Attorney and
Counselor in this Court

Upon motion of Charles R. Gray Esq and presentation of affidavit as to admission of said Applicant to the Supreme Courts of the States of Illinois and Colorado,
It is ordered that said applicant J.F. Bostwick be and he is admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court on taking the proper oath and signing the roll of Attorneys and that the Clerk issue to him the necessary license therefor.

And it is further ordered that said applicant procure and file with the Clerk of this Court Certified Copies of Certificates of admission to said Supreme Courts

The United States
vs
Ammon M. Penny

Hearing continued until adjourned term by order of the Court

The Banger Mining Company
vs.
Head Center Consolidated
Mining Company

Hearing continued until the adjourned term by order of the Court

The Western Mining Company
vs
James Stood et al

Hearing by Court continued until adjourned term by order of the Court.

The Copper Queen Mining Company
vs.
The Arizona Ponca Copper Company

Hearing continued until adjourned term by order of the Court

N. P. Ballum
vs
R. H. Paul

Hearing continued until the adjourned term by order of the Court

E. L. Solomon
vs
J. H. Norton et al

Hearing continued until the adjourned term by order of the Court.

Mason & Smith & Co
vs.
The County of Mohave

Offs & Appts
Defts & Resps

This cause coming on regularly for hearing was argued by E. W. Lamford Esqr for the Appellant, and Clark Churchill Esq for the Respondent and being fully argued was submitted and taken under advisement.

D. C. Nichols
vs
Lewis Riley et al

This cause being called for reargument was reargued by Clark Churchill for Appellant, Thereby no argument offered on the part of Respondent, Cause was submitted upon the argument for Appellant.

and upon briefs of Respondent heretofore filed

John Muldoon et al
vs.
C. F. Place et al

This cause being called for re-argument was re-argued by Alex Hendry Esq for Plaintiff, and by Clark Churchill Esq for Defendant and being fully argued was submitted and taken under advisement

Court adjourned until Wednesday January 7th 1885 at 10 o'clock A.M.

Chief Justice
Associate Justice
Associate Justice

Court met Thursday January 7th 1885
at 10 Oclock A.M. pursuant to adjournment

Present Hon. Edmund Howard Chief Justice
Hon. D.F. Pinney Associate Justice
Hon. W.F. Fitzgerald Associate Justice
Hon. Ed. W. Wells Assistant U.S. Attorney
Hon Sam. L. Tidball U.S. Marshal
Wm Wilkerson Clerk
by Deputy and Sheriff.

The Territory of Arizona
vs Plff & Respt
James Monroe
Deft & Appt

This Cause being called was argued by E.M. Sanford and J.J. Stubbins Esqrs for the Defendant and Appellant, and by Clark Churchill Attorney General, for the Plaintiff and Respondent, and being fully argued was submitted and taken under advisement.

The Territory of Arizona
vs. Plff & Respt
Fredrick J. Glover
Deft & Appt.

This Cause being called was argued by John A. Rush Esqr for the Defendant and Appellant and by Attorney General Clark Churchill for the Plaintiff and Respondent, and being fully argued was submitted and taken under advisement.

Court adjourned until tomorrow Thursday January 8th 1885 at 11 Oclock A.M.

Chief Justice
Associate Justice
Associate Justice

Court met Thursday January 8th 1885 at 11 Oclock A.M. pursuant to adjournment

Present Hon Sumner Howard Chief Justice
Hon D.H. Pinney Associate Justice
Hon W.F. Fitzgerald Associate Justice
Assistant United States District Attorney
United States Marshal, Crier
Clerk & Ass Sheriff

The following rule to constitute Rule 38 of the Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona was adopted and ordered to be spread upon the Minutes of the Court, viz:

Rule XXXVIII

In all Civil Cases the appellant shall deposit Twenty Dollars, and the Respondent Ten Dollars with the Clerk of the Court before filing any papers on appeal.

And the Court of its own motion, ordered that said Rule 38 be and the same is hereby made applicable to Cases filed for hearing at this term.

The resignation of William Hickerson, Clerk of this Court, having been tendered and placed on file, It is ordered by the Court that the same be accepted, to take effect upon the appointment and qualification of his Successor.

William Hickerson the Clerk of this Court, having tendered his resignation as such Clerk, the same having been accepted by the Court, to take effect from the appointment and qualification of his Successor. It is hereby ordered by the Court that Albert E. Foote be appointed Clerk of the Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona - said appointment to take effect from and after the date of his filing his official

oath and bond as required by law.

E. A. Bashford
vs
Georgia M. Curtis
Plff & Respt.
Deft & Applt.

On motion of Counsel for Appellant, Cause was ordered placed on the Calendar, and Signed for hearing at this Adjourned term

James Reilly
vs
S B Comstock Adm
A. D. Cadwell

On motion of Respondents by Ed. M. Wells Esqr. It is Ordered that this Cause be placed upon the Calendar of this term, for the purpose of hearing a motion to dismiss the Appeal herein.

It is further ordered that the hearing of said motion be continued to the adjourned term

James Reilly Admr of
Wm J Baldridge
vs
W E Clark

On motion of Respondents by Ed M. Wells Esqr. It is ordered that this Cause be placed upon the Calendar of this term, for the purpose of hearing a motion to dismiss the Appeal herein.

It is further ordered that the hearing of said motion be continued to the adjourned term.

Alexander Gray dyc et al
Respondents
vs
John H Eaton et al.
Appellants
Hearing continued
until the adjourned term.

Court adjourned until tomorrow January
9th 1885 at 11 Oclock A.M.

Chief Justice
Associate Justice
Associate Justice

Court met Friday January 9th 185. at 11
Oclock A.M. pursuant to adjournment

Present Hon Surrurin Howard Chief Justice
Hon D.K. Pinney Associate Justice
Hon W.F. Fitzgerald Associate Justice
Assistant U.S. District Attorney
United States Marshal.
Clerk, Sheriff & Crier.

Court adjourned until tomorrow January 10th
at 10 Oclock A.M

Chief Justice
Associate Justice
Associate Justice

Court met Saturday January 10th 1885
at 10 o'clock A.M. pursuant to adjournment

Present Hon Sumner Howard Chief Justice
Hon D.F. Pinney Associate Justice
Hon W.D. Fitzgerald Associate Justice

L Bashford et al
vs
Geo. D. Kendall
Plff & Respt
Deft. & Applt

On motion of Counsel for the Applellant, Respondents Counsel consenting, Case was Submitted on Briefs any taken under advisement.

Foundation Gold Mining Company
vs
The Hay Fork Mining Company

Hearing continued until the adjourned term

Court adjourned until Monday January 12th 1885 at 2 o'clock P.M.

Chief Justice
Associate Justice
Associate Justice

Court met Monday January 12th 1885 at 2 Oclock P.M. pursuant to adjournment.

Present Hon. Sumner Howard Chief Justice
Hon. D.A. Finney Associate Justice
Hon. W.F. Fitzgerald Associate Justice
Assistant United States Attorney
United States Marshal, Crier
Clerk and Sheriffs.

In the matter of the application of J.M. Franklin Esqr to be admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court

Upon motion of Charles G.N. French Esq, and upon presentation of Certificate of admission let and by the Supreme Court of the State of California. It is ordered that the said Applicant, J.M. Franklin be and he is admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court on taking the proper oath and signing the roll of Attorneys, and that the Clerk issue to him the necessary license therefor

In the matter of the application of Sam. L. Gilbert Esqr to be admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court

Upon motion of W.H. Wells Esq and upon presentation of Affidavit as to admission to the District Court of the First Judicial District of the Territory of Arizona. It is hereby ordered that the said applicant Sam L. Gilbert be and he is admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court on taking the proper oath and signing the Roll of Attorneys, and that the Clerk issue to him the necessary license therefor

Territory of Arizona
vs
James Monroe — It is ordered by the Court that the Judgment be reversed and the Cause remanded for a new Trial, all the Judges concurring - Written Opinions to be filed Hereafter.

Levi Bashford Adm
Frances A Bashford
vs
George D Kendall — It is ordered by the Court That the Judgment be Reversed and the Cause remanded for a new Trial - all the Judges concurring - written opinion to be filed Hereafter.

D. P. Nichols
vs
Lewis Ricky et al — It is ordered by the Court That the Judgment herein be reversed and the Cause remanded for a new Trial - all the Judges concurring - Written opinion to be filed hereafter.

Court adjourned to meet at Phoenix A.T. Monday February 23d 1885 at 10 Oclock AM.

Chief Justice
D. A. Perry Asso Justice
W. F. Fitzgerald Asso Justice

Territory of Arizona }
County of Yavapai } ss

I Albert E Foote do solemnly swear that I will support the Constitution of the United States, and the laws of the Territory of Arizona; that I will bear faith and allegiance to the same and defend them against all persons whatsoever, and that I will faithfully and impartially discharge the duties of the office of Clerk of the Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona, according to the best of my ability, so help me God.

Albert E Foote

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 9th day of January 1886.

Summers Howard
Chief Justice

Know all men by these presents.

That we Albert E Foote as principal and William Wilkerson, F. G. Fisher, E. W. Ainsworth and Gotlieb Ufer as sureties of the town of Prescott in the County of Yavapai, are held and firmly bound unto the United States of America in the sum of Ten Thousand (10,000.00) Dollars lawful money of the United States, to be paid to the United States of America, for which payment, well and truly to be made we bind ourselves, our heirs, executors and administrators jointly and severally by these presents.

Signed with our own hands, and sealed with our seals this thirteenth day of January A.D. 1886.

The conditions of the above obligation is such - Whereas pursuant to law, Albert E Foote has been appointed Clerk of the Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona, to have and to hold the same with all the rights privileges and emoluments

Thereunto lawfully appertaining as by an Appointment to him bearing the date Eighth (8th) day of January 1885. as follows:

" Know all persons:
" That we the Justices of the Supreme Court
" of Arizona Territory do hereby appoint
" Albert E. Foote of Prescott in said Territory
" to be Clerk of the Supreme Court of Arizona
" Territory -
" This appointment to take effect
" and be in force only when the said Albert E.
" Foote shall have filed the proper bonds and
" oath of office, and in all respects qualified
" as such Clerk, according to law and the rules
" of the Department of Justice

" Sumner Howard Chief Justice
" W. F. Finney Associate Justice
" W. T. Fitzgerald Associate Justice

" Prescott Arizona
" January 8th 1886.

Now if the said Albert E. Foote by himself or by his Deputies shall faithfully discharge the duties of his office, reasonably record the decrees, judgments and determinations of said Court, properly account for all moneys coming into his hands as required by law, then this obligation to be void, otherwise to remain in full force and virtue

Albert E. Foote (L.S.)
William Wilkerson (L.S.)
F. K. Ainsworth (L.S.)
F. J. Fisher (L.S.)
L. Hofer (L.S.)

The foregoing Bond examined and approved by the Justices of the Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona This 13th day of January A.D. 1885

Summers Howard Chief Justice

W. F. Fitzgerald Associate Justice

D. H. Pinney Associate Justice

Court met Monday February 23d 1885
at 10 Oclock A.M. pursuant to adjournment
Present Hon. Summerhayes Chief Justice
Hon D.K.Pinney Associate Justice
Hon W.F.Fitzgerald Associate Justice
[illegible] Dep Asst U.S. Attorney
J.F.Pickett (by Deputy) U.S. Marshal
Clerk by Deputy & Sheriff
Crier as Bailiff

It is hereby ordered that C.M.Wilder be appointed as Crier and J.W. Blanchard Jr. as Bailiff of this Court for and during the present adjourned term, and said parties being present were duly sworn as such officers.

Court adjourned until tomorrow February 24th 1885 at 10 Oclock A.M.

Court met Tuesday February 24th 1885 at 10 Oclock A.M. pursuant to adjournment
Present Hon. Summerhayes Chief Justice
Hon D.K.Pinney Associate Justice
Hon W.F.Fitzgerald Associate Justice
Ed Wells Esqr Asst U.S Atty
J.F.Pickett (by Deputy) U.S Marshal
Clerk by Deputy & Sheriff. Crier & Bailiff

In the matter of the application of James A. Anderson Esqr to be admitted as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court

Upon motion of John Haynes Esqr. It is ordered by the Court that the said Applicant be and he is hereby admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court, upon his taking the proper oath, and signing the Roll of Attorneys, and that the Clerk issue to him the necessary license therefor

In the matter of the application of Frank D Cox Esqr to be admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court

Upon motion of A.C. Baker Esqr and upon presentation of Certificate of Admission at and by the District Court of the Second Judicial District Court of the Territory of Arizona, It is ordered that the said Applicant Frank D. Cox, be and he is admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court on taking the proper oath and signing the roll of Attorneys, and that the Clerk issue to him the necessary license therefor.

In the matter of the application of Joseph Campbell Esqr to be admitted as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court

Upon motion of A.C. Baker Esqr, and upon presentation of Certificate of Admission at and by the District Court of the Second Judicial District of the Territory of Arizona, It is ordered that the said Applicant Joseph Campbell be and he is admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court upon taking the proper oath and signing the roll of Attorneys, and that the Clerk issue to him the necessary license therefor

In the matter of the application of John W. Ormsbead Esqr to be admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court

Upon motion of A.A. Ward Esqr, and upon presentation of Certificate of Admission at and by the Supreme Court of the State of Alabama, It is ordered that the said Applicant John W Ormsbead be and he is hereby admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court

upon taking the proper oath and signing the roll of Attorneys, and that the Clerk issue to him the necessary license therefor

In the matter of the application of Richard E. Sloan Esqr to be admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court

Upon motion of E. A Torrence Esqr and upon presentation of Certificate of Admission at and by the Supreme Court of the State of Ohio. It is ordered that the said Applicant Richard E. Sloan be admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court, upon filing with the Clerk of this Court Certificate of good moral Character Countersigned by the Judges and Clerk of said Supreme Court of the State of Ohio, within thirty days from this date, and that the Clerk issue to him the necessary license therefor upon his taking the proper oath and signing the roll of Attorneys

In the matter of the application of Louis Henry Chalmers Esqr to be admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court

Upon motion of E. A Torrence Esqr and upon presentation of Certificate of admission at and by the Supreme Court of the State of Ohio, It is ordered that said Applicant Louis Henry Chalmers be admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court, upon filing with the Clerk Certificate of good moral Character Countersigned by the Judges and Clerk of the Court in which he last practiced, within thirty days from this date, and that the Clerk issue to him the necessary license therefor upon his taking the proper oath and signing the roll of Attorneys

F. W. Fowler
vs Plff & Appellt
E. M. Bryan et al
T. J. Bryan
Deft & Respt

Upon motion of the Appellant
It is ordered that this Cause be placed upon
the Calendar for this term

Thomas J. Jeffords
vs Plff & [illegible]
Chas F. Stein et al
Defts & Respts

Upon motion of Counsel
for the Appellant It is ordered that this Cause
be placed upon the Calendar for this term

James S. Clark et al
vs Plffs & Respts
Benjamin Titus
Deft & Apptt

Upon motion of Counsel
for the Appellant It is ordered that this
Cause be placed upon the Calendar for
this term.

Pasquale Migro
vs Respt
Robert Stalch
Apptt

Upon motion of Counsel
for the Appellant it is ordered that this Cause
be placed upon the Calendar for this term

James Hobson
vs Respt
The New Mexico & Ariz Ry Co
Ariz Ry Co

Upon motion of Counsel for
the Appellant It is ordered that this Cause
be placed upon the Calendar for this term

Lester C. Williams
vs
Jas L. Tidball et al
Appts

Upon motion of Counsel for the Appellant It is ordered that this Cause be placed upon the Calendar for this term

Richard Hoffmeier
vs
Jean Pierre Chauvalaan et al
Adam C. Hoffmeier
Respts

Upon motion of Counsel for the Appellant It is ordered that this Cause be placed upon the Calendar for this term

Richard Hoffmeier
Appellt
vs
Pedro Chauvalaan et al
Anna C. Hoffmeier
Respts

Upon motion of Counsel for the Appellant It is ordered that this Cause be placed upon the Calendar for this term

S R DeLong Appellt
vs
Chas F. Stein et al Respts

Upon motion of Counsel for the Appellant It is ordered that this Cause be placed upon the Calendar for this term

P. T. Dowling Respt
vs
Wm R. Hunt Appellt

Upon motion of Counsel for the Appellant It is ordered that this Cause be placed upon the Calendar for this term

John M. Miller Plaintiff
vs
A.A. Hopkins et al Defendants
Appeal of St A Torrd

Upon motion of Counsel for the Appellant It is ordered that this Cause be placed upon the Calendar for this term.

G Howard Thompson Applt
vs
C.O. Dark & J.M. Evans Respts

Upon motion of Counsel for the Appellant It is ordered That this Cause be placed upon the Calendar for this term

N.B. Cullum Respondent
vs
R.M. Pack Appellant

This cause being called was argued by Benjamin Morgan Esq for the Appellant and Campbell Esqr for the Respondent and being fully argued was submitted ~~and taken under advisement~~.

Tranquility Mining Co. Respts
vs
Head Quarter Consolidated Mining Company Appellant

Upon motion of Counsel for Appellant, Respondents Counsel Consenting thereto, It was ordered by the Court that this Case be dismissed upon payment of all costs

Pasquale Nigro
vs
Robert Hatch
Appeal

His Cause being called was argued by Goodrich Esqr for the Appellant and Thomas Mitchell Esqr for the Respondent and being fully argued & submitted and taken under advisement.

Court adjourned until tomorrow Wednesday February 25th 1885 at 10 Oclock A.M.

Court met Wednesday February 25th 1885 at 10 Oclock A.M. pursuant to adjournment

Present His Honor Rumsey Howard Chief Justice
Hon D.F. Pinney Associate Justice
Hon W.F. Fitzgerald Associate Justice
Ed. F. Wells Esqr Asst U.S. Attorney
L.L. Tidball (by Deputy) U.S. Marshal
Mark Grey Sheriff. Clerk & Bailiff

In the matter of the alleged Contempt of this Court by Ben Morgan a Member of the Bar of the Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona

It appearing to the Court on reading the Brief on file in the Case of A.B. Hamilton vs. R.F. Ward that Ben Morgan the Attorney who filed said brief is guilty of manifest Contempt of this Court by reason of matters contained in said brief It is ordered that the said Ben Morgan show cause within Thirty days after service on him of this order, why he should not be punished for said Contempt of Court and further that said brief be stricken from the files of this Court as impertinent

And a Contempt of This Court, to which order Associate Justice Pinney dissents

In the matter of the application of Jerry Milliay Esqr to be admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court

Upon motion of W.A. Torrey Esqr It is ordered by the Court that the said Applicant Jerry Milliay be & he is hereby admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court, (said W.A. Torrey Esqr vouching for the good moral character of said applicant) upon Taking the proper oath and Signing the Roll of Attorneys, and that the Clerk issue to him the necessary license therefor.

James Reilly Respt
vs
E.B. Comstock and
A.J. Cadwell ... Applts

Upon motion of Counsel for the Respondent It is ordered that this case be dismissed upon payment of Costs and that Certificate of dismissal issue forthwith

James Reilly Respt
vs
M Kellar Applt

Upon motion of Counsel for the Respondent It is ordered that this Case be dismissed upon payment of Costs and that Certificate of dismissal issue forthwith

The Western Mining Company
vs Plff & Appellant
James H Toole et al
Defts & Respts

This Cause being called for hearing was argued by Lewis for the Appellants, and by John Hey ns for the Respondents - The death of James H. Toole having been suggested to the Court, upon motion of Counsel for the Appellants It is ordered by the Court that this Cause continue in the names of the ~~Survivors~~ Defendants, and being fully argued was submitted and taken under advisement and it was stipulated that the proceedings in this Cause may be withheld for forty days after notification to Counsel of the decision in case the Judgment is affirmed

The Leopard Quartz
Mining Company
vs Plff & Appellt
The Arizona Silver
Leopard Company
Respt

Upon motion of Counsel for the Appellant, it is ordered by the Court that the rules as to time be extended in this Case to three hours on each side, and was further argued by ~~Lewis~~ W.W. Stilwell Esqr for the Appellant and further hearing in the Case was continued until tomorrow February 7th 1885 at 9 o'clock A.M. to which time Court adjourned

Court met Thursday February 26th 1885 at 9 Oclock A.M. pursuant to adjournment.

Present Hon Sumner Howard Chief Justice
Hon D.F. Pinney Associate Justice
Hon W.J. Fitzgerald Associate Justice
E.F. Wells Esqr Act U.S. Dist Attorney
Jesse P. Tichenor Esqr U.S. Marshal
Edward Frey Sheriff. Orrin F. Bailiff

The Copper Queen Mining Co
vs Appellant
The Arizona Prince Copper Co
Respondent

Argument of Case was continued by Williams Esqr for the Respondents and Lewis Esqr for the Appellant, and being fully argued was submitted and Court took under advisement, and upon motion of Counsel for the Appellant it is ordered that the Remittitur may be withheld for forty days after notice to the respective Attorneys of a decision by the Supreme Court herein. in accordance with the Stipulation herein filed

Court adjourned until tomorrow Friday February 27th 1885 at 10 Oclock A.M.

Court met Friday February 27th 1885 at 10 o'clock A.M. pursuant to adjournment
Present Hon Sumner Howard Chief Justice
Hon D.F. Perry Associate Justice
Hon W.F. Fitzgerald Associate Justice
Ed W. Kelleher Asst U.S. Attorney
Geo L Wiebusch U.S. Marshal
Chas Ruffner Sheriff. Orrin P Bailey

In the matter of the application of A.R. Jeffords Esqr to be admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court

Upon motion of Alex. Campbell Esqr. and upon presentation of Certificate of admission as and by the District Court of the First Judicial District of the Territory of Arizona. It is ordered that the said Applicant A.R. Jeffords be and he is admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court upon taking the proper oath and signing the roll of Attorneys. and that the Clerk issue to him the necessary license therefor

In the matter of the application of Addison S. Duncan Esqr to be admitted to practice as an Attorney & Counselor in this Court

Upon motion of Addison Esqr and upon presentation of Certificate of admission as and by the District Court of First Judicial District of the Territory of Arizona, It is ordered that the said Applicant be and he is admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court upon taking the proper oath, and signing the roll of Attorneys, and that the Clerk issue to him the necessary license therefor.

P. T. Dowling Respt.
vs
William R Strut Apselt 3000

Upon motion of L. A. Addinson Esqr Attorney for the Appellant Respondents Counsel consenting thereto It is ordered that this Cause be advanced upon the Calendar to follow No 24. and be designated as Cause No 24½

Richard Woffenden Apselt.
vs
Juan Pina Pedro Charalean Ads
Anna le Woffenden
Respts. 3

This Cause being called for hearing was argued by H. R. Jeffords Esqr for the Appellant and Alex. Campbell Esqr for the Respondents and being fully argued was submitted ~~and taken under advisement~~.

Richard Woffenden Apselt.
vs
Pedro Charalean Ads
Anna le Woffenden
Respts. 3

This Cause being called for hearing was argued by H. R. Jeffords Esqr for the Appellant and Alex. Campbell Esqr for the Respondents and being fully argued was submitted ~~and taken under advisement~~.

Thomas L. Jefferds Apselt.
vs
Chas E Stinson et al
Respts 3

Upon motion of Counsel for the Appellant It is ordered that this Cause be submitted upon Brief of Appellant, said Brief to be filed within thirty days from date hereof.

S. R. DeLong
Apfelt
vs
Alex F. Stein et al
Respts

Upon motion of Counsel for the Appellant it is ordered that this case be submitted upon Brief of Appellants, said Brief to be filed within thirty days from date hereof.

G. Howard Thompson
Appellt
vs
Le P. Darby Guy J. M. Evans et al
Respts

Upon motion of Counsel for the Appellant, Respondents Counsel consenting it is ordered that this Cause be stricken from the Calendar.

The United States of America
Plff & Respt.
vs
Darrow M. Darrow
Deft & Apfelt

This Cause being called for hearing was partially argued by Chesnutt Esqr for the Appellant and by Ed. P. Wells Esqr for the Respondent and further hearing in the Case was continued until tomorrow February 28th at 10 oclock A.M. to which time Court adjourned.

Court met Saturday February 28th 1885 at 10 oclock A.M. pursuant to adjournment

Present Hon Sumner Ford Chief Justice
~~Hon D.H. Gilpin Associate Justice~~
Hon W.F. Fitzgerald Associate Justice
Hon J.A. Zabriskie United States Attorney
Ed W. Wells Esqr Assist U.S. Attorney
Jas L. Tidball Esqr U.S. Marshal
Crier and Bailiff Asst Dep Sheriff.

Whereas Jas L. Tidball, Marshal of the United States for the District of Arizona has rendered to this Court an account of his fees and Expenses at and previous to the regular term 1885 at Prescott of the United States Supreme Court with the vouchers and items thereof and in favor Ed. W. Wells Esqr Assistant United States Attorney has proved on oath to the satisfaction of the Court that the services and travel therein charged have been actually and necessarily performed as therein stated, and that the disbursements charged have been fully paid in lawful moneys and others said charges appearing to be just and according to law, it is hereby ordered that the said account amounting to One Hundred and Seventeen Dollars and Twelve cents, be and the same is hereby approved.

Whereas, Jas L. Tidball United States Marshal has rendered to this Court an account of his disbursements for Miscellaneous Expenses incurred at and previous to the regular term 1885 at Prescott of the United States Supreme Court of Arizona, with the vouchers and items thereof, and in favor Ed. W. Wells Esqr Assistant United States Attorney has proved, on oath to the satisfaction of the Court that the services therein charged have been actually and necessarily performed as therein stated, and that the disbursements charged have been fully paid in lawful moneys and others said charges appear to be just and according to law; It is hereby ordered that said account amounting to Forty Eight Dollars be and the same is hereby approved.

Whereas an account of William Wilcoxon late Clerk of the Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona for official services by his Deputy A A Scott as Marshal Stated having been submitted by the District Attorney of this Court for approval and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court by the oath of the said A A Scott upon the account that the services have been actually and necessarily performed as therein Stated and the charges appearing to be just and according to law:

It is hereby ordered that said account amounting to Seventy ($70.00) dollars be and the same is hereby approved:

Done in open Court in the presence of J A Zabriskie Esq U.S. Attorney on this 25th day February AD 1885.

O. V. Dowling Respt.
vs
William R Strunk Applt

Upon motion of Counsel for the Respondent It is ordered that this Cause be submitted upon Briefs to be filed in Twenty days from date hereof.

The Western Mining Company Applt
vs
James H. Toole et al. Respts

Upon motion of Counsel for the Respondents It is ordered that the Respondents have Thirty (30) days from date hereof in which to file their Briefs.

Gus Bussen

vs

Fabian Straus

Upon motion of G.G. Barry Esqr Counsel for the Respondent It is ordered that this cause be dismissed in accordance with the Stipulation to be hereafter filed.

James S. Clark et al Respts

vs

Benjamin Gitus Applt.

This cause being called for hearing was argued by Thomas Mitchell Esqr for the Appellants and G.G. Barry Esqr for the Respondents, and upon motion of Counsel for the Appellants, Respondents Counsel consenting thereto, It is ordered that the Rules requiring printed briefs to be filed, be waived in this cause and that the Appellants have thirty (30) days from date hereof in which to file ~~their~~ additional brief.

Lester E. Williams Respt.

vs.

Isaac L. Tilton et al Applts

This cause being called for hearing was argued by J.A. Anderson Esqr for the Appellants, and Thomas Mitchell Esqr for the Respondents and being fully argued was submitted ~~and taken under advisement~~

Court adjourned until Monday March 2d 1885 at 10 Oclock A.M.

Court Opened Monday March 2d 1885 at 10 o'clock A.M. Pursuant to Adjournment.

Present Hon. Saml B. Howard Chief Justice
~~Hon D.H. Chamberlain Associate Justice~~
Hon. W.F. Fitzgerald Associate Justice
L.C. Labrucherie Esqr U.S. District Attorney
Saml L. Tidball Esqr U.S. Marshal
C.E. Foote Clerk Brin Asst Clerk
Asst Sheriff.

E. O'Sullivan
vs
J.H. Norton et al
Appellants — Respondent

Upon motion of Haynes Esqr Counsel for the appellants, It is ordered that Briefs be submitted upon both sides in this cause and that the Respondent have sixty days in which to file Brief.

Dunbarton Mill & Mining Company
vs
The Way & Mining Co. & J.S. Leland

Upon motion of Thomas Wickham Esqr, It is ordered that this cause be submitted upon Briefs

James Hobson Respondent
vs.
The New Mexico & Arizona R.R Co Appellant

This cause coming on to be heard upon motion of Johnson & Haynes Counsel for the Appellant It is ordered that the time for argument be extended sixty days and the same is granted by Judge Hayes for the appellant, and upon motion of Counsel for the appellant It is ordered that Appellant have sixty days from date hereof in which to file Brief and that Respondent have like time to answer said Brief when filed - cause was further argued by Wm Goodrich & Smith for the Respondent and duly submitted and taken under advisement.

F. A. Bashford Respondent
vs
George H. Curtis Appellant

This cause being called for hearing was argued by Wm Freeman Esqr for the Appellant and C. H. Prentiss Esq John A Rush Esqr for the Respondent, and being fully argued was submitted ~~for decision~~.

John W. Miller Appeal of H. A. Sweet
vs
A A Hopkins

This cause being called for hearing, was argued by Thomas Whitted Esqr for the Appellant; No Counsel appearing for the Respondent, upon motion of Counsel for the Appellant It is ordered that this cause be dismissed on Appellants Argument Brief.

Alex. Graydon et al. Respondents
vs
John N. Eaton et al Appellants

This cause being called for hearing, A. D. Lemon Esqr appeared as Counsel for Respondent, and Appellant failing to appear, and it further appearing that the Clerks fees due from Appellant have not been fully paid, upon motion of Counsel for Respondent, It is ordered by the Court that upon payment of the Clerks Costs this Cause be dismissed and dismissed, and ~~that the motion of damages be heard and determined~~ that the Respondent have his costs herein incurred, and it is further ordered that Certificate issue forthwith

F. M. Fowler Appellant
vs
E. P. Bryan and
T. J. Bryan Respondents

This Cause being called for hearing was argued by Leverett Esqr for the Appellant and by John T. Adams Esqr for the Respondents, and being fully argued was submitted and taken under advisement

Court adjourned until to morrow Thursday March 3d 1885 at 9 oclock A.M.

Court met Thursday March 3d 1885 at 9 oclock A.M. pursuant to adjournment.

Present Hon Seward Steward Chief Justice
~~Hon A. A. Quinn Associate Justice~~
Hon M. F. Fitzgerald Associate Justice
J. A. Gabrielli Esqr U.S. Attorney and Assistant
Jno L. Tidball Esqr U.S. Marshal
Clerk, Bailiff, and Dis Sheriff.

The United States of America
vs
Anson M Searcy
Respondent
Appellant

Argument of this Cause was continued by Thomas Fitch and John A. Rush Esqrs for the Appellant, and James A. Gabrielli Esqr United States District Attorney for the Respondent, and being fully argued was submitted and taken under advisement.

Whereas an account of Joseph Haynes Clerk of the Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona, by his deputy William Wilkerson for official services as therein stated having been submitted by the Assistant U.S Attorney of this Court for approval, and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court, by the oath of the said William Wilkerson upon the account that the services have been actually and necessarily performed as therein stated by him as Deputy Clerk, and the charges appearing to be just and according to law:

It is hereby ordered that said account amounting to one hundred and fifty (150⁰⁰) Dollars be and the same is hereby approved

Whereas, an account of William Wilkerson late Clerk of the Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona for official services as therein stated, having been submitted by the Assistant U.S. Attorney of this Court for approval and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court by the oath of the said William Wilkerson upon the account, that the services have been actually and necessarily performed as therein stated and the charges appearing to be just and according to law:

It is hereby ordered that said account amounting to Fifteen (15⁰⁰) Dollars be and the same is hereby approved-

Whereas an account of William Wilkerson late Clerk of the Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona for official services as therein stated having been submitted by the Assistant U.S. Attorney of this Court for approval, and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court by the oath of the said William Wilkerson upon the account, that the services have been actually and necessarily performed as therein stated, and the charges appearing to be just and according to law: It is hereby

ordered that said account amounting to One Hundred and Four (104⁰⁰) Dollars be and the same is hereby approved.

Whereas, James A. Gabrielle United States District Attorney for the Territory of Arizona, has rendered an account for Mileage for Traveling from the City of Tucson in Pima County to the City of Phoenix in Maricopa County Territory of Arizona, a distance of One hundred and Forty one Miles going, and One hundred and Forty one Miles returning to attend an adjourned term of the Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona 1886. held at the said City of Phoenix and has sworn on oath to the satisfaction of this Court that the Travel therein charged has been actually and necessarily performed as therein stated, and Whereas said charges appearing to be just, correct and according to law; It is Ordered that said account amounting to Forty Eight and ⁴⁸/₁₀₀ Dollars be and the same is hereby approved

Whereas an account of A.E. Foote, Clerk of the Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona for official services as therein stated having been submitted by the U.S. District Attorney for approval, and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court by the oath of the said A.E. Foote upon the account, that the services have been actually and necessarily performed as therein stated, and the charges appearing to be just and according to law; It is Hereby ordered that said account amounting to One Hundred and Four (104⁰⁰) Dollars be and the same is hereby approved

Whereas Edw. W. Wells Assistant Attorney of the United States for the Territory of Arizona has rendered to this Court an account of his fees at the term of said Supreme Court held at Prescott and Phoenix A.T. commencing on the 5th day of January 1885. continued and ending on the 3rd day of March 1885 with the items thereof as contained in said account and in open Court testified (as per affidavit attached hereto) that the services therein charged have been actually and necessarily performed as therein stated.

And whereas said charges appear to be just and according to law, It is hereby ordered that the said account amounting to the sum of One Hundred and Ninety Eight Dollars be and the same is hereby approved

Whereas Sam L. Tidball Marshal of the United States for the District of Arizona has rendered to this Court an account of his fees and expences at the adjourned term 1885, at Phoenix of the Supreme Court with the vouchers thereof, and in presence of Jayne A Zabriskie Esqr United States Attorney has proved on oath to the satisfaction of the Court and that the services therein charged have been actually and necessarily performed as therein stated and that the disbursements charged have been fully paid in lawful money, and whereas said charges appearing to be just and according to law, It is hereby ordered that the said account amounting to One Hundred and Six Dollars be and the same is hereby approved.

Whereas Sam L. Tidball Marshal of the United States for the District of Arizona has rendered to this Court an account of his fees and expences at the adjourned term 1885 at Phoenix of the Supreme Court, with the vouchers and items thereof, and in presence of Jayne A Zabriskie Esqr United States Attorney has proved on oath to the satisfaction of the

Court That the services and Travel therein charged have been actually and necessarily performed as therein stated, and that the disbursements charged have been fully paid in lawful money; and whereas said charges appearing to be just and according to law. It is hereby ordered that the said account amounting to One Hundred and Four Dollars and sixty Cents be and the same is hereby approved.

Whereas Geo. L. Tidball United States Marshal has rendered to this Court an account of his disbursements for miscellaneous expenses incurred at the Supreme Court with the vouchers and items thereof, and in person Hon. A. Fabrikin Esq. United States Attorney has proved on oath to the satisfaction of the Court that the services therein charged ~~Said~~ have been actually and necessarily performed as therein stated, and that the disbursements charged have been fully paid in lawful money, and whereas said charges appear to be just and according to law. It is hereby ordered that the said account amounting to Thirty Nine Dollars and Ninety Five Cents be and the same is hereby approved.

The Territory of Arizona
vs. Plaintiff and Respondent
Frederick J. Stone
Defendant and Appellant

This cause having been argued and submitted to the Court by Appellant and Respondent and having been duly considered by the Court. It is ordered by the Court that the Judgment and Conviction herein be and the same are hereby affirmed, and it is further ordered that the Cause be remanded to the District Court of Yavapai County with directions to that Court to fix a day for the execution of the Sentence and Judgment of the Court do affirmed

The United States
vs. Plaintiff & Respondent
Peter J. Christoffersen
Defendant & Appellant

Argued- submitted and taken under advisement

The United States
vs. Plaintiff & Respond
W. D. Stamp
Defendant & Appellant

Argued- submitted and taken under advisement.

The Copper Queen Mining Company
Appellant
vs.
The Arizona Copper Company
Respondent

It is ordered that the Judgment of the lower Court be affirmed,- Written opinion to be hereafter filed.

Adson H. Smith & Co
vs
The County of Niobara
Plaintiffs & Respondents
Defendant & Respondent

It is ordered that the Judgment be proceed and proceedings to be had in accordance with opinion to be hereafter filed

A. B. Sullam
vs
R. N. Paul
Plaintiff & Respondent
Defendant & Appellant

It is by the Court ordered that the Judgment of the lower Court be affirmed - opinion to be hereafter filed. Associate Justice Pinney dissenting and directs that dissent be minuted

L. Bashford Ass'g
F. A. Bashford
vs
George D. Kendall
Plaintiff & Respondent
Defendant & Appellant

The petition heretofore filed for a re-hearing of this Case, is by order of the Court denied

F. A. Bashford
vs
George W. Curtis
Respondent
Appellant

It is by the Court ordered that the Judgment be affirmed - opinion to be hereafter filed.

E. M. Fowler
vs
E. K. Bryan and
J. J. Bryan
Plaintiff & Appellant
Defendants & Respondents

It is by the Court ordered that the Judgment be affirmed opinion to be hereafter filed.

Lester E. Williams
Plaintiff & Respondent
vs.
Jas. L. Tidball et al
Defendants & Appellants

It is by the Court ordered that the Judgment be reversed and the Case remanded for a new trial opinion to be filed hereafter

In the matter of the Application of D. H. Cox Esqr to be admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court.

Upon motion of A. D. Lamon Esq and upon presentation of Certificate of admission attached by the the District Court of the Second Judicial District of the Territory of Arizona, It is ordered that the said Applicant D. H. Cox Esqr be and he is admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court, on taking the proper oath and signing the roll of Attorneys, and that the Clerk issue to him the necessary license therefor.

Court adjourned Sine die

Samuel Howard
Chief Justice
W. F. Fitzgerald
Associate Justice

The Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona met Monday January 4th 1886, at 10 Oclock A.M. Pursuant to Law

Present His Honor J.H. Stevens. Chief Justice
His Honor W.F. Porter Associate Justice
U.S. Atty by Assistant, U.S. Marshal by Deputy and Clerk

It is hereby ordered that Wash Fenwick be and he hereby is appointed Crier for this Court and the said Wash Fenwick being present was duly sworn as such Crier

It is hereby ordered that F.M. Howard be and he hereby is appointed Bailiff for this Court, and the said F.M. Howard being present was duly Sworn as such Bailiff

In the matter of the application of Ed. N. Coon Esq to be admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court

Upon motion of John Cotteruden Esq and on presentation of Certificate of admission to the Supreme Court of Alabama, It is hereby ordered that the said applicant Ed. N. Coon be and he hereby is admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court on taking the proper oath and signing the Roll of Attorneys, and that the Clerk issue a Certificate therefor

In the matter of the application of James Jolly duly admitted to be admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court.

Upon motion of James Howard Esq It is hereby ordered that the said Applicant James Jolly be and he hereby is admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court upon taking the proper oath and signing the Roll of

Attorneys, and that the Clerk issue to him the necessary Licenses therefor.

The United States of America }
vs }
Addison M Yancey Defendant }

Upon motion of Counsel for the defendant, It is by the Court ordered that this Cause be and the same is hereby set for hearing tomorrow January 5th 1886 at 9 Oclock A.M.

The United States of America }
vs }
P. J. Christoffersen Defendant }

Upon motion of Counsel for the Defendant, It is by the Court ordered that this Cause be and the same is hereby set for hearing tomorrow January 5th 1886 at 9 Oclock A.M.

The United States of America }
vs }
W. D. Hump Defendant }

Upon motion of Counsel for the Respondent, It is by the Court ordered that this Cause be and the same is hereby set for hearing tomorrow January 5th 1886 at 9 Oclock A.M.

The Territory of Arizona }
vs }
Frank Nuligh Appellant }
David Nuligh Appellant }

This Cause being called for hearing, was argued by Robert Churchill, Attorney General for the Respondent, and further argument of Cause was continued until the adjourned term of this Court next to be holden.

The Territory of Arizona }
vs }
Jerry Richmond Appellant } Upon motion of
Clark Churchill Attorney General It is by
the Court ordered that this Cause be and
the same is hereby placed upon the
Calendar. and the Cause being called
for hearing was argued by the Attorney
General for the respondent, and further
argument of the Cause was continued
until the adjourned term of this Court
next to be holden.

The Bradshaw Milling }
Company. Appellant }
vs }
D. S. Hewitt Respondent } Cause submitted
on Petition for rehearing.

The Poughstown Mill and }
Mining Company Respondent }
vs }
The Hay. Elk. Mining Company }
and D. S. Clark Appellants } Upon motion of
Counsel for the Respondents, It is ordered
that hearing of this Cause be continued
until the adjourned term

The Western Mining Company }
Appellant }
vs }
James H. Toole et al }
Respondents } Upon motion
It is ordered that the name of Johnstayers
Esq be entered as of Counsel for Respondents.
And it is further ordered that hearing

of Cause be continued until the adjourned ~~court the adjourned~~ term, of this Court next to be holden!

The Arizona Copper Company
vs. Respondent
The Copper Queen Mining Company
Appellant

Upon motion, It is by the Court ordered that the name of John Hayes Esq be entered as of Counsel for the Defendant and Appellant, and it is further ordered that the Appellants petition for re-hearing herein be and the same is hereby granted, and the said Cause is continued for such re-hearing at the adjourned term of this Court next to be holden.

L. Solomon
vs Respondent
J.H. Norton et al
Appellants

Upon motion of Counsel for the Appellants, It is ordered that hearing in this Cause be continued until the adjourned term of this Court next to be holden

S. R. De Long
vs Appellant
Charles T. Stinson et al
Respondents

Upon motion, It is by the Court ordered that hearing of this Cause be continued until the adjourned term of this Court next to be holden

Thomas L. Lafferdo
vs. Appellant
Charles F. Kinn
Respondent

Upon motion
It is by the Court ordered that hearing of
this Cause be continued until the adjourned
term of this Court next to be holden.

James S. Allen & al
vs. Respondents
Benjamin Sitis
Appellant

Upon motion, It
is by this Court ordered that hearing of this
Cause be continued until the adjourned
term of this Court next to be holden

John M. Miller
vs. Respondent
A. A. Hopkins & al
Appellants

Upon motion, It
is by this Court ordered that hearing of this
Cause be continued until the adjourned
term of this Court next to be holden

Pasquale Nigro
vs Respondent
Robert Hatch
Appellant

Upon motion, It is
by the Court ordered that hearing of this
Cause be continued until the adjourned
Term of this Court next to be holden.

James Hobson
vs. Respondent
The New Mexico and
Arizona Railroad Co
Appellant

Upon motion, it is by the Court that the name of James Hagerman be entered as of Counsel for the Appellants, and it is further ordered that the Appellant may be allowed to file additional Briefs.

Richard Hoffmeier
vs. Appellant
John Pen Charlebois et al
Respondents

Upon motion, it is by the Court ordered that the hearing of this Cause be continued until the adjourned term of this Court next to be holden.

Court adjourned until tomorrow January 6th 1886 at 9 o'clock A.M.

L. Bradford
Chief Justice
Wm. M. Porter
Assc. Justice

Court met Tuesday January 5th 1886
at 9 oclock A.M. pursuant to adjournment
Present His Honor J. Le Stiles Chief Justice
His Honor W. W. Porter Associate Justice
His Honor W. H. Burns Associate Justice
C. D. Duncan Deputy U.S. Marshal
J. E. Harrudon Esq Acting Asst U.S. Marshal
Olsen, Crier and Bailiff.

James Riley Admr
vs
D. F. Hudson Pltf
George L. Berry

Upon motion it is by the Court ordered that this cause be and the same is hereby placed upon the Calendar. Comes now the Respondent by Counsel and moved the Court to dismiss the appeal herein, which motion was by the Court taken under advisement.

The United States of America
vs.
Duncan M. Tenney Appellant

This cause came on for hearing and was argued by J. E. Harrudon Esq and J. A. Rush Esqrs for the Appellant, and after the argument the Cause was submitted to the Court on Briefs filed by Appellant and Respondent and was by the Court taken under advisement

The United States of America
vs.
C. J. Christoffersen Defendant

This Cause came on for hearing and was argued by J. E. Harrudon Esq and J. A. Rush Esqrs for the Appellant, and after the argument, the Cause was submitted to the Court on Briefs filed by Appellant and Respondent and was by the Court taken under advise...

The United States of America
vs
W. D. Kenyon Defendant

This Cause came on for hearing and was argued by Hutchinson and J. A. Rush Esqrs for defendant and after argument was submitted to the Court on Briefs filed by defendant and Plaintiff and was by the Court taken under advisement

Whereas, W. K. Meade, Marshal of the United States for the District of Arizona has rendered to this Court an account of his fees and expenses at and previous to the January term 1884, as Marshal of the Supreme Court, with the vouchers and items thereof and in support of which W. D. Hutchinson Esq. Asst United States Attorney, has proved on oath, to the satisfaction of the Court that the services and travel therein charged have been actually and necessarily performed as therein stated, and that the disbursements charged have been fully paid in lawful money and that said charges appearing to be just and according to law, It is hereby ordered that the said account amounting to Twenty Dollars and Thirty Two cents be and the same is hereby approved.

Whereas, W. K. Meade, United States Marshal has rendered to this Court an account of his disbursements for Miscellaneous Expenses incurred at and previous to the January term 1884 of the Supreme Court, with the vouchers and items thereof, and in support of which W. D. Hutchinson Esq. Asst United States Attorney has proved on oath to the satisfaction of the Court that the Services therein charged have been actually and necessarily performed as therein stated, and that the disbursements charged have been fully paid in lawful money

and Mirams said Clerks officers be just and according to law.

It is hereby ordered that the said account amounting to Eight Dollars be and the same is hereby approved.

In the matter of the application of Ignacio Gonzales for a Writ of Habeas Corpus

Upon reading and filing the petition of Ignacio Gonzales, it hereby it appears that he is illegally imprisoned and restrained from his liberty by F.S. Dugalls Superintendent of the Territorial Prison at Yuma in the Territory of Arizona, from which it appears to us that a Writ of Habeas Corpus ought to issue, It is hereby ordered that a Writ of Habeas Corpus issue out of and under the Seal of the Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona, directed to the said F.S. Dugalls Superintendent as aforesaid, commanding him to have the body of the said Ignacio Gonzales before us in the Court Room of the said Court, at the City of Tucson, in the County of Pima, Arizona Territory on the 8th day of March 1886, at 10 Oclock A.M. of that day to do and receive what shall then and there be considered concerning the said petition, Ignacio Gonzales, to further with the time and cause of his detention, and that you have then and there the said body.

By order of Court

Court adjourned to meet at Tucson Arizona Territory Monday March 8th 1886 at 2 Oclock P.M.

Wm. F. Fitzpatrick
Chief Justice
Wm. F. Porter, Assoc. Justice

Court met pursuant to adjournment at Tucson A.T. Monday March 8th 1886. at 2 Oclock P.M.

Present Hon. J.H. Shinn Chief Justice
Hon W.F. Barnes Associate Justice
Owen T. Rouse Esq U.S. Attorney
W.S. Meade Esq U.S. Marshal
A.E. Foote Clerk & Sheriff,
Crier and Bailiff

The resignation of Albert E. Foote, Clerk of this Court having been tendered and placed on file It is ordered by the Court that the same be accepted, to take effect upon the appointment and qualification of his Successor.

Albert E. Foote, the Clerk of this Court having tendered his resignation as such Clerk, the same having been accepted by the Court, to take effect from the appointment and qualification of his Successor. It is by the Court ordered that Ezra B. Dodge be and he hereby is appointed Clerk of the Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona. Said appointment to take effect from and after the date of his filing his official oath and bond as required by law.

In the matter of the application of Arthur R. Carll Esq to be admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court

Upon motion of Alex. Campbell Esq. and on presentation of Certificate of admission to the Supreme Court of the State of California, it was ordered that upon taking the oath as prescribed by law said applicant be admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court, and that the Clerk issue to him the necessary license therefor

In the Matter of the Application of William A. Seymans Esq to be admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court

Upon motion of John Haynes Esq, and on presentation of Certificate of admission to the District Court of the Second Judicial District of the Territory of Arizona It is by the Court ordered that the said Applicant be admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court on taking the oath as prescribed by law, and that the Clerk issue to him the necessary license therefor.

In the matter of the application of W. A. Griffin Esq to be admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court

Upon motion of Ben Goodrich Esq and on presentation of Certificate of admission to the Supreme Court of the State of Texas, It is by the Court ordered that the said applicant be admitted to practice as an attorney and Counselor in this Court on taking the oath as prescribed by law, and that the Clerk issue to him the necessary license therefor.

p.1 In the matter of the application of Thomas F. Wilson Esqr to be admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court

Upon motion of Hoover Esq and on presentation of Certificate of admission to the Supreme Court of the United States It is by the Court ordered that the said applicant be admitted to practice as an attorney and Counselor in this Court on taking the oath as prescribed by law, and that the Clerk issue to him the necessary license therefor.

In the matter of the Application of
De Myar Julick Esq to be admitted
to practice as an Attorney and
Counselor in this Court

Upon motion of
Byn. Goodrich Esqr. It is by the Court ordered
that the said Applicant be and he hereby is
admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor
in this Court on taking the oath as prescribed
by law, and that the Clerk issue to him the
necessary license therefor.

In the matter of the Application of
E. N. Miller Esq to be admitted
to practice as an Attorney and
Counselor in this Court

Upon motion
Statement of John Haynes Esq, It is by the
Court ordered that the said Applicant be
and he hereby is admitted to practice as an
Attorney and Counselor in this Court on taking
the oath as prescribed by law, and that the
Clerk issue to him the necessary license
therefor.

Upon motion of John Haynes Esq, It is
by the Court ordered that W. T. Fitzgerald
Ex-Associate Justice of this Court be and
he hereby is admitted to practice
as an Attorney and Counselor in this
Court

W. M. Jacobs
vs.
L. M. Georgia
Respondent
Appellant

Upon motion of Counsel
for the Appellant, It is by the Court ordered
that this Cause be and the same is hereby
placed upon the Calendar for this term
of this Court

R. T. Stiles
vs. Respondent
Amir E. Lord
Appellant

Upon motion of Counsel for the Appellant it is by the Court ordered that this cause be and the same is hereby placed upon the Calendar for this term of this Court.

Morris Goldwater
vs. Respondents
J. H. Bruce et al
Appellants

Upon motion of Counsel for the Appellants, It is by the Court ordered that this cause be and the same is hereby placed upon the Calendar for this term of this Court.

James B. Wallen
vs. Respondent
Thomas Hughes, Treasurer
Appellant

Upon motion of Counsel for the Appellant it is by the Court ordered that this Cause be and the same is hereby placed upon the Calendar for this term of this Court

C. Rochester
vs. Appellant
M. J. Sullivan et al
Respondents

Upon motion of Counsel for the Appellant it is by the Court ordered that this Cause be and the same is hereby placed upon the Calendar for this term of this Court

George W. Barter
vs. Respondent
The County of Pima
Appellant

Upon motion of Counsel for the Appellant it is by the Court ordered that this Cause be and the same is hereby placed upon the Calendar for this term of this Court

The Territory of Arizona
vs Respondent
Andrew H. Davis
Appellant

Upon motion of Counsel for the Appellant, It is by the Court ordered that this Cause be and the same is hereby placed upon the Calendar for this term of this Court.

James Reilly
vs
M E Blank et al.

Upon motion of W H Stilwell Esq It is by the Court ordered that this Cause be and the same is hereby placed upon the Calendar for this term of this Court

M. J. Bell
vs
Pasqual Nigro

Upon motion of W H Stilwell It is by the Court ordered that this Cause be and the same is hereby placed upon the Calendar for this term of this Court.

Ignacio Gonzales
vs Appellant } Habeas Corpus
T. S. Ingalls Superintendent
Respondent

Cause set for hearing Thursday March 9th 1886 at 10 Oclock A.M. to which time Court adjourned

L. Shucks
Chief Justice
Wm. N. Porter
Asst Justice

Court met Tuesday March 9th 1886 at 10 oclock A.M. pursuant to adjournment

Present Hon. J.H. Shields Chief Justice
Hon. F.A. Barnes Associate Justice
Hon W.W. Porter Associate Justice
Owen T Rouse Esq U.S. Attorney
W.K. Meade Esq U.S. Marshal
Wm Sims Sheriff. Crier and Bailiff

The Territory of Arizona }
vs Respondent }
Louis Blomberg }
Appellant }

Upon motion of Counsel for the Appellant It is by the Court ordered that this Cause be and the same is hereby placed upon the Calendar for for this term of this Court

W. Mooney }
vs Respondent }
N.W. Broadway }
Appellant }

Upon motion of Counsel for the Appellant, It is by the Court ordered that this Cause be and the same is hereby placed upon the Calendar for this term of this Court.

A.N. Gray }
vs. Respondent }
Salt River Valley Canal Co. }
Appellant }

Upon motion of Counsel for the Appellant It is by the Court ordered that this Cause be and the same is hereby placed upon the Calendar for this term of this Court

Ignacio Gonzales
vs. Appellant } Habeas Corpus
T. S. Ingalls, Superintendent
Respondent }

This Cause coming regularly on for hearing, was argued by by Counsel for respective parties, and being fully argued was submitted and by the Court taken under advisement

Court adjourned until tomorrow, March 10th 1886 at 9 oclock A.M.

J. L. Shields
Chief Justice

Wm. N. Porter
Asso Justice

Court met Wednesday March 10th 1886 at 9 Oclock pursuant to adjournment

Present His Honor J. W. Shields Chief Justice
His Honor W. H. Barnes Associate Justice
His Honor W. W. Porter Associate Justice
Wm L. Rouse Esq U.S. Attorney
W. H. Meade Esq U.S. Marshal
Clerk and Sheriff, Crier and Bailiff

Pet

In the matter of the application of Earl H. Webb Esq to be admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court

Upon motion and Statement of William M. Lovell Esq It is by the Court Ordered that the said Applicant Earl H. Webb Esq be and he hereby is admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court on taking the proper oath and Signing the roll of Attorneys, and that the Clerk issue to him the necessary license therefor

The United States
vs Respondent
Ammon M. Tenney
Appellant

This Cause having been heretofore argued by Counsel for the Appellant was by the United States Attorney submitted upon Briefs without arguments and by the Court taken under advisement

The United States
vs Respondent
P. J. Christoffersen
Appellant

This Cause having been heretofore argued by Counsel for the Appellant was by the United States Attorney submitted upon Briefs without arguments, and by the Court taken under advisement

The United States
vs. Respondent
E. D. Kemp
Appellant

This Cause having been heretofore argued by Counsel for the Appellant was by the United States Attorney, submitted on briefs without argument, and by the Court taken under advisement

The Territory of Arizona
vs. Respondent
Fraser Haligh et al
David Haligh
Appellants

This Cause having been heretofore argued by the Attorney General for the Respondent, was, by Counsel for the appellants submitted on briefs without argument, and by the Court taken under advisement

The Territory of Arizona
vs. Respondent
Jerry Richmond
Appellant

This Cause having been heretofore argued by the Attorney General for the Respondent, was, by Counsel for the Appellant submitted on briefs without Argument and by the Court taken under advisement.

In the Matter of the Application of A. W. Blair Esq to be admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court

Upon Motion of Wm. M. Lovell and on presentation of Certificate of admission to the Supreme Court of the State of California, It is by Court ordered that the said Applicant be and he hereby is admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court on taking the proper oath and signing the Roll of Attorneys,

pd In the matter of the Application of William Herring Esq to be admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court

Upon motion of James A. Jabruskie Esq, and on presentation of Certificate of Admission to the Supreme Court of the State of California It is by the Court ordered that the said Applicant be and he hereby is admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court, on taking the proper oath and Signing the Roll of Attorneys

In the matter of the Application of Saunders & King to be admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court

Upon motion of Bru. Goodrich Esq. and upon presentation of Certificate of Certificate of admission to the Supreme Court of the State of California, It is by the Court ordered that the said Applicant be admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court on taking the proper oath and Signing the Roll of Attorneys.

pd In the matter of the application of E. J. Edwards Esq to be admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court

Upon motion of Joseph Campbell and upon presentation of Certificate of admission to practice in the Supreme Court of the State of California, It is by the Court ordered that the said Applicant be admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court on taking the proper oath and Signing the Roll of Attorneys

In the matter of the application of James Hagerman Esq to be admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court

Upon motion &c.

of John Haynes Esq, It is by the Court ordered that the said Applicant, James Haynes Esq be and he hereby is admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court on taking the proper oath and signing the Roll of Attorneys, and that the Clerk issue to him the necessary license therefor

The Western Mining Company
vs. Appellant
James H. Doole et al.
Respondents

This Cause coming on to be heard was argued by W H Stilwell Esq for the Appellant and by John Haynes Esq for the Respondents and being fully argued was submitted and by the Court taken under advisement

John M. Miller
vs Respondents
A A Hopkins et al.
Appellants

This Cause coming on to be heard was argued by Thomas Mitchell Esq for the Appellants, No appearance for the Respondents and submitted

T. E. Solomon
vs Respondents
D H Norton et al
Appellants

Submitted on Briefs without argument

James Hodson
vs Respondents
The New Mexico and
Arizona Railroad Co
Appellants

This cause coming on to be heard was argued by John S Hagerman and James Hagerman Esqrs for the Appellant and by Ben Goodrich and Mark Smith Esqrs for the Respondent and being fully argued was submitted and by the Court taken under advisement

Court adjourned until tomorrow March 11th 1884 at 9 oclock A.M.

E. L. Shields
W. J. Porter
Assn Justices

Court met Thursday March 11th 1886 at 9 oclock A.M. pursuant to adjournment

Present His Honor J le Stivers Chief Justice
His Honor W.H. Barnes Associate Justice
His Honor W.F. Porter Associate Justice
Owen T. Rowan Esq U.S. Attorney
W.H. Meade Esq U.S. Marshal
Chas Laney Sheriff. Chas Laney Bailiff

od

In the matter of the application of Raleigh Barcastle Esq. admitted to practice as an attorney and Counselor in this Court

Upon motion of A.R. Earll Esq and upon presentation of Certificate of admission to the District Court of the First Judicial District of the Territory of Arizona, It is by the Court ordered that the said Applicant be admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court on taking the proper oath and signing the roll of Attorneys

S.R. De Long
vs Appellant
Charles F. Hinz et al
Respondents

This cause coming on for hearing was argued by le le Stivers Esqr for the Appellant and Thomas Mitchell Esq for the Respondents, and being fully argued was submitted and by the Court taken under advisement

Thomas J. Jeffords
vs Appellant
Charles F. Hinz
Respondents

This cause coming on to be heard was argued by C.C. Stevens Esq for the Appellant and by Thomas Mitchell Esq for the Respondents and being fully argued, was submitted and by the Court taken under advisement

Pasquale Migro Respondent
vs.
Robert Hatch Appellant

This Cause being called for hearing, was submitted on Brief without Argument, and by the Court taken under advisement.

James S. Mark et al Respondents
vs.
Benjamin Titus Appellant

This Cause coming regularly on for hearing was argued by Cadmus Mitchell Esq for the Appellant and by George L. Barry Esq for the Respondents, and briefs fully argued was submitted and by the Court taken under advisement.

Court adjourned until tomorrow Friday March 12th 1886 at 9 Oclock A.M.

C. F. Sweet
Chief Justice
Wm H Porter
Assn Justice

Court met Friday March 12th 1886 at 9 Oclock A.M. Pursuant to adjournment

Present His Honor J.L. Shields Chief Justice
His Honor W.F.N. Barnes Associate Justice
His Honor W.W. Porter Associate Justice
Orrin F. Rorer Esq U.S. Attorney
W.H. Meade Esq U.S. Marshal
Elect Sims Sheriff, Criers and Bailiffs

The Territory of Arizona
vs Respondent
Andrew A Davis
Appellant

This Cause coming regularly on to be heard was argued by Mark Smith Esq for the Appellant and by Clark Churchill Attorney General for the Respondent and being fully argued was submitted and by the Court taken under advisement.

The Territory of Arizona
vs Respondent
Louis Blomberg
Appellant

This Cause coming regularly on for hearing was argued by E.A. Gray Esq for the Appellant and by Clark Churchill Attorney General for the Respondent, and being fully argued was submitted, and Ordered that the Respondent have sixty days time given the Respondent to file Brief.

Court adjourned until tomorrow March 13th 1886. at 9 Oclock A.M.

J.L. Shields
Chief Justice
W.W. Porter Ass'o Justice

Court met Saturday March 18th 1886 at 9 Oclock A.M. Pursuant to adjournment

Present His Honor Jno Sheiles Chief Justice
His Honor W.T. Barnes Associate Justice
His Honor W. W. Porter Associate Justice
Chas T. Rousu Esq U.S. Attorney
W.H. Meade Esq U.S. Marshal
Clerk and Sheriff. Crier and Bailiff

In the matter of the Application of F.L. Wallace Esq to be admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court

Upon motion of Alex. Campbell Esq It is by the Court ordered that the said Applicant F.L. Wallace Esq be and he hereby is admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court on taking the proper oath and signing the roll of Attorneys, and that the Clerk issue to him the necessary license therefor.

Elijah Clifford et.al.
vs Respts
L. Larrieu
Applt

Upon motion of Wm Herring Esq It is by the Court ordered that the Transcript in the above entitled cause be placed on file, and that said cause be placed upon the Calendar for this term of this Court.

In the matter of the Application of Francis L. Hunt Esq to be admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court

Upon motion of Wm Herring Esq and presentation of Certificate of Admission in the Supreme Court of the State of California It is by the Court ordered that the said Applicant be admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court on taking the oath required by law.

In the matter of the application of George L. Wood Esq to be admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court

Upon motion of A.R. Earll Esq and presentation of Certificate of admission to the Supreme Court of the State of California, It is by the Court ordered that the said Applicant be and he hereby is admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor in this Court on taking the oath required by law

---

The Arizona Brick & Copper Company
vs.
The Copper Queen Mining Company

Respondent
Appellant

This cause coming regularly on for hearing, the Respondent moved the Court orally, to vacate and set aside the order for a re-hearing in this cause upon the ground that the petition for a re-hearing was not filed within the time prescribed by the Rules of this Court and upon the ground that the order was improvidently granted, which motion was by the Court overruled and denied, and upon motion of Counsel for the Appellant, It is ordered by the Court that the rule as to time for argument be extended in this cause to five hours on each side and was partially argued by W.F. Stilwell Esq for the Appellant and by George R. Williams Esq for the Respondent, and further hearing in the cause was continued until Monday March 15th 1886 at 9 o'clock A.M. to which time Court adjourned.

H.D. Ticeds
Chief Justice

Court met Monday March 15th 1886 at 9 Oclock A.M. pursuant to adjournment

Present His Honor J. G. Shields Chief Justice
His Honor W. W. Barnes Associate Justice
His Honor W. W. Porter Associate Justice
Chas. S. Rowe Esq U.S. Attorney
W. L. Meador Esq U.S. Marshal
Clerk and Sheriff, Crier and Bailiff

The Arizona Prince Copper Company
vs Respondents
The Copper Prince Mining Company
Appellants

Argument of Cause was continued by George R. Williams Esq for the Respondents, and by John Hayne Esq for the Appellant and being fully argued was submitted, and by order of the Court respective Counsel given until the first day of May 1886 to file additional Briefs

Wyeth Godchaux
vs. Respondent
John Drumaix
Appellant

This cause coming regularly on for hearing was argued by A. Edwards Esq for the Appellant, no argument being made on part of the Respondent, and submitted and by the Court taken under advisement

Morris Abrahal et al
vs Respondents
Joseph Cox et al
Appellants

This Cause being called for hearing was argued by Joseph Campbell Esq for the Appellants, and by

D. W. Baker Esq for the Respondents, and
Wm Fully argued was submitted and
by the Court taken under advisement.

W. M. Jacobs
vs. Respondents
J. M. George et al
Appellants

This cause being called
was submitted on briefs without argument
Corporation Defendant granted thirty days to file Supplementary Brief

Le N. Gray
vs Respts
Salt River Valley
Canal Company
Applt

This cause being called
for hearing was argued by J. Alexander
Esq for the Applants and Joseph Campbell
Esq for the Respondents and being fully ar-
gued was submitted and by the Court taken
under advisement.

Court adjourned until tomorrow March
16th 1886 at 9 Oclock A.M.

Chas. Smith
Chief Justice
H. J. Porter
Asso Justice

Court met Tuesday March 16th 1886 at 9 Oclock A.M. Pursuant to adjournment

Present His Honor J. W. Shields Chief Justice
His Honor W. T. Barnes Associate Justice
His Honor H. H. Porter Associate Justice
Owen T. Rowe Esq U.S. Attorney
W. K. Meade Esq. U.S. Marshal
Alex Duff Sheriff, Crier and Bailiff

W. Mooney
vs
W. W. Broadway
Respondent
Appellant

Upon motion of A. E. Baker Esq Counsel for Respondent, It is by the Court Ordered that this Cause be submitted on Briefs without argument.

Richard Woffenden
vs
Pedro Chavolahan et al
Annie Woffenden

This day comes on to be heard the motion of Richard Woffenden the appellant to have the said Cause placed on the Calendar and set for argument and decision by the Court, Thereupon Came the Appellant by H. H. Hafford Esq his Counsel and also Came the respondents by Alex Campbell and S. W. Franklin Esqrs their Counsel, said Motion was argued by the respective Counsel and submitted to the Court with leave for respondent Counsel to file briefs within fifteen days

Richard Hoffmann
vs. Appellant
Jean Pere Abarbanel ang
Anna M Hoffmann
Respondents

This Cause Came on for argument this day and thereupon came the Appellant by H.R. Jaffords Esq his Counsel and also came the Respondents by Abr Campbell and S.M. Franklin Esqrs their Counsel, and the Cause having been argued by the Respective Counsel the Cause was submitted to the Court for Judgment, with leave to the Counsel for Respondents to file Briefs within fifteen days

L.T. Stiles
vs. Respondent
Annis & Ford
Appellants

This Cause being called for hearing, was argued by Thompson Esq for the Appellant and by J.A. Anderson and John Haynes Esqrs for the Respondent, and being fully argued was submitted, and by the Court taken under advisement

Morris Goldwater
vs Respondents
J.T. Bruce et al
Appellants

This Cause being called for hearing was submitted without argument, and it is ordered that the Appellant have until April 5th 1886 to file Brief, and it is further ordered that the Respondent have until May 1st 1886 to file reply Brief.

James R. Waller
vs. Appt
Thomas Hughes
Appellee

This Cause coming on to be heard on Briefs of Counsel for hearing, was submitted on Briefs without argument.

George W. Barter
vs. Respondent
The County of Pima
Appellant

This Cause coming on to be heard on Briefs of Counsel for hearing was submitted on Briefs without argument.

A Rochester
vs Appellant
M. J. Sullivan et al
Respondents

This Cause coming regularly on for hearing was argued by Wm H Lovell and John Haynes Esqrs for the Appellant and J. A. Anderson Esq for the Respondent, and being fully argued was submitted and by the Court taken under advisement.

James Reilly
vs
Hudson & Berry

The motion to dismiss the appeal in this action having been heretofore argued, and the Court having had the same under advisement, and being fully advised in the premises, It is ordered that the appeal be and the same is hereby dismissed, and it is ordered that Certificate of dismissal issue forthwith.

M. J. O'Bell
vs
Pasquale Nigro

Upon motion of Counsel for the Respondent, It is by the Court ordered that the Appeal in the above entitled action be and the same is hereby dismissed and it is further ordered that Certificate of dismissal issue forthwith.

Elijah Clifford et al
vs Respondents
L. Larrieu
Appellant

This cause being called for hearing was submitted on briefs without Arguments.

Court adjourned until tomorrow March 17th 1856, at 9 o'clock A.M.

J. O. Shields
Chief Justice

Wm. M. Porter
Asst Justice

Court met Wednesday March 7th 1884 at 9 o'clock A.M. pursuant to adjournment

Present His Honor J. L. Shields Chief Justice
His Honor W. L. Barnes Associate Justice
His Honor Wm. F. Porter Associate Justice
Wm. T. Rowe Esq U.S. Attorney
W. H. Mundee Esq U.S. Marshal
Clerk, Sheriff, Terr[itor]ial Bailiff

The Donaldson Mill[ing] Co[mpa]ny
Mining Company
vs. Respondent
The Way Up Mining
Company [et] al[s] Appellants

This Cause being called for hearing, was submitted on briefs without argument.

Court adjourned until tomorrow March 8th 1884 at 9 o'clock A.M.

J. L. Shields
Chief Justice

W. F. Porter
Asso Justice

Court met Thursday March 18th 1886 at 9 o'clock A.M. Pursuant to adjournment

Present His Honor J. G. Shinkle Chief Justice
His Honor W. F. Barnes Associate Justice
His Honor Wm. H. Porter Associate Justice
Owen T. Rouerdy U.S. Attorney
W. K. Meade Esq U.S. Marshal
Clerk, Sheriff, Crier and Bailiff.

Elijah Clifford et al
vs Respondents
L. Larrion
Appellants

Upon motion of Counsel for the Respondents. It is by the Court ordered that Stipulation may be filed.

The Territory of Arizona
vs Respondents
Jerry Richmond
Appellants

This cause having been heretofore argued and submitted, It is by the Court ordered that the judgment be affirmed

The Bradshaw Mining Company
vs Appellants
A. S. Hewitt
Respondents

It is by the Court ordered that the petition for re-hearing heretofore filed in this action be and the same is hereby denied.

The Territory of Arizona
vs. Respondents
Frank Neligh and David Neligh
Appellants

It is by the Court ordered that the judgment of the Court below be and the same is hereby affirmed

James Reilly
vs.
M E Cartwright

This Cause came on to be heard this day upon the Motion & Respondents to dismiss the appeal herein, and was argued by Counsel, On Consideration whereof, it is by the Court ordered that said motion be and the same is hereby denied without prejudice and the Cause is Continued

The Territory of Arizona Respondent
vs
Andrew Adams Appellant

It is by the Court ordered that the judgment of the Lower Court be and the same is hereby reversed, and the Cause remanded for a new trial

Whereas; an account of Albert E Foote Clerk of the U.S. Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona for official Services as therein stated having been submitted by the U.S. Attorney for approval and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court by the oath of the said Albert E Foote upon the account that the Services have been actually and necessarily performed as therein stated and the charges appearing to be just and according to law,

It is hereby ordered that said account amounting to One Hundred Seventy Seven 20/100 Dollars be and the same is hereby approved.

Done in Open Court in the Presence of E.T. Rosenbaum U.S. Attorney on this 18th Day of March A.D. 1886

Whereas, A.T. Rouse, United States Attorney for Arizona, has rendered to this Court an account of his fees for attending Court for ten days from the 8th day of March to the 18th day of March 1886 inclusive, the intervening Sunday excepted, and in open Court has proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the services have been necessarily performed as therein stated, And Whereas, said Charges appear to be just and according to law.

It is hereby ordered that said account amounting to the sum of One Hundred (100.) Dollars be and the same is hereby approved.

Whereas, W.K. Meade, United States Marshal has rendered to this Court an account of his fees and expenses from the 8th day of March to the 18th day of March 1886, with the Vouchers and items thereof and in favour of A.T. Rouse United States Attorney, has proved, on oath to the satisfaction of the Court, that the services and Travel charged therein were actually and necessarily performed; and the expenses were necessarily incurred; and Whereas, said charges appear to be just and according to law.

It is hereby ordered that the said account amounting to One Hundred Eleven Dollars and Sixty Cents, be and the same is hereby approved.

Whereas, W.K. Meade, United States Marshal has rendered to this Court an account of his disbursements for Miscellaneous Expenses incurred at and previous to the adjourned term held at Tucson A.T. of the United States Supreme Court with vouchers and items thereof and in favour of A.T. Rouse United States Attorney, has proved on oath, to the satisfaction of the Court that the services therein charged have been actually and necessarily performed as therein stated and that the disbursements charged have been fully paid in lawful money, and whereas said charges appear to be just and according to law

It is hereby ordered that the said account

amounting to Forty Dollars. Ordered that same
is hereby Approved.

Court adjourned until Thursday July
8th 1886 at 10 Oclock A.M.

J. L. Shivers
Chief Justice

W. T. Porter
Asso Justice

Territory of Arizona }
County of Yavapai } ss.

I E.B. Dodge, do solemnly swear that I will support the Constitution of the United States and the Laws of the Territory of Arizona, that I will true faith and allegiance bear the same, and defend them against all Enemies whatsoever and that I will faithfully and impartially discharge the duties of the office of Clerk of the Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona according to the best of my ability, so help me, God.

E.B. Dodge

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 11th day of March 1886.

(Seal) Ed. F. Cook, Notary Public

Know all men by these presents: That we, E.B. Dodge as principal and J. F. Osenberg and T. J. Butler as Sureties of the City of Prescott in the County of Yavapai of the Territory of Arizona are held and firmly bound unto the Territory of Arizona in the sum of Five Thousand ($5000.00/100) Dollars, lawful money of the United States of America, to be paid to the Territory of Arizona, for which payment well and truly to be made we bind ourselves, our heirs, Executors and administrators jointly and severally firmly by these presents.

Signed with our hands and sealed with our Seals this 11th day of March A.D. 1886.

The Condition of the above obligation is such. Whereas pursuant to law E.B. Dodge has been appointed Clerk of the Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona to have and to hold the same with all the rights, privileges and Emoluments thereunto ~~belonging~~ lawfully appertaining as by an appointment to him bearing ~~the~~ date of March ~~the~~ 8th 1886 and Entered in Minute Book 1 pg 141 Minute Records of the Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona; which said appointment reads as follows:

"It is by the Court ordered that E.B. Dodge be and he
"hereby is appointed Clerk of the Supreme Court
"of the Territory of Arizona, said appointment
"to take Effect from and after the date of this

"filing his official oath and bond as required by law."

Now if the said E.B. Dodge by himself and by his deputies shall faithfully discharge the duties of his office, seasonably record the Decrees, Judgments and determinations of the said Court And properly account for all moneys coming into his hands as required by law, then this obligation to be void otherwise to remain in full force and virtue.

E.B. Dodge (Seal)
J.J. Butler (Seal)
J.F. Osenberg (Seal)

Territory of Arizona }
County of Yavapai } ss

J.J. Butler being first duly sworn upon his oath says, that he is a resident (free-holder or householder) in the Territory of Arizona and that he is worth the sum of Twenty Five Hundred ($2500.00/100) Dollars for which he has as Surety become liable upon the within bond, over and above all his just debts and liabilities, in unincumbered property in this Territory which may be levied upon and is not exempt from Execution.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 11th day of March A.D. 1886 } J.J. Butler

Ed. N. Cook
Notary Public
Yavapai Co. A.T.

(L.S.)

Territory of Arizona }
County of Yavapai } ss.

J.F. Osenberg being first duly sworn upon his oath says that he is a resident (freeholder or householder) in the Territory of Arizona, and that he is worth the sum of Twenty Five Hundred ($2500.00/100) Dollars the amount for which he as surety became liable upon the within bond, over and above all his just debts and liabilities in unincumbered property in this Territory, which may be levied upon and is not Exempt from Execution.

Subscribed and sworn to } J.F. Osenberg

before me this 11th day
of March A.D. 1886.
Ed F. Cook
L.S. Notary Public

The foregoing bond Examined and approved by the Justices of the Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona this 18th day of March A.D. 1886
J.C. Shieds
Chief Justice
Wm F. Barnes
Associate Justice

Approved in open Court this 18th day of March A.D. 1886.
Wm. W. Porter
Associate Justice.